Research Article

Some Common Fixed Point Theorems for Weakly Compatible Mappings in Metric Spaces

M. A. Ahmed

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut 71516, Egypt

Correspondence should be addressed to M. A. Ahmed, mahmed68@yahoo.com

Received 23 October 2008; Accepted 18 January 2009

Recommended by William A. Kirk

We establish a common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings generalizing a result of Khan and Kubiaczyk (1988). Also, an example is given to support our generalization. We also prove common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in metric and compact metric spaces.

Copyright © 2009 M. A. Ahmed. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In the last years, fixed point theorems have been applied to show the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of differential equations, integral equations and many other branches mathematics (see, e.g., [1–3]). Some common fixed point theorems for weakly commuting, compatible, δ -compatible and weakly compatible mappings under different contractive conditions in metric spaces have appeared in [4–15]. Throughout this paper, (X, d) is a metric space.

Following [9, 16], we define,

$$2^{X} = \{ A \subset X : A \text{ is nonempty} \},$$

$$B(X) = \{ A \in 2^{X} : A \text{ is bounded} \}.$$
(1.1)

For all $A, B \in B(X)$, we define

$$\delta(A, B) = \sup \{ d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B \},$$

$$D(A, B) = \inf \{ d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B \},$$

$$H(A, B) = \inf \{ r > 0 : A_r \supset B, B_r \supset A \},$$
(1.2)

where $A_r = \{x \in X : d(x, a) < r, \text{ for some } a \in A\}$ and $B_r = \{y \in X : d(y, b) < r, \text{ for some } b \in B\}$.

If $A = \{a\}$ for some $a \in A$, we denote $\delta(a, B)$, D(a, B) and H(a, B) for $\delta(A, B)$, D(A, B) and H(A, B), respectively. Also, if $B = \{b\}$, then one can deduce that $\delta(A, B) = D(A, B) = H(A, B) = d(a, b)$.

It follows immediately from the definition of $\delta(A, B)$ that, for every $A, B, C \in B(X)$,

$$\delta(A,B) = \delta(B,A) \ge 0, \quad \delta(A,B) \le \delta(A,C) + \delta(C,B), \quad \delta(A,B) = 0,$$
iff $A = B = \{a\}, \quad \delta(A,A) = \text{diam } A.$

$$(1.3)$$

We need the following definitions and lemmas.

Definition 1.1 (see [16]). A sequence (A_n) of nonempty subsets of X is said to be *convergent* to $A \subseteq X$ if:

- (i) each point a in A is the limit of a convergent sequence (a_n) , where a_n is in A_n for $n \in \{0\} \cup N$ (N:= the set of all positive integers),
- (ii) for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer m such that $A_n \subseteq A_\epsilon$ for n > m, where A_ϵ denotes the set of all points x in X for which there exists a point a in A, depending on x, such that $d(x,a) < \epsilon$.

A is then said to be the *limit* of the sequence (A_n) .

Definition 1.2 (see [9]). A set-valued function $F: X \to 2^X$ is said to be *continuous* if for any sequence (x_n) in X with $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$, it yields $\lim_{n\to\infty} H(Fx_n, Fx) = 0$.

Lemma 1.3 (see [16]). If (A_n) and (B_n) are sequences in B(X) converging to A and B in B(X), respectively, then the sequence $(\delta(A_n, B_n))$ converges to $\delta(A, B)$.

Lemma 1.4 (see [16]). Let (A_n) be a sequence in B(X) and let y be a point in X such that $\delta(A_n, y) \to 0$. Then the sequence (A_n) converges to the set $\{y\}$ in B(X).

Lemma 1.5 (see [9]). For any $A, B, C, D \in B(X)$, it yields that $\delta(A, B) \leq H(A, C) + \delta(C, D) + H(D, B)$.

Lemma 1.6 (see [17]). Let $\Psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a right continuous function such that $\Psi(t) < t$ for every t > 0. Then, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi^n(t) = 0$ for every t > 0, where Ψ^n denotes the n-times repeated composition of Ψ with itself.

