

## Review Article

# ***T*-Stability Approach to Variational Iteration Method for Solving Integral Equations**

**R. Saadati,<sup>1</sup> S. M. Vaezpour,<sup>1</sup> and B. E. Rhoades<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology,  
424 Hafez Avenue, Tehran 15914, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405-7106, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to B. E. Rhoades, rhoades@indiana.edu

Received 16 February 2009; Accepted 26 August 2009

Recommended by Nan-jing Huang

We consider *T*-stability definition according to Y. Qing and B. E. Rhoades (2008) and we show that the variational iteration method for solving integral equations is *T*-stable. Finally, we present some text examples to illustrate our result.

Copyright © 2009 R. Saadati et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## **1. Introduction and Preliminaries**

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space and  $T$  a self-map of  $X$ . Let  $x_{n+1} = f(T, x_n)$  be some iteration procedure. Suppose that  $F(T)$ , the fixed point set of  $T$ , is nonempty and that  $x_n$  converges to a point  $q \in F(T)$ . Let  $\{y_n\} \subseteq X$  and define  $\epsilon_n = \|y_{n+1} - f(T, y_n)\|$ . If  $\lim \epsilon_n = 0$  implies that  $\lim y_n = q$ , then the iteration procedure  $x_{n+1} = f(T, x_n)$  is said to be *T*-stable. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded, for if  $\{y_n\}$  is not bounded, then it cannot possibly converge. If these conditions hold for  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ , that is, Picard's iteration, then we will say that Picard's iteration is *T*-stable.

**Theorem 1.1** (see [1]). *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space and  $T$  a self-map of  $X$  satisfying*

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq L\|x - Tx\| + \alpha\|x - y\| \quad (1.1)$$

*for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $L \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ . Suppose that  $T$  has a fixed point  $p$ . Then,  $T$  is Picard *T*-stable.*

Various kinds of analytical methods and numerical methods [2–10] were used to solve integral equations. To illustrate the basic idea of the method, we consider the general

nonlinear system:

$$L[u(t)] + N[u(t)] = g(t), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $L$  is a linear operator,  $N$  is a nonlinear operator, and  $g(t)$  is a given continuous function. The basic character of the method is to construct a functional for the system, which reads

$$u_{n+1}(x) = u_n(x) + \int_0^t \lambda(s) [Lu_n(s) + N\tilde{u}_n(s) - g(s)] ds, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a Lagrange multiplier which can be identified optimally via variational theory,  $u_n$  is the  $n$ th approximate solution, and  $\tilde{u}_n$  denotes a restricted variation; that is,  $\delta\tilde{u}_n = 0$ .

Now, we consider the Fredholm integral equation of second kind in the general case, which reads

$$u(x) = f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b K(x,t)u(t)dt, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $K(x,t)$  is the kernel of the integral equation. There is a simple iteration formula for (1.4) in the form

$$u_{n+1}(x) = f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b K(x,t)u_n(t)dt. \quad (1.5)$$

Now, we show that the nonlinear mapping  $T$ , defined by

$$u_{n+1}(x) = T(u_n(x)) = f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b K(x,t)u_n(t)dt, \quad (1.6)$$

is  $T$ -stable in  $L^2[a,b]$ .

First, we show that the nonlinear mapping  $T$  has a fixed point. For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(u_m(x)) - T(u_n(x))\| &= \|u_{m+1}(x) - u_{n+1}(x)\| \\ &= \left\| \lambda \int_a^b K(x,t)(u_m(t) - u_n(t))dt \right\| \\ &\leq |\lambda| \left[ \int_a^b \int_a^b K^2(x,t)dxdt \right]^{1/2} \|u_m(x) - u_n(x)\|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

Therefore, if

$$|\lambda| < \left[ \iint_a^b K^2(x, t) dx dt \right]^{-1/2}, \quad (1.8)$$

then, the nonlinear mapping  $T$  has a fixed point.

Second, we show that the nonlinear mapping  $T$  satisfies (1.1). Let (1.6) hold. Putting  $L = 0$  and  $\alpha = |\lambda| \left[ \iint_a^b K^2(x, t) dx dt \right]^{1/2}$  shows that (1.1) holds for the nonlinear mapping  $T$ .

