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We show the existence of a week solution in $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ to a Dirichlet problem for $-\Delta_{p(x)} u=f(x, u)$ in $\Omega$, and its localization. This approach is based on the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder.

## 1. Introduction

In this work, we consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p(x)} u=f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{P}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$, is a nonempty bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, \Delta_{p(x)} u=$ $\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)$ is the so-called $p(x)$-Laplacian operator, and (CAR): $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function which satisfies the growth condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, s)| \leq a(x)+C|s|^{q(x) / q^{\prime}(x)} \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text { and all } s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C=$ const. $>0,1 / q(x)+1 / q^{\prime}(x)=1$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, and $a \in L^{q^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega), a(x) \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

We recall in what follows some definitions and basic properties of variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega), W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, and $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. In that context, we refer to $[1,2]$ for the fundamental properties of these spaces.

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{+}^{\infty}(\Omega)=\left\{p: p \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \underset{x \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \inf } p(x)>1\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p \in L_{+}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, let $p_{1}:=$ ess $\inf _{x \in \Omega} p(x) \leq p(x) \leq p_{2}:=$ ess $\sup _{x \in \Omega} p(x)<\infty$, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

Let us define by $\mathcal{U}(\Omega)$ the set of all measurable real functions defined on $\Omega$. For any $p \in L_{+}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we define the variable exponent Lebesgue space by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{U}(\Omega): \rho_{p(x)}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a norm, the so-called Luxemburg norm, on this space by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p(x)}=\inf \left\{\delta>0: \rho_{p(x)}\left(\frac{u}{\delta}\right) \leq 1\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(L^{p(x)}(\Omega),\|\cdot\|_{p(x)}\right)$ becomes a Banach space.
The variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega): \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega), i=1, \ldots, N\right\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we define on this space the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|=\|u\|_{p(x)}+\|\nabla u\|_{p(x)} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. The space $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.
Proposition 1.1 (see $[1,2])$. If $p \in L_{+}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega), W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, and $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ are separable and reflexive Banach spaces.

Proposition 1.2 (see [1,2]). If $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $p_{2}<\infty$, then we have
(i) $\|u\|_{p(x)}<1(=1 ;>1) \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(x)}(u)<1(=1 ;>1)$,
(ii) $\|u\|_{p(x)}>1 \Rightarrow\|u\|_{p(x)}^{p_{1}} \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq\|u\|_{p(x)^{\prime}}^{p_{2}}$,
(iii) $\|u\|_{p(x)}<1 \Rightarrow\|u\|_{p(x)}^{p_{2}} \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq\|u\|_{p(x)^{\prime}}^{p_{1}}$,
(iv) $\|u\|_{p(x)}=a>0 \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(x)}(u / a)=1$.

Proposition 1.3 (see [3]). Assume that $\Omega$ is bounded and smooth. Denote by $C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\{h \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ : $\left.h_{1}>1\right\}$.
(i) Let p, $q \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$. If

$$
q(x)<p^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)} & \text { if } p(x)<N  \tag{1.7}\\ +\infty & \text { if } p(x) \geq N\end{cases}
$$

then $\left(W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega),\|\cdot\|\right)$ is compactly imbedded in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$.
(ii) (Poincaré inequality, see [1, Theorem 2.7]). If $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, then there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p(x)} \leq C\|\mid \nabla u\|_{p(x),} \quad \forall u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $\|u\|_{1, p(x)}=\|\mid \nabla u\|_{p(x)}$ and $\|u\|$ are equivalent norms on $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. In what follows, $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, with $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, will be considered as endowed with the norm $\|u\|_{1, p(x)}$.

Lemma 1.4. Assume that $r \in L_{+}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$. If $|u|^{r(x)} \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r_{1}}\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r_{2}}\right\} \leq\left\||u|^{r(x)}\right\|_{p(x)} \leq \max \left\{\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r_{1}}\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r_{2}}\right\} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.2 (iv), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{|u|^{r(x)}}{\left\||u|^{r(x)}\right\|_{p(x)}}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}}\right|^{r(x) p(x)} \frac{\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r(x) p(x)}}{\left\||u|^{r(x)}\right\|_{p(x)}^{p(x)}} d x  \tag{1.10}\\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}}\right|^{r(x) p(x)} \frac{\max \left\{\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r,}\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r, p(x)}\right\}}{\left\||u|^{r(x)}\right\|_{p(x)}^{p(x)}} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

