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## 1. Introduction

Let ( $X, d$ ) be a metric space. We will use the following symbols (see also [1]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(X)=\{Y \subset X \mid Y \neq \varnothing\} ; \\
& P_{b}(X)=\{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text { is bounded }\} ; \\
& P_{\mathrm{cl}}(X)=\{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text { is closed }\} ; \\
& P_{\mathrm{cp}}(X)=\{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text { is compact }\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $T: X \rightarrow P(X)$ is a multivalued operator, then for $Y \in P(X), T(Y)=\bigcup_{x \in Y} T(x)$ we will denote the image of the set $Y$ through $T$.

Throughout the paper $F_{T}:=\{x \in X \mid x \in T(x)\}$ (resp., (SF) $\left.{ }_{T}:=\{x \in X \mid\{x\}=T(x)\}\right)$ denotes the fixed point set (resp., the strict fixed point set) of the multivalued operator $T$.

We introduce the following generalized functionals.
The $\delta$ generalized functional

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta_{d}: P(X) \times P(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+} \cup\{+\infty\},  \tag{1.1}\\
\delta_{d}(A, B)=\sup \{d(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The gap functional

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{d}: P(X) \times P(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+} \cup\{+\infty\}  \tag{1.2}\\
D_{d}(A, B)=\inf \{d(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The excess generalized functional

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{d}: P(X) \times P(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+} \cup\{+\infty\}  \tag{1.3}\\
& \rho_{d}(A, B)=\sup \left\{D_{d}(a, B) \mid a \in A\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The Pompeiu-Hausdorff generalized functional

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{d}: P(X) \times P(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+} \cup\{+\infty\} \\
H_{d}(A, B)=\max \left\{\rho_{d}(A, B), \rho_{d}(B, A)\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

The first purpose of this paper is to present existence, uniqueness, and data dependence results for the strict fixed point of a multivalued operator of Reich type. Since, in our approach, the strict fixed point is constructed by iterations, this generates the possibility to give some sufficient conditions for the well-posedness of a fixed point problem for the multivalued operator mentioned below.

Definition 1.1. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $T: X \rightarrow P_{\mathrm{cl}}(X)$. Then $T$ is called a multivalued $\delta$-contraction of Reich type, if there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $a+b+c<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(T(x), T(y)) \leq a d(x, y)+b \delta(x, T(x))+c \delta(y, T(y)) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.
The notion of well-posed fixed point problem for single valued and multivalued operator was defined and studied by F.S. De Blasi and J. Myjak, S. Reich and A.J. Zaslavski, Rus and Petruşel [2], Petruşel et al. [3].

Definition 1.2 (see Petruşel and Rus [2] and [3]). (A) Let ( $X, d$ ) be a metric space, $Y \in P(X)$ and $T: Y \rightarrow P_{\mathrm{cl}}(X)$ be a multivalued operator.

Then the fixed point problem is well posed for $T$ with respect to $D_{d}$ if
$\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right) F_{T}=\left\{x^{*}\right\}$ (i.e., $\left.x^{*} \in T\left(x^{*}\right)\right)$;
$\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}\right)$ If $x_{n} \in Y, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D_{d}\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(B) Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, $Y \in P(X)$ and $T: Y \rightarrow P_{\mathrm{cl}}(X)$ be a multivalued operator.

Then the fixed problem is well posed for $T$ with respect to $H_{d}$ if
$\left(\mathrm{a}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{SF})_{T}=\left\{x^{*}\right\}$ (i.e., $\left\{x^{*}\right\}=T\left(x^{*}\right)$ );
$\left(\mathrm{b}_{2}\right)$ If $x_{n} \in Y, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $H_{d}\left(T\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The second aim is to study the existence of an attractor (i.e., the fixed point of the multifractal operator, see [4-7]) for an iterated multifunction system consisting of nonself multivalued operators.

## 2. Main results

We will give first another proof (a constructive one) of a result given by Reich [8] in 1972. For some similar results, see $[9,10]$. In our proof, the strict fixed point will be obtained by iterations.