All of the conditions of Theorem 1.1 hold for the nonlinear mapping  $T$  and hence it is  $T$ -stable. As a result, we can state the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Use the iteration scheme*

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x) &= f(x), \\ u_{n+1}(x) &= T(u_n(x)) = f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b K(x, t) u_n(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , to construct a sequence of successive iterations  $\{u_n(x)\}$  to the solution of (1.4). In addition, if

$$|\lambda| < \left[ \iint_a^b K^2(x, t) dx dt \right]^{-1/2}, \quad (1.10)$$

$L = 0$  and  $\alpha = |\lambda| \left[ \iint_a^b K^2(x, t) dx dt \right]^{1/2}$ . Then the nonlinear mapping  $T$ , in the norm of  $L^2(a, b)$ , is  $T$ -stable.

**Theorem 1.3** (see [11]). *Use the iteration scheme*

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x) &= f(x), \\ u_{n+1}(x) &= f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b K(x, t) u_n(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , to construct a sequence of successive iteration  $\{u_n(x)\}$  to the solution of (1.4). In addition, let

$$\iint_a^b K^2(x, t) dx dt = B^2 < \infty, \quad (1.12)$$

and assume that  $f(x) \in L^2(a, b)$ . Then, if  $|\lambda| < 1/B$ , the above iteration converges, in the norm of  $L^2(a, b)$  to the solution of (1.4).

**Corollary 1.4.** Consider the iteration scheme

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x) &= f(x), \\ u_{n+1}(x) &= T(u_n(x)) = f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b K(x,t)u_n(t)dt, \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . If

$$|\lambda| < \left[ \iint_a^b K^2(x,t)dxdt \right]^{-1/2}, \quad (1.14)$$

$L = 0$  and  $\alpha = |\lambda| \left[ \iint_a^b K^2(x,t)dxdt \right]^{1/2}$ , then stability of the nonlinear mapping  $T$  in the norm of  $L^2(a,b)$  is a coefficient condition for the above iteration to converge in the norm of  $L^2(a,b)$ , and to the solution of (1.4).

## 2. Test Examples

In this section we present some test examples to show that the stability of the iteration method is a coefficient condition for the convergence in the norm of  $L^2(a,b)$  to the solution of (1.4). In fact the stability interval is a subset of converges interval.

*Example 2.1* (see [12]). Consider the integral equation

$$u(x) = \sqrt{x} + \lambda \int_0^1 xt u(t)dt. \quad (2.1)$$

The iteration formula reads

$$u_{n+1}(x) = \sqrt{x} + \lambda \int_0^1 xt u_n(t)dt, \quad (2.2)$$

$$u_0(x) = \sqrt{x}. \quad (2.3)$$

Substituting (2.3) into (2.2), we have the following results:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x) &= \sqrt{x} + \lambda \int_0^1 xt \sqrt{t}dt = \sqrt{x} + \frac{2\lambda x}{5}, \\ u_2(x) &= \sqrt{x} + \lambda \int_0^1 xt \left[ \sqrt{t} + \frac{2\lambda t}{5} \right] dt = \sqrt{x} + \left[ \frac{2\lambda}{5} + \frac{2\lambda^2}{15} \right] x, \\ u_3(x) &= \sqrt{x} + \lambda \int_0^1 xt \left[ \sqrt{t} + \left( \frac{2\lambda}{5} + \frac{2\lambda^2}{15} \right) t \right] dt = \sqrt{x} + \left[ \frac{2\lambda}{5} + \frac{2\lambda^2}{15} + \frac{2\lambda^3}{45} \right] x. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Continuing this way ad infinitum, we obtain

$$u_n(x) = \sqrt{x} + \left[ \frac{2}{5 \cdot 3^0} \lambda + \frac{2}{5 \cdot 3^1} \lambda^2 + \frac{2}{5 \cdot 3^2} \lambda^3 + \dots \right] x, \quad (2.5)$$

then

$$u_n(x) = \sqrt{x} + \left( \frac{2}{5} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^i}{3^{i-1}} \right) x. \quad (2.6)$$

The above sequence is convergent if  $|\lambda| < 3$ , and the exact solution is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = \sqrt{x} + \frac{6\lambda}{5(3-\lambda)} x = u(x). \quad (2.7)$$

On the other hand we have

$$\left[ \iint_a^b K^2(x, t) dx dt \right]^{1/2} = \left[ \iint_0^1 (xt)^2 dx dt \right]^{1/2} = \frac{1}{3}. \quad (2.8)$$