Definition 1.7 (see [15]). The mappings $I: X \to X$ and $F: X \to B(X)$ are *weakly commuting* on X if $IFx \in B(X)$ and $\delta(FIx, IFx) \leq \max\{\delta(Ix, Fx), \operatorname{diam} IFx\}$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 1.8 (see [13]). The mappings $I: X \to X$ and $F: X \to B(X)$ are said to be δ-compatible if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta(FIx_n, IFx_n) = 0$ whenever (x_n) is a sequence in X such that $IFx_n \in B(X)$, $Fx_n \to \{t\}$ and $Ix_n \to t$ for some $t \in X$.

Definition 1.9 (see [13]). The mappings $I: X \to X$ and $F: X \to B(X)$ are weakly compatible if they commute at coincidence points, that is, for each point $u \in X$ such that $Fu = \{Iu\}$, then FIu = IFu (note that the equation $Fu = \{Iu\}$ implies that Fu is a singleton).

If *F* is a single-valued mapping, then Definition 1.7 (resp., Definitions 1.8 and 1.9) reduces to the concept of weak commutativity (resp., compatibility and weak compatibility) for single-valued mappings due to Sessa [18] (resp., Jungck [11, 12]).

It can be seen that

weakly commuting $\Longrightarrow \delta$ -compatible and δ -compatible \Longrightarrow weakly compatible, (1.4)

but the converse of these implications may not be true (see, [13, 15]).

Throughtout this paper, we assume that Φ is the set of all functions $\phi:[0,\infty)^5\to [0,\infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) ϕ is upper semi-continuous continuous at a point 0 from the right, and non-decreasing in each coordinate variable,
- (ii) For each t > 0, $\Psi(t) = \max\{\phi(t, t, t, t, t), \phi(t, t, t, 2t, 0), \phi(t, t, t, 0, 2t)\} < t$.

Theorem 1.10 (see [19]). Let F, G be mappings of a complete metric space (X,d) into B(X) and I be a mapping of X into itself such that I, F and G are continuous, $F(X) \subseteq J(X)$, $G(X) \subseteq I(X)$, IF = FI, IG = GI and for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\delta(Fx, Gy) \le \phi(d(Ix, Iy), \delta(Ix, Fx), \delta(Iy, Gy), D(Ix, Gy), D(Iy, Fx)), \tag{1.5}$$

where ϕ satisfies (i) and $\phi(t,t,t,at,bt) < t$ for each t > 0, and $a \ge 0$, $b \ge 0$ with $a + b \le 2$. Then I, F and G have a unique common fixed point u such that $u = Iu \in Fu \cap Gu$.

In the present paper, we are concerned with the following:

- (1) replacing the commutativity of the mappings in Theorem 1.10 by the weak compatibility of a pair of mappings to obtain a common fixed point theorem metric spaces without the continuity assumption of the mappings,
- (2) giving an example to support our generalization of Theorem 1.10,
- (3) establishing another common fixed point theorem for two families of set-valued mappings and two single-valued mappings,
- (4) proving a common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings under a strict contractive condition on compact metric spaces.

2. Main Results

In this section, we establish a common fixed point theorem in metric spaces generalizing Theorems 1.10. Also, an example is introduced to support our generalization. We prove a common fixed point theorem for two families of set-valued mappings and two single-valued mappings. Finally, we establish a common fixed point theorem under a strict contractive condition on compact metric spaces.

First we state and prove the following.

Theorem 2.1. Let I, J be two sefmaps of a metric space (X, d) and let $F, G : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be two set-valued mappings with

$$\cup F(X) \subseteq I(X), \qquad \cup G(X) \subseteq I(X).$$
 (2.1)

Suppose that one of I(X) and J(X) is complete and the pairs $\{F,I\}$ and $\{G,J\}$ are weakly compatible. If there exists a function $\phi \in \Phi$ such that for all $x,y \in X$,

$$\delta(Fx, Gy) \le \phi(d(Ix, Jy), \delta(Ix, Fx), \delta(Jy, Gy), D(Ix, Gy), D(Jy, Fx)), \tag{2.2}$$

then there is a point $p \in X$ such that $\{p\} = \{Ip\} = \{Jp\} = Fp = Gp$.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X. By (2.1), we choose a point x_1 in X such that $Jx_1 \in Fx_0 = Z_0$ and for this point x_1 there exists a point x_2 in X such that $Ix_2 \in Gx_1 = Z_1$. Continuing this manner we can define a sequence (x_n) as follows:

$$Jx_{2n+1} \in Fx_{2n} = Z_{2n}, \qquad Ix_{2n+2} \in Gx_{2n+1} = Z_{2n+1},$$
 (2.3)

for $n \in \{0\} \cup N$. For simplicity, we put $V_n = \delta(Z_n, Z_{n+1})$ for $n \in \{0\} \cup N$. By (2.2) and (2.3), we have that

$$V_{2n} = \delta(Z_{2n}, Z_{2n+1}) = \delta(Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1})$$

$$\leq \phi(d(Ix_{2n}, Jx_{2n+1}), \delta(Ix_{2n}, Fx_{2n}), \delta(Jx_{2n+1}, Gx_{2n+1}), D(Ix_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}), D(Jx_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n}))$$

$$\leq \phi(\delta(Z_{2n-1}, Z_{2n}), \delta(Z_{2n-1}, Z_{2n}), \delta(Z_{2n}, Z_{2n+1}), \delta(Z_{2n-1}, Z_{2n}) + \delta(Z_{2n}, Z_{2n+1}), 0)$$

$$= \phi(V_{2n-1}, V_{2n-1}, V_{2n}, V_{2n-1} + V_{2n}, 0).$$
(2.4)

If $V_{2n} > V_{2n-1}$, then

$$V_{2n} \le \phi(V_{2n}, V_{2n}, V_{2n}, 2V_{2n}, 0) \le \Psi(V_{2n}) < V_{2n}.$$
(2.5)

This contradiction demands that

$$V_{2n} \le \phi(V_{2n-1}, V_{2n-1}, V_{2n-1}, 2V_{2n-1}, 0) \le \Psi(V_{2n-1}). \tag{2.6}$$

Similarly, one can deduce that

$$V_{2n+1} \le \phi(V_{2n}, V_{2n}, V_{2n}, 0, 2V_{2n}) \le \Psi(V_{2n}). \tag{2.7}$$

So, for each $n \in \{0\} \cup N$, we obtain that

$$V_{n+1} \le \Psi(V_n) \le \Psi^2(V_{n-1}) \le \dots \le \Psi^n(V_1),$$
 (2.8)

where $V_1 = \delta(Z_1, Z_2) = \delta(Fx_2, Gx_1) \le \phi(V_0, V_0, V_0, 0, 2V_0)$. By (2.8) and Lemma 1.6, we obtain that $\lim_{n\to\infty} V_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \delta(Z_n, Z_{n+1}) = 0$. Since

$$\delta(Z_n, Z_m) \le \delta(Z_n, Z_{n+1}) + \delta(Z_{n+1}, Z_{n+2}) + \dots + \delta(Z_{m-1}, Z_m), \tag{2.9}$$

then $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} \delta(Z_n,Z_m) = 0$. Therefore, (Z_n) is a Cauchy sequence.

Let z_n be an arbitrary point in Z_n for $n \in \{0\} \cup N$. Then $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} d(z_n,z_m) \le \lim_{n,m\to\infty} \delta(Z_n,Z_m) = 0$ and (z_n) is a Cauchy sequence. We assume without loss of generality that J(X) is complete. Let (x_n) be the sequence defined by (2.3). But $Jx_{2n+1} \in Fx_{2n} = Z_{2n}$ for all $n \in \{0\} \cup N$. Hence, we find that

$$d(Jx_{2m-1}, Jx_{2n+1}) \le \delta(Z_{2m-2}, Z_{2n}) \le V_{2m-2} + \delta(Z_{2m-1}, Z_{2n}) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2.10}$$

as $m, n \to \infty$. So, (Jx_{2n+1}) is a Cauchy sequence. Hence, $Jx_{2n+1} \to p = Jv \in J(X)$ for some $v \in X$. But $Ix_{2n} \in Gx_{2n-1} = Z_{2n-1}$ by (2.3), so that $d(Ix_{2n}, Jx_{2n+1}) \le \delta(Z_{2n-1}, Z_{2n}) = V_{2n-1} \to 0$. Consequently, $Ix_{2n} \to p$. Moreover, we have, for $n \in \{0\} \cup N$, that $\delta(Fx_{2n}, p) \le \delta(Fx_{2n}, Ix_{2n}) + d(Ix_{2n}, p) \le V_{2n-1} + d(Ix_{2n}, p)$. Therefore, $\delta(Fx_{2n}, p) \to 0$. So, we have by Lemma 1.4 that $Fx_{2n} \to \{p\}$. In like manner it follows that $\delta(Gx_{2n+1}, p) \to 0$ and $Gx_{2n+1} \to \{p\}$.