By the mean value theorem, there exists $\xi \in \bar{\Omega}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{\max \left\{\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r_{r 1}(\xi)},\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r_{2} p(\xi)}\right\}}{\left\||u|^{r(x)}\right\|_{p(x)}^{p(\xi)}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}}\right|^{r(x) p(x)} d x \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||u|^{r(x)}\right\|_{p(x)} \leq \max \left\{\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r_{1}},\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r_{2}}\right\} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{gather*}
1 \geq \frac{\min \left\{\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r_{1}(\xi)},\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r_{p} p(\xi)}\right\}}{\left\||u|^{r(x)}\right\|_{p(x)}^{p(\xi)}} d x,  \tag{1.13}\\
\left\||u|^{r(x)}\right\|_{p(x)} \geq \min \left\{\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r_{1}}\|u\|_{r(x) p(x)}^{r_{2}}\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 1.5. If $r(x)=r=$ const., then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||u|^{r}\right\|_{p(x)}=\|u\|_{r p(x)}^{r} . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity of notation, we write

$$
\begin{gather*}
X=W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega), \quad X^{*}=\left(W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)\right)^{*}, \quad Y=L^{q(x)}(\Omega), \quad Y^{*}=L^{q^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega),  \tag{1.15}\\
\|\cdot\|_{X}=\|\cdot\|_{1, p(x)}, \quad\|\cdot\|_{Y}=\|\cdot\|_{q(x)} .
\end{gather*}
$$

In [4], a topological method, based on the fundamental properties of the LeraySchauder degree, is used in proving the existence of a week solution in $X$ to the Dirichlet problem ( P ) that is an adaptation of that used by Dinca et al. for Dirichlet problems with classical $p$-Laplacian [5]. In this work, we use the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder and give the existence of a solution and its localization. This method is used for finding solutions in Hölder spaces, while in [6], solutions are found in Sobolev spaces.

Let us recall some results borrowed from Dinca [4] about $p(x)$-Laplacian and Nemytskii operator $N_{f}$. Firstly, since $q(x)<p(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}, X$ is compactly embedded in $Y$. Denote by $i$ the compact injection of $X$ in $Y$ and by $i^{*}: Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}, i^{*} v=v \circ i$ for all $v \in Y^{*}$, its adjoint.

Since the Caratheodory function $f$ satisfies (CAR), the Nemytskii operator $N_{f}$ generated by $f,\left(N_{f} u\right)(x)=f(x, u(x))$, is well defined from $Y$ into $Y^{*}$, continuous, and bounded ([3, Proposition 2.2]). In order to prove that problem (P) has a weak solution in $X$ it is sufficient to prove that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p(x)} u=\left(i^{*} N_{f} i\right) u \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution in $X$.

Indeed, if $u \in X$ satisfies (1.16) then, for all $v \in X$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle-\Delta_{p(x)} u, v\right\rangle_{X, X^{*}}=\left\langle\left(i^{*} N_{f} i\right) u, v\right\rangle_{X, X^{*}}=\left\langle N_{f}(i u), i v\right\rangle_{Y, Y^{*}} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which rewrites as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \nabla u \nabla v d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and tells us that $u$ is a weak solution in $X$ to problem ( P ).
Since $-\Delta_{p(x)}$ is a homeomorphism of $X$ onto $X^{*}$, (1.16) may be equivalently written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(-\Delta_{p(x)}\right)^{-1}\left(i^{*} N_{f} i\right) u . \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, proving that problem ( P ) has a weak solution in $X$ reduces to proving that the compact operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left(-\Delta_{p(x)}\right)^{-1}\left(i^{*} N_{f} i\right): X \rightarrow X \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a fixed point.
Theorem 1.6 (Alternative of Leray-Schauder, [7]). Let $B[0, R]$ denote the closed ball in a Banach space $E,\{u \in E:\|u\| \leq R\}$, and let $K: B[0, R] \rightarrow E$ be a compact operator. Then either
(i) the equation $\lambda K u=u$ has a solution in $B[0, R]$ for $\lambda=1$ or
(ii) there exists an element $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=R$ satisfying $\lambda K u=u$ for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$.

## 2. Main Results

In this work, we present new existence and localization results for $X$-solutions to problem (P), under (CAR) condition on $f$. Our approach is based on regularity results for the solutions of Dirichlet problems and again on the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder.

We start with an existence and localization principle for problem (P).
Theorem 2.1. Assume that there is a constant $R>0$, independent of $\lambda>0$, with $\|u\|_{X} \neq R$ for any solution $u \in X$ to

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p(x)} u & =\lambda f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

and for each $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Then the Dirichlet problem $(\mathrm{P})$ has at least one solution $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{X} \leq R$.
Proof. By [3, Theorem 3.1], $-\Delta_{p(x)}$ is a homeomorphism of $X$ onto $X^{*}$. We will apply Theorem 2.1 to $E=X$ and to operator $K: X \rightarrow X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K u=\left(-\Delta_{p(x)}\right)^{-1}\left(i^{*} N_{f} i\right) u \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i^{*} N_{f} i: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is given by $\left(N_{f} u\right)(x)=f(x, u(x))$. Notice that, according to a wellknown regularity result [4], the operator $\left(-\Delta_{p(x)}\right)^{-1}$ from $X$ to $X$ is well defined, continuous, and order preserving. Consequently, $K$ is a compact operator. On the other hand, it is clear that the fixed points of $K$ are the solutions of problem $(\mathrm{P})$. Now the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.6 since condition (ii) is excluded by hypothesis.

Theorem 2.2 immediately yields the following existence and localization result.
Theorem 2.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$, be a smooth bounded domain and let $p, q \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ be such that $q(x)<p(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. Assume that $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function which satisfies the growth condition (CAR).

Suppose, in addition, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{\gamma^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}} \max \left\{\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y^{\prime}}^{q_{1}-1}\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y}^{q_{2}-1}\right\}<1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the constant appearing in condition (CAR). Let $R \geq 1$ be a constant such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \geq\left(\frac{\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}}\|a\|_{Y^{*}}}{1-C\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}} \max \left\{\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y^{\prime}}^{q_{1}-1}\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y}^{q_{2}-1}\right\}}\right)^{1 /\left(p_{1}-1\right)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Dirichlet problem (P) has at least a solution in $X$ with $\|u\|_{X} \leq R$.
Proof. Let $u \in X$ be a solution of problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ with $\|u\|_{X}=R \geq 1$, corresponding to some $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Then by Propositions 1.2, 1.3, and Lemma 1.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{X}^{p_{1}} & \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x=\lambda\left\langle\left(i^{*} N_{f} i\right) u, u\right\rangle_{X, X^{*}}=\lambda\left\langle N_{f}(i u), i u\right\rangle_{Y, Y^{*}} \\
& \leq \lambda\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}}\left\|N_{f}(i u)\right\|_{Y^{*}}\|u\|_{X} \\
& \leq \lambda\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}}\|u\|_{X}\left(\|a\|_{Y^{*}}+C \max \left\{\|i u\|_{Y}^{q_{1}-1},\|i u\|_{Y}^{q_{2}-1}\right\}\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& \leq \lambda\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}}\|u\|_{X}\left(\|a\|_{Y^{*}}+C\|u\|_{X}^{q_{2}-1} \max \left\{\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y^{\prime}}^{q_{1}-1}\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y}^{q_{2}-1}\right\}\right) \\
& \leq \lambda\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}}\|u\|_{X}\left(\|a\|_{Y^{*}}+C\|u\|_{X}^{p_{1}-1} \max \left\{\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y^{\prime}}^{q_{1}-1}\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y}^{q_{2}-1}\right\}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{X}^{p_{1}-1} \leq \frac{\lambda\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}}\|a\|_{Y^{*}}}{1-\lambda C\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}} \max \left\{\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y^{\prime}}\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y}^{q_{1}-1}\right\}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $\|u\|_{X}=R$ in the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \leq\left(\frac{\lambda\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}}\|a\|_{Y^{*}}}{1-\lambda C\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}} \max \left\{\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y^{\prime}}^{q_{1}-1}\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y}^{q_{2}-1}\right\}}\right)^{1 /\left(p_{1}-1\right)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, taking into account (2.3) and $\lambda \in(0,1)$, gives

$$
\begin{align*}
R & \leq \lambda^{\left(1 / p_{1}-1\right)}\left(\frac{\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}}\|a\|_{Y^{*}}}{1-C \lambda\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}} \max \left\{\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y^{\prime}}^{q_{1}-1}\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y}^{q_{2}-1}\right\}}\right)^{1 /\left(p_{1}-1\right)} \\
& \leq \lambda^{\left(1 / p_{1}-1\right)}\left(\frac{\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}}\|a\|_{Y^{*}}}{1-C\left\|i^{*}\right\|_{Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}} \max \left\{\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y^{\prime}}^{q_{1}-1}\|i\|_{X \rightarrow Y}^{q_{2}-1}\right\}}\right)^{1 /\left(p_{1}-1\right)}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \leq \lambda^{\left(1 / p_{1}-1\right)} R<R,
\end{align*}
$$

a contradiction. Theorem 2.1 applies.
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