Research Article

# The Iterative Method of Generalized $u_{0}$-Concave Operators 

Yanqiu Zhou, Jingxian Sun, and Jie Sun

Department of Mathematics, Xuzhou Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China
Correspondence should be addressed to Jingxian Sun, jxsun7083@sohu.com
Received 16 November 2010; Accepted 12 January 2011
Academic Editor: N. J. Huang
Copyright © 2011 Yanqiu Zhou et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We define the concept of the generalized $u_{0}$-concave operators, which generalize the definition of the $u_{0}$-concave operators. By using the iterative method and the partial ordering method, we prove the existence and uniqueness of fixed points of this class of the operators. As an example of the application of our results, we show the existence and uniqueness of solutions to a class of the Hammerstein integral equations.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminary

In [1, 2], Collatz divided the typical problems in computation mathematics into five classes, and the first class is how to solve the operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x=x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the iterative method, that is, construct successively the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=A x_{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some initial $x_{0}$ to solve (1.1).
Let $P$ be a cone in real Banach space $E$ and the partial ordering $\leq \operatorname{defined}$ by $P$, that is, $x \leq y$ if and only if $y-x \in P$. The concept and properties of the cone can be found in [35]. People studied how to solve (1.1) by using the iterative method and the partial ordering method (see [1-11]).

In [7], Krasnosel'skir gave the concept of $u_{0}$-concave operators and studied the existence and uniqueness of the fixed point for the operator by the iterative method. The concept of $u_{0}$-concave operators was defined by Krasnosel'skiř as follows.

Let operator $A: P \mapsto P$ and $u_{0}>\theta$. Suppose that
(i) for any $x>\theta$, there exist $\alpha=\alpha(x)>0$ and $\beta=\beta(x)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha u_{0} \leq A x \leq \beta u_{0} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) for any $x \in P$ satisfying $\alpha_{1} u_{0} \leq x \leq \beta_{1} u_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1}(x)>0, \beta_{1}=\beta_{1}(x)>0\right)$ and any $0<t<1$, there exists $\eta=\eta(x, t)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(t x) \geq(1+\eta) t A x \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $A$ is called an $u_{0}$-concave operator.
In many papers, the authors studied $u_{0}$-concave operators and obtained some results (see $[3-5,8-15]$ ). In this paper, we generalize the concept of $u_{0}$-concave operators, give a concept of the generalized $u_{0}$-concave operators, and study the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for this class of operators by the iterative method. Our results generalize the results in $[3,4,7,15]$.

## 2. Main Result

In this paper, we always let $P$ be a cone in real Banach space $E$ and the partial ordering $\leq$ defined by $P$. Given $w_{0} \in E$, let $P\left(w_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in E \mid x \geq w_{0}\right\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let operator $A: P\left(w_{0}\right) \mapsto P\left(w_{0}\right)$ and $u_{0}>\theta$. Suppose that
(i) for any $x>w_{0}$, there exist $\alpha=\alpha(x)>0$ and $\beta=\beta(x)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha u_{0}+w_{0} \leq A x \leq \beta u_{0}+w_{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) for any $x \in P\left(w_{0}\right)$ satisfying $\alpha_{1} u_{0}+w_{0} \leq x \leq \beta_{1} u_{0}+w_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1}(x)>0, \beta_{1}=\beta_{1}(x)>\right.$ 0 ) and any $0<t<1$, there exists $\eta=\eta(x, t)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left[t x+(1-t) w_{0}\right] \geq(1+\eta) t A x+[1-(1+\eta) t] w_{0} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $A$ is called a generalized $u_{0}$-concave operator.
Remark 2.2. In Definition 2.1, let $w_{0}=\theta$, we get the definition of the $u_{0}$-concave operator.
Theorem 2.3. Let operator $A: P\left(w_{0}\right) \mapsto P\left(w_{0}\right)$ be generalized $u_{0}$-concave and increasing (i.e., $x \leq y \Rightarrow A x \leq A y)$, then $A$ has at most one fixed point in $P\left(w_{0}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{0}\right\}$.