Then if  $|\lambda| < 3$  for mapping

$$u_{n+1}(x) = T(u_n(x)) = \sqrt{x} + \lambda \int_0^1 xt u_n(t) dt, \quad (2.9)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(u_m(x)) - T(u_n(x))\| &= \|u_{m+1}(x) - u_{n+1}(x)\| \\ &= \left\| \lambda \int_0^1 xt (u_m(t) - u_n(t)) dt \right\| \\ &\leq |\lambda| \left[ \iint_0^1 (xt)^2 dx dt \right]^{1/2} \|u_m(x) - u_n(x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{|\lambda|}{3} \|u_m(x) - u_n(x)\|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

which implies that  $T$  has a fixed point. Also, putting  $L = 0$  and  $\alpha = |\lambda|/3$  shows that (1.1) holds for the nonlinear mapping  $T$ . All of the conditions of Theorem 1.1 hold for the nonlinear mapping  $T$  and hence it is  $T$ -stable.

*Example 2.2* (see [12]). Consider the integral equation

$$u(x) = x + \lambda \int_0^1 (1 - 3xt)u(t)dt, \quad (2.11)$$

its iteration formula reads

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1}(x) &= x + \lambda \int_0^1 (1 - 3xt)u_n(t)dt, \\ u_0(x) &= x. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Then we have

$$u_n(x) = x + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda^j \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 (1 - 3xt_1)(1 - 3t_1t_2) \cdots (1 - 3t_{j-1}t_j)t_j dt_j \cdots dt_1. \quad (2.13)$$

By (2.13), we have the following results:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x) &= x + \lambda \int_0^1 (1 - 3xt)t dt = (1 - \lambda)x + \frac{1}{2}\lambda, \\ u_2(x) &= x + \lambda \int_0^1 (1 - 3xt) \left[ (1 - \lambda)t + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \right] dt \\ &= (1 - \lambda)x + \frac{1}{2}\lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{4}x, \\ u_3(x) &= x + \lambda \int_0^1 (1 - 3xt) \left[ (1 - \lambda)t + \frac{1}{2}\lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{4}t \right] dt \\ &= (1 - \lambda)x + \frac{\lambda^2}{4}(1 - \lambda)x + \frac{1}{2}\lambda + \frac{\lambda^3}{8}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Continuing this way ad infinitum, we obtain

$$u_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{3(-1)^j - 1}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^j x + \left(\frac{1 + (-1)^{i+1}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^j. \quad (2.15)$$

The above sequence is convergent if  $|\lambda/2| < 1$ , that is,  $-2 < \lambda < 2$  and the exact solution is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = \frac{2\lambda}{4 - \lambda^2} + \frac{4(1 - \lambda)}{4 - \lambda^2}x = u(x). \quad (2.16)$$

On the other hand we have

$$\left[ \iint_a^b K^2(x,t) dx dt \right]^{1/2} = \left[ \iint_0^1 (1-3xt)^2 dx dt \right]^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (2.17)$$

Then if  $|\lambda| < \sqrt{2}$ , for mapping

$$u_{n+1}(x) = T(u_n(x)) = x + \lambda \int_0^1 (1-3xt)u_n(t) dt, \quad (2.18)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(u_m(x)) - T(u_n(x))\| &= \|u_{m+1}(x) - u_{n+1}(x)\| \\ &= \left\| \lambda \int_0^1 xt(u_m(t) - u_n(t)) dt \right\| \\ &\leq |\lambda| \left[ \iint_0^1 (1-3xt)^2 dx dt \right]^{1/2} \|u_m(x) - u_n(x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{|\lambda|}{\sqrt{2}} \|u_m(x) - u_n(x)\|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

which implies that  $T$  has a fixed point. Also, putting  $L = 0$  and  $\alpha = |\lambda|/\sqrt{2}$  shows that (1.1) holds for the nonlinear mapping  $T$ . All of conditions of Theorem 1.1 hold for the nonlinear mapping  $T$  and hence it is  $T$ -stable.