Theorem 2.1 (Reich's theorem). Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow P_{b}(X)$ be a multivalued operator, for which there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $a+b+c<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(T(x), T(y)) \leq a d(x, y)+b \delta(x, T(x))+c \delta(y, T(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $T$ has a unique strict fixed point in $X$, that is, $(S F)_{T}=\left\{x^{*}\right\}$.
Proof. Let $q>1$ and $x_{0} \in X$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then there exists $x_{1} \in T\left(x_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(x_{0}, T\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \leq q d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(x_{1}, T\left(x_{1}\right)\right) & \leq \delta\left(T\left(x_{0}\right), T\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \leq a d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+b \delta\left(x_{0}, T\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+c \delta\left(x_{1}, T\left(x_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
& \leq(a+b q) d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+c \delta\left(x_{1}, T\left(x_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(x_{1}, T\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \leq \frac{a+b q}{1-c} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x_{1} \in T\left(x_{0}\right)$, there exists $x_{2} \in T\left(x_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(x_{1}, T\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \leq q d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(x_{2}, T\left(x_{2}\right)\right) & \leq \delta\left(T\left(x_{1}\right), T\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \leq a d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+b \delta\left(x_{1}, T\left(x_{1}\right)\right)+c \delta\left(x_{2}, T\left(x_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& \leq(a+b q) d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+c \delta\left(x_{2}, T\left(x_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(x_{2}, T\left(x_{2}\right)\right) & \leq \frac{a+b q}{1-c} d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{a+b q}{1-c} \delta\left(x_{1}, T\left(x_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
& \leq\left(\frac{a+b q}{1-c}\right)^{2} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Inductively, we can construct a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ having the properties
(1) $(\alpha) x_{n} \in T\left(x_{n-1}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$;
(2) $(\beta) d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \delta\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \leq((a+b q) /(1-c))^{n} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$.

We will prove now that the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy.
We successively have

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+p}\right) & \leq d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)+d\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}\right)+\cdots+d\left(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}\right) \\
& \leq\left[\left(\frac{a+b q}{1-c}\right)^{n}+\left(\frac{a+b q}{1-c}\right)^{n+1}+\cdots+\left(\frac{a+b q}{1-c}\right)^{n+p-1}\right] d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us denote $\alpha:=(a+b q) /(1-c)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+p}\right) \leq \alpha^{n}\left(1+\alpha+\cdots+\alpha^{p-1}\right) d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\alpha^{n} \frac{\alpha^{p}-1}{\alpha-1} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we chose $q<(1-a-c) / b$, then $\alpha<1$.
Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $\alpha^{n} \rightarrow 0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+p}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy.
By the completeness of the space $(X, d)$, we get that there exists $x^{*} \in X$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next, we will prove that $x^{*} \in(\mathrm{SF})_{T}$.
We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(x^{*}, T\left(x^{*}\right)\right) & \leq d\left(x^{*}, x_{n}\right)+\delta\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+\delta\left(T\left(x_{n}\right), T\left(x^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \leq d\left(x^{*}, x_{n}\right)+\delta\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+a d\left(x_{n}, x^{*}\right)+b \delta\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+c \delta\left(x^{*}, T\left(x^{*}\right)\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(x^{*}, T\left(x^{*}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1+a}{1-c} d\left(x^{*}, x_{n}\right)+\frac{1+b}{1-c} \delta\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\delta\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \leq \alpha^{n} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \Rightarrow \delta\left(x^{*}, T\left(x^{*}\right)\right)=0 \Rightarrow T\left(x^{*}\right)=\left\{x^{*}\right\}$ (i.e., $\left.x^{*} \in(\mathrm{SF})_{T}\right)$.
For the last part of our proof, we will show the uniqueness of the strict fixed point.
Suppose that there exist $x^{*}, y^{*} \in(\mathrm{SF})_{T}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)=\delta\left(T\left(x^{*}\right), T\left(y^{*}\right)\right) \leq a d\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)+b \delta\left(x^{*}, T\left(x^{*}\right)\right)+c \delta\left(y^{*}, T\left(y^{*}\right)\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x^{*}$ and $y^{*}$ are distinct points, then we get that $a \geq 1$, which contradicts our hypothesis. Thus $x^{*}=y^{*}$. The proof is complete.

Regarding the well-posedness of a fixed point problem, we have the following result.
Theorem 2.2. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow P_{b}(X)$ be a multivalued operator. Suppose there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $a+b+c<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(T(x), T(y)) \leq a d(x, y)+b \delta(x, T(x))+c \delta(y, T(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the fixed point problem is well posed for $T$ with respect to $H_{d}$.
Proof. By Reich's theorem, we get that $(\mathrm{SF})_{T}=\left\{x^{*}\right\}$.
Let $x_{n} \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_{d}\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{d}\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=\delta_{d}\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have to show that $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We successively have

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(x_{n}, x^{*}\right) & \leq \delta_{d}\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+\delta_{d}\left(T\left(x_{n}\right), T\left(x^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \delta_{d}\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+a d\left(x_{n}, x^{*}\right)+b \delta_{d}\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+c \delta_{d}\left(x^{*}, T\left(x^{*}\right)\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
& =(1+b) \delta_{d}\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+a d\left(x_{n}, x^{*}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{n}, x^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1+b}{1-a} \delta_{d}\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=\frac{1+b}{1-a} H_{d}\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n} \longrightarrow x^{*}, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

With respect to the same multivalued operators, a data dependence result can also be established as follows.