Proof. Let $x^{(1)}>w_{0}, x^{(2)}>w_{0}$ be two different fixed points of $A$, that is, $A x^{(1)}=x^{(1)}, A x^{(2)}=$ $x^{(2)}$, and $x^{(1)} \neq x^{(2)}$. By Definition 2.1, there exist real numbers $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1}\left(x^{(1)}\right)>0, \beta_{1}=\beta_{1}\left(x^{(1)}\right)>$ $0, \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{2}\left(x^{(2)}\right)>0, \beta_{2}=\beta_{2}\left(x^{(2)}\right)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} u_{0}+w_{0} \leq x^{(1)} \leq \beta_{1} u_{0}+w_{0}, \quad \alpha_{2} u_{0}+w_{0} \leq x^{(2)} \leq \beta_{2} u_{0}+w_{0} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\alpha_{1} / \beta_{2}\left(x^{(2)}-w_{0}\right)+w_{0} \leq \alpha_{1} u_{0}+w_{0} \leq x^{(1)} \leq \beta_{1} u_{0}+w_{0} \leq \beta_{1} / \alpha_{2}\left(x^{(2)}-w_{0}\right)+w_{0}$.
Let $\alpha=\alpha_{1} / \beta_{2}, \beta=\beta_{1} / \alpha_{2}$, we get that $\alpha\left(x^{(2)}-w_{0}\right)+w_{0} \leq x^{(1)} \leq \beta\left(x^{(2)}-w_{0}\right)+w_{0}$, that is, $\alpha x^{(2)}+(1-\alpha) w_{0} \leq x^{(1)} \leq \beta x^{(2)}+(1-\beta) w_{0}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0}=\sup \left\{t>0 \mid t x^{(2)}+(1-t) w_{0} \leq x^{(1)} \leq t^{-1} x^{(2)}+\left(1-t^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right\}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $0<t \leq t^{-1}$, that is, $0<t \leq 1$, then $t_{0} \in(0,1]$.
Next we will show that $t_{0}=1$. Assume that $t_{0}<1$; by (2.2) and (2.4), there exists $\eta_{1}=\eta_{1}\left(x^{(2)}, t_{0}\right)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{(1)} & =A x^{(1)} \geq A\left[t_{0} x^{(2)}+\left(1-t_{0}\right) w_{0}\right] \\
& \geq\left(1+\eta_{1}\right) t_{0} A x^{(2)}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{1}\right) t_{0}\right] w_{0}  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\left(1+\eta_{1}\right) t_{0} x^{(2)}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{1}\right) t_{0}\right] w_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.2), there exists $\eta_{2}=\eta_{2}\left(x^{(2)}, t_{0}\right)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{(2)} & =A x^{(2)}=A\left\{t_{0}\left[t_{0}^{-1} x^{(2)}+\left(1-t_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right]+\left(1-t_{0}\right) w_{0}\right\}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \geq\left(1+\eta_{2}\right) t_{0} A\left[t_{0}^{-1} x^{(2)}+\left(1-t_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right]+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{2}\right) t_{0}\right] w_{0},
\end{align*}
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left[t_{0}^{-1} x^{(2)}+\left(1-t_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right] \leq\left(1+\eta_{2}\right)^{-1} t_{0}^{-1} A x^{(2)}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{2}\right)^{-1} t_{0}^{-1}\right] w_{0} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{(1)} & =A x^{(1)} \leq A\left[t_{0}^{-1} x^{(2)}+\left(1-t_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right] \\
& \leq\left(1+\eta_{2}\right)^{-1} t_{0}^{-1} A x^{(2)}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{2}\right)^{-1} t_{0}^{-1}\right] w_{0}  \tag{2.8}\\
& \leq\left(1+\eta_{2}\right)^{-1} t_{0}^{-1} x^{(2)}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{2}\right)^{-1} t_{0}^{-1}\right] w_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously, by (2.5) and (2.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\eta_{1}\right) t_{0} x^{(2)}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{1}\right) t_{0}\right] w_{0} \leq x^{(1)} \leq\left(1+\eta_{2}\right)^{-1} t_{0}^{-1} x^{(2)}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{2}\right)^{-1} t_{0}^{-1}\right] w_{0} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\eta=\min \left\{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\eta) t_{0} x^{(2)}+\left[1-(1+\eta) t_{0}\right] w_{0} \leq x^{(1)} \leq(1+\eta)^{-1} t_{0}^{-1} x^{(2)}+\left[1-(1+\eta)^{-1} t_{0}^{-1}\right] w_{0} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in contradiction to the definition of $t_{0}$. Therefore, $t_{0}=1$.
By (2.4), $x^{(1)}=x^{(2)}$. The proof is completed.
To prove the following Theorem 2.4, we will use the definition of the $u_{0}$-norm as follows.

Given $u_{0}>\theta$, set
$E_{u_{0}}=\left\{x \in E \mid\right.$ there exists a real number $\lambda>0$, such that $\left.-\lambda u_{0} \leq x \leq \lambda u_{0}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{u_{0}}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0 \mid-\lambda u_{0} \leq x \leq \lambda u_{0}\right\}, \quad \forall x \in E_{u_{0}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $E_{u_{0}}$ becomes a normed linear space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{u_{0}} \cdot\|x\|_{u_{0}}$ is called the $u_{0}$ - norm of the element $x \in E_{u_{0}}$ (see $[3,4]$ ).

Theorem 2.4. Let operator $A: P\left(w_{0}\right) \mapsto P\left(w_{0}\right)$ be increasing and generalized $u_{0}$-concave. Suppose that $A$ has a fixed point $x^{*}$ in $P\left(w_{0}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{0}\right\}$, then, constructing successively the sequence $x_{n+1}=$ $A x_{n}(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ for any initial $x_{0} \in P\left(w_{0}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{0}\right\}$, we have $\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|_{u_{0}} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is generated from $x_{n+1}=A x_{n}(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$. Take $0<\varepsilon_{0}<1$, such that $\varepsilon_{0} x^{*}+\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right) w_{0} \leq x_{1} \leq \varepsilon_{0}^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}$. Let $y_{0}=\varepsilon_{0} x^{*}+\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right) w_{0}, z_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}$, and constructing successively the sequences $y_{n+1}=A y_{n}, z_{n+1}=A z_{n}(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$. Since $A$ is a generalized $u_{0}$-concave operator, we know that there exists $\eta_{1}=\eta_{1}\left(x^{*}, \varepsilon_{0}\right)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{*} & =A x^{*}=A\left\{\varepsilon_{0}\left[\varepsilon_{0}^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right]+\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right) w_{0}\right\}  \tag{2.12}\\
& \geq\left(1+\eta_{1}\right) \varepsilon_{0} A\left[\varepsilon_{0