*Example 2.3.* Consider the integral equation

$$u(x) = \sin ax + \lambda \frac{a}{2} \int_0^{\pi/2a} \cos(ax)u(t) dt, \quad (2.20)$$

its iteration formula reads

$$u_{n+1}(x) = \sin ax + \lambda \frac{a}{2} \int_0^{\pi/2a} \cos(ax)u_n(t) dt, \quad (2.21)$$

$$u_0(x) = \sin ax. \quad (2.22)$$

Substituting (2.22) into (2.21), we have the following results:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1(x) &= \sin ax + \lambda \frac{a}{2} \int_0^{\pi/2a} \cos(ax) \sin(at) dt = \sin(ax) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \cos(ax), \\
u_2(x) &= \sin(ax) + \lambda \frac{a}{2} \int_0^{\pi/2a} \cos(ax) \left[ \sin(at) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \cos(at) \right] dt \\
&= \sin(ax) + \cos(ax) \left[ \frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right], \\
u_3(x) &= \sin(ax) + \lambda \frac{a}{2} \int_0^{\pi/2a} \cos(ax) \left[ \sin(at) + \left[ \frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right] \cos(at) \right] dt \\
&= \sin(ax) + \cos(ax) \left[ \frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} + \frac{\lambda^3}{8} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Continuing this way ad infinitum, we obtain

$$u_n(x) = \sin(ax) + \cos(ax) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \right)^i. \tag{2.24}$$

The above sequence is convergent if  $|\lambda/2| < 1$ ; that is,  $-2 < \lambda < 2$ , and the exact solution is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = \sin(ax) + \frac{\lambda}{2 - \lambda} \cos(ax) = u(x). \tag{2.25}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\left[ \iint_a^b K^2(x, t) dx dt \right]^{1/2} = \left[ \iint_0^{\pi/2a} \left( \frac{a}{2} \cos(ax) \right)^2 dx dt \right]^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{32}}. \tag{2.26}$$

Then if  $|\lambda| < 1/\sqrt{\pi^2/32} \cong 1.800$ , for mapping

$$u_{n+1}(x) = T(u_n(x)) = x + \lambda \frac{a}{2} \int_0^{\pi/2a} \cos(ax) u_n(t) dt, \tag{2.27}$$



we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T(u_m(x)) - T(u_n(x))\| &= \|u_{m+1}(x) - u_{n+1}(x)\| \\
 &= \left\| \lambda \int_0^1 xt(u_m(t) - u_n(t))dt \right\| \\
 &\leq |\lambda| \left[ \int_0^{\pi/2a} \left( \frac{a}{2} \cos(ax) \right)^2 dx dt \right]^{1/2} \|u_m(x) - u_n(x)\| \quad (2.28) \\
 &\leq |\lambda| \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{32}} \|u_m(x) - u_n(x)\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $T$  has a fixed point. Also, putting  $L = 0$  and  $\alpha = |\lambda| \sqrt{\pi^2/32}$  shows that (1.1) holds for the nonlinear mapping  $T$ . All of the conditions of Theorem 1.1 hold for the nonlinear mapping  $T$  and hence it is  $T$ -stable.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank referees and area editor Professor Nan-jing Huang for giving useful comments and suggestions for the improvement of this paper. This paper is dedicated to Professor Mehdi Dehghan

## References

- [1] Y. Qing and B. E. Rhoades, "T-stability of Picard iteration in metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2008, Article ID 418971, 4 pages, 2008.
- [2] J. Biazar and H. Ghazvini, "He's variational iteration method for solving hyperbolic differential equations," *International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 311–314, 2007.
- [3] J. H. He, "Variational iteration method—a kind of nonlinear analytical technique: some examples," *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, vol. 34, pp. 699–708, 1999.
- [4] J.-H. He, "A review on some new recently developed nonlinear analytical techniques," *International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 51–70, 2000.
- [5] J.-H. He and X.-H. Wu, "Variational iteration method: new development and applications," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 54, no. 7-8, pp. 881–894, 2007.
- [6] J.-H. He, "Variational iteration method—some recent results and new interpretations," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 207, no. 1, pp. 3–17, 2007.
- [7] Z. M. Odibat and S. Momani, "Application of variational iteration method to nonlinear differential equations of fractional order," *International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 27–34, 2006.
- [8] H. Ozer, "Application of the variational iteration method to the boundary value problems with jump discontinuities arising in solid mechanics," *International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 513–518, 2007.
- [9] A. M. Wazwaz and S. A. Khuri, "Two methods for solving integral equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 79–89, 1996.
- [10] A. M. Wazwaz, "A reliable treatment for mixed Volterra-Fredholm integral equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 127, no. 2-3, pp. 405–414, 2002.
- [11] C.-E. Fröberg, *Introduction to Numerical Analysis*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, USA, 1969.
- [12] R. Saadati, M. Dehghan, S. M. Vaezpour, and M. Saravi, "The convergence of He's variational iteration method for solving integral equations," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*. In press.