Theorem 2.3. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $T_{1}, T_{2}: X \rightarrow P_{b}(X)$ be two multivalued operators. Suppose that
(i) there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $a+b+c<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(T_{1}(x), T_{1}(y)\right) \leq a d(x, y)+b \delta\left(x, T_{1}(x)\right)+c \delta\left(y, T_{1}(y)\right), \quad \forall x, y \in X \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(denote the unique strict fixed point of $T_{1}$ by $x_{1}^{*}$ );
(ii) $(S F)_{T_{2}} \neq \varnothing$;
(iii) there exists $\eta>0$ such that $\delta\left(T_{1}(x), T_{2}(x)\right) \leq \eta$, for all $x \in X$.

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(x_{1}^{*},(S F)_{T_{2}}\right) \leq \frac{(1+c) \eta}{1-a} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x_{2}^{*} \in(\mathrm{SF})_{T_{2}}$. Then $\delta\left(x_{2}^{*}, T_{2}\left(x_{2}^{*}\right)\right)=0$.
We have

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}\right) & =\delta\left(T_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right), T_{2}\left(x_{2}^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \delta\left(T_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right), T_{1}\left(x_{2}^{*}\right)\right)+\delta\left(T_{1}\left(x_{2}^{*}\right), T_{2}\left(x_{2}^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \leq a d\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}\right)+b \delta\left(x_{1}^{*}, T_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right)\right)+c \delta\left(x_{2}^{*}, T_{1}\left(x_{2}^{*}\right)\right)+\eta  \tag{2.21}\\
& =a d\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}\right)+c \delta\left(T_{2}\left(x_{2}^{*}\right), T_{1}\left(x_{2}^{*}\right)+\eta \leq \operatorname{ad}\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}\right)+(1+c) \eta .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1+c}{1-a} \eta . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $\sup _{x_{2}^{*} \in(\mathrm{SF})_{T_{2}}}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(x_{1}^{*},(\mathrm{SF})_{T_{2}}\right) \leq \frac{1+c}{1-a} \eta \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}: X \rightarrow P(X)$ be a finite family of multivalued operators.

The system $F=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}\right)$ is said to be an iterated multifunction system.
The operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{T}_{F}: P(X) \longrightarrow P(X), \quad \widetilde{T}_{F}(Y)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(Y), \quad Y \in P(X) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the multifractal operator generated by the iterated multifunction system $F=\left(F_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $F_{m}$ ).

Remark 2.4. (i) If $F_{i}: X \rightarrow P_{\mathrm{cp}}(X)$ are multivalued $\alpha_{i}$-contractions for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$, then the multifractal operator $\widetilde{T}_{F}$ is an $\alpha$-contraction too, where $\alpha:=\max \left\{\alpha_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}\right\}$ (Nadler Jr. [7]).
(ii) If $F_{i}: X \rightarrow P_{\mathrm{cp}}(X)$ are multivalued $\varphi_{i}$-contractions (see [4]) for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots$, $m\}$, then the multifractal operator $\widetilde{T}_{F}$ is an $\varphi$-contraction too, see Andres and Fišer [4] for the definitions and the result.
(iii) If $F=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}\right)$ is an iterated multifunction system, such that $F_{i}: X \rightarrow P_{\mathrm{cp}}(X)$ is upper semicontinuous for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, then the multifractal operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{T}_{F}: P_{\mathrm{cp}}(X) \longrightarrow P_{\mathrm{cp}}(X), \quad \tilde{T}_{F}(Y)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(Y) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined. A fixed point $Y^{*} \in P_{\mathrm{cp}}(X)$ of $\widetilde{T}_{F}$ is called an attractor of the iterated multifunction system $F$.

The following result is well known, see, for example, Granas and Dugundji [11].
Lemma 2.5. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space, $x_{0} \in X, r>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B:=\widetilde{B}\left(x_{0}, r\right)=\left\{x \in X \mid d\left(x, x_{0}\right) \leq r\right\} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f: B \rightarrow X$ be an $\alpha$-contraction.
If $d\left(x_{0}, f\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \leq(1-\alpha) r$, then $f$ has a unique fixed point in $B$.
Our next result concerns with the existence of an attractor for an iterated multifunction system.

Theorem 2.6. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space, $x_{0} \in X$ and $r>0$. Let $F_{i}: \widetilde{B}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \rightarrow P_{c p}(X)$, $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ a finite family of multivalued operators.