Since, for $n \in \{0\} \cup N$,

$$\delta(Fx_{2n}, Gv) \leq \phi(d(Ix_{2n}, Jv), \delta(Ix_{2n}, Fx_{2n}), \delta(Jv, Gv), D(Ix_{2n}, Gv), D(Jv, Fx_{2n}))$$

$$\leq \phi(d(Ix_{2n}, Jv), \delta(Ix_{2n}, Fx_{2n}), \delta(Jv, Gv), \delta(Ix_{2n}, Gv), \delta(Jv, Fx_{2n})),$$
(2.11)

and $\delta(Ix_{2n},Gv) \to \delta(p,Gv)$ as $n \to \infty$, we get from Lemma 1.3 that

$$\delta(p,Gv) \le \phi(0,0,\delta(p,Gv),\delta(p,Gv),0) \le \Psi(\delta(p,Gv)) < \delta(p,Gv). \tag{2.12}$$

This is absurd. So, $\{p\} = Gv = \{Jv\}$. But $\cup G(X) \subseteq I(X)$, so $\exists u \in X$ such that $\{Iu\} = Gv = \{Jv\}$. If $Fu \neq Gv$, $\delta(Fu, Gv) \neq 0$, then we have

$$\delta(Fu,p) = \delta(Fu,Gv)$$

$$\leq \phi(d(Iu,Jv),\delta(Iu,Fu),\delta(Jv,Gv),D(Iu,Gv),D(Jv,Fu))$$

$$\leq \phi(d(Iu,Jv),\delta(Iu,Fu),\delta(Jv,Gv),\delta(Iu,Gv),\delta(Jv,Fu))$$

$$= \phi(0,\delta(Fu,p),0,0,\delta(Fu,p))$$

$$\leq \Psi(\delta(Fu,p)) < \delta(Fu,p).$$
(2.13)

We must conclude that $\{p\} = Fu = Gv = \{Iu\} = \{Jv\}.$

Since $Fu = \{Iu\}$ and the pair $\{F,I\}$ is weakly compatible, so $Fp = FIu = IFu = \{Ip\}$. Using the inequality (2.2), we have

$$\delta(Fp,p) \leq \delta(Fp,Gv)$$

$$\leq \phi(d(Ip,Jv),\delta(Ip,Fp),\delta(Jv,Gv),D(Ip,Gv),D(Jv,Fp))$$

$$\leq \phi(\delta(Fp,p),0,0,\delta(Fp,p),\delta(Fp,p))$$

$$\leq \Psi(\delta(Fp,p))$$

$$< \delta(Fp,p).$$
(2.14)

This contradiction demands that $\{p\} = Fp = \{Ip\}$. Similarly, if the pair $\{G, J\}$ is weakly compatible, one can deduce that $\{p\} = Gp = \{Jp\}$. Therefore, we get that $\{p\} = Fp = Gp = \{Jp\} = \{Jp\}$.

The proof, assuming the completeness of I(X), is similar to the above.

To see that p is unique, suppose that $\{q\} = Fq = Gq = \{Iq\} = \{Jq\}$. If $p \neq q$, then

$$d(p,q) = \delta(Fp,Gq) \le \phi(d(p,q),0,0,d(p,q),d(p,q)) \le \Psi(d(p,q)) < d(p,q), \tag{2.15}$$

which is inadmissible. So, p = q.