Suppose that
(i) $F_{i}$ is an $\alpha_{i}$-contraction, for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$;
(ii) $\delta\left(x_{0}, F_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \leq\left(1-\max \left\{\alpha_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}\right\}\right) r$, for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$.

Then there exists $Y^{*} \in \widetilde{B}\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}, r\right) \subset P_{c p}(X)$ a unique attractor of the iterated multifunction system $F=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}\right)$.

Proof. Since $F_{i}: \widetilde{B}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \rightarrow P_{\mathrm{cp}}(X)$ is an $\alpha_{i}$-contraction, for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ it follows that $F_{i}$ is upper semicontinuous, for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. By Remark 2.4(iii), we get that the operator $\widetilde{T}_{F}: \widetilde{B}\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}, r\right) \subset P_{\text {cp }}(X) \rightarrow P_{\text {cp }}(X), \widetilde{T}_{F}(Y)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(Y), Y \in \widetilde{B}\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}, r\right)$ is well defined.

Any fixed point $Y^{*} \in \widetilde{B}\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}, r\right) \subset P_{\text {cp }}(X)$ of $\widetilde{T}_{F}$ is an attractor of the iterated multifunction system $F=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}\right)$.

Notice first that, if $Y \in \widetilde{B}\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}, r\right) \subset\left(P_{\mathrm{cp}}(X), H\right)$, then $H\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}, Y\right) \leq r$, which implies that $d\left(x_{0}, y\right) \leq r$, for all $y \in Y$. Thus $y \in \widetilde{B}\left(x_{0}, r\right)$, for all $y \in Y$.

We will show that $\widetilde{T}_{F}$ satisfies the following two conditions:
(i) $\tilde{T}_{F}$ is an $\alpha$-contraction, with $\alpha:=\max \left\{\alpha_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}\right\}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(\widetilde{T}_{F}\left(Y_{1}\right), \widetilde{T}_{F}\left(Y_{2}\right)\right) \leq \alpha H\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right), \quad \forall Y_{1}, \Upsilon_{2} \in \widetilde{B}\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}, r\right) \subset P_{\mathrm{cp}}(X) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $H\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}, \widetilde{T}_{F}\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)\right) \leq(1-\alpha) r$.

Indeed, we have
(i) Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2} \in \widetilde{B}\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}, r\right) \subset P_{\mathrm{cp}}(X)$ şi $u \in \widetilde{T}_{F}\left(Y_{1}\right)$. By the definition of $\widetilde{T}_{F}$, it follows that there exists $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and there exists $y_{1} \in Y_{1}$ such that $u \in F_{j}\left(y_{1}\right)$. Since $Y_{1}, Y_{2} \in P_{\mathrm{cp}}(X)$, there exists $y_{2} \in Y_{2}$ such that $d\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \leq H\left(Y_{1}, \Upsilon_{2}\right)$.

Since, for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ and each $A, B \in P_{\text {cp }}(X)$ with $H(A, B) \leq \varepsilon$, we have that for all $a \in A$ there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) \leq \varepsilon$, by the following relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(F_{j}\left(y_{1}\right), F_{j}\left(y_{2}\right)\right) \leq \alpha_{j} d\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \leq \alpha_{j} H\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain that for $u \in F_{j}\left(y_{1}\right) \subset \widetilde{T}_{F}\left(Y_{1}\right)$, there exists $v \in F_{j}\left(y_{2}\right) \subset \widetilde{T}_{F}\left(Y_{2}\right)$ such that $d(u, v) \leq$ $\alpha_{j} H\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right) \leq \alpha H\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$.

By the above relation and by the similar one (where the roles of $\widetilde{T}_{F}\left(Y_{1}\right)$ and $\tilde{T}_{F}\left(Y_{2}\right)$ are reversed), the first conclusion follows.
(ii) We have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}, \tilde{T}_{F}\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)\right) \leq(1-\alpha) r \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently for all $u \in \widetilde{T}_{F}\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$, we have $d\left(x_{0}, u\right) \leq(1-\alpha) r$. Since $u \in \widetilde{T}_{F}\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$ it follows that there exists $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $u \in F_{j}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{0}, u\right) \leq \delta\left(x_{0}, F_{j}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \leq(1-\alpha) r \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.5, applied to $\widetilde{T}_{F}$, we get that there exists $Y^{*} \in \widetilde{B}\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\}, r\right) \subset P_{\text {cp }}(X)$ a unique fixed point for $\widetilde{T}_{F}$, that is, a unique attractor of the iterated multifunction system $F=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}\right)$. The proof is complete.

Remark 2.7. An interesting extension of the above results could be the case of a set endowed with two metrics, see [12] for other details.
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