Now, we give an example to show the greater generality of Theorem 2.1 over Theorem 1.10. $\hfill\Box$

Example 2.2. Let X = [0,1] endowed with the Euclidean metric d. Assume that $\phi(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5) = t_1/3$ for every $t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5 \in [0,\infty)$. Define $F,G:X \to B(X)$ and $I,J:X \to X$ as follows:

$$Fx = \left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} \quad \text{if } x \in X, \qquad Gx = \left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} \quad \text{if } x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \qquad Gx = \left(\frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \quad \text{if } x \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right],$$

$$Ix = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{if } x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \qquad Ix = \frac{x+1}{4} \quad \text{if } x \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right], \qquad Jx = 1-x \quad \text{if } x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right],$$

$$Jx = 0 \quad \text{if } x \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right].$$

$$(2.16)$$

We have that $\cup F(X) = \{1/2\} = \{J(1/2)\} \subseteq J(X)$ and $\cup G(X) = (3/8, 1/2] = I(X)$. Moreover, $\delta(Fx, Gy) = 0$ if $y \in [0, 1/2]$. If $y \in (1/2, 1]$, then $\delta(Fx, Gy) \le 1/8$ and $d(Ix, Jy) \ge 3/8$. So, we obtain that

$$\delta(Fx,Gy) \le \frac{1}{3}d(Ix,Jy) = \frac{1}{3}\phi(d(Ix,Jy),\delta(Ix,Fx),\delta(Jy,Gy),D(Ix,Gy),D(Jy,Fx)), \tag{2.17}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. It is clear that X is a complete metric space. Since $J(X) = [1/2, 1] \cup \{0\}$ is a closed subset of X, so J(X) is complete. We note that $\{F, I\}$ is a δ -compatible

pair and therefore a weakly compatible pair. Also, $G(1/2) = \{J(1/2)\}$ and $GJ(1/2) = JG(1/2) = \{1/2\}$, that is, G and J are weakly compatible. On the other hand, if $x_n = 1/2 - 2^{-n}$, so that $\delta(GJx_n, JGx_n) \rightarrow 1/8 \neq 0$ even though $Gx_n, \{Jx_n\} \rightarrow \{1/2\}$, that is, $\{G, J\}$ is not a δ -compatible pair. We know that 1/2 is the unique common fixed point of I, J, F and G. Hence the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Theorem 1.10 is not applicable because $GJx \neq JGx$ for all $x \in X$, and the maps I, J and G are not continuous at x = 1/2.

In Theorem 2.1, if the mappings F and G are replaced by F_{α} and G_{α} , $\alpha \in \Lambda$ where Λ is an index set, we obtain the following.

Theorem 2.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let I, J be selfmaps of X, and for $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $F_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha} : X \to B(X)$ be set-valued mappings with $\cup [\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_{\alpha}(X)] \subseteq J(X)$ and $\cup [\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_{\alpha}(X)] \subseteq I(X)$. Suppose that one of I(X) and J(X) is complete and for $\alpha \in \Lambda$ the pairs $\{F_{\alpha}, I\}$ and $\{G_{\alpha}, J\}$ are weakly compatible. If there exists a function $\phi \in \Phi$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\delta(F_{\alpha}x,G_{\alpha}y) \leq \phi(d(Ix,Jy),\delta(Ix,F_{\alpha}x),\delta(Jy,G_{\alpha}y),D(Ix,G_{\alpha}y),D(Jy,F_{\alpha}x)), \tag{2.18}$$

then there is a point $p \in X$ such that $\{p\} = \{Jp\} = F_{\alpha}p = G_{\alpha}p$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Using Theorem 2.1, we obtain for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$, there is a unique point $z_{\alpha} \in X$ such that $Iz_{\alpha} = Jz_{\alpha} = z_{\alpha}$ and $F_{\alpha}z_{\alpha} = G_{\alpha}z_{\alpha} = \{z_{\alpha}\}$. For all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$,

$$d(z_{\alpha}, z_{\beta}) \leq \delta(F_{\alpha}z_{\alpha}, G_{\beta}z_{\beta})$$

$$\leq \phi(d(Iz_{\alpha}, Jz_{\beta}), \delta(Iz_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha}z_{\alpha}), \delta(Jz_{\beta}, G_{\beta}z_{\beta}), D(Iz_{\alpha}, G_{\beta}z_{\beta}), D(Jz_{\beta}, F_{\alpha}z_{\alpha}))$$

$$\leq \phi(d(z_{\alpha}, z_{\beta}), 0, 0, d(z_{\alpha}, z_{\beta}), d(z_{\beta}, z_{\alpha}))$$

$$\leq \Psi(d(z_{\alpha}, z_{\beta})) < d(z_{\alpha}, z_{\beta}).$$
(2.19)

This yields that $z_{\alpha} = z_{\beta}$.

Inspired by the work of Chang [9], we state the following theorem on compact metric spaces. $\hfill\Box$

Theorem 2.4. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, I, J selfmaps of X, $F, G: X \to B(X)$ set-valued functions with $\cup F(X) \subseteq J(X)$ and $\cup G(X) \subseteq I(X)$. Suppose that the pairs $\{F, I\}$, $\{G, J\}$ are weakly compatible and the functions F, I are continuous. If there exists a function $\phi \in \Phi$, and for all $x, y \in X$, the following inequality:

$$\delta(Fx,Gy) < \phi(d(Ix,Jy),\delta(Ix,Fx),\delta(Jy,Gy),D(Ix,Gy),D(Jy,Fx)), \tag{2.20}$$

holds whenever the right-hand side of (2.20) is positive, then there is a unique point u in X such that $Fu = Gu = \{u\} = \{Iu\} = \{Ju\}.$

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to thank the refrees for their comments which improved the original manuscript.

References

- [1] H. K. Pathak and B. Fisher, "Common fixed point theorems with applications in dynamic programming," *Glasnik Matematički*, vol. 31, no. 51, pp. 321–328, 1996.
- [2] H. K. Pathak, M. S. Khan, and R. Tiwari, "A common fixed point theorem and its application to nonlinear integral equations," Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 961–971, 2007.
- [3] H. K. Pathak, S. N. Mishra, and A. K. Kalinde, "Common fixed point theorems with applications to nonlinear integral equations," *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 547–564, 1999.
- [4] M. A. Ahmed, "Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings," *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 1189–1203, 2003.
- [5] M. A. Ahmed, "Common fixed points for four mappings under a contractive condition of Kiventidis type," *Proceedings of the Mathematical and Physical Society of Egypt*, no. 83, pp. 83–93, 2005.
- [6] M. A. Ahmed and B. E. Rhoades, "Some common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 32, no. 8, pp. 1247–1254, 2001.
- [7] A. Banerjee and T. B. Singh, "A fixed point theorem for set-valued mappings," *Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1397–1403, 2001.
- [8] A. Banerjee and B. S. Thakur, "A note on a theorem of Tas, Telci and Fisher," *Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 333–334, 1998.
- [9] T.-H. Chang, "Fixed point theorems for contractive type set-valued mappings," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 675–690, 1993.
- [10] Lj. B. Ćirić, N. T. Nikolić, and J. S. Ume, "Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible quasi contraction mappings," Acta Mathematica Hungarica, vol. 113, no. 4, pp. 257–267, 2006.
- [11] G. Jungck, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 771–779, 1986.
- [12] G. Jungck, "Common fixed points for commuting and compatible maps on compacta," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 103, no. 3, pp. 977–983, 1988.
- [13] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, "Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 227–238, 1998.
- [14] A. R. Khan, A. A. Domlo, and N. Hussain, "Coincidences of Lipschitz-type hybrid maps and invariant approximation," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 28, no. 9-10, pp. 1165–1177, 2007.
- [15] S. Sessa, M. S. Khan, and M. Imdad, "A common fixed point theorem with a weak commutativity condition," *Glasnik Matematički. Serija III*, vol. 21, no. 41, pp. 225–235, 1986.
- [16] B. Fisher, "Common fixed points of mappings and set-valued mappings," Rostocker Mathematisches Kolloquium, no. 18, pp. 69–77, 1981.
- [17] J. Matkowski, "Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 344–348, 1977.
- [18] S. Sessa, "On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations," *Publications de l'Institut Mathématique*. *Nouvelle Série*, vol. 32, no. 46, pp. 149–153, 1982.
- [19] M. S. Khan and I. Kubiaczyk, "Fixed point theorems for point to set maps," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 409–415, 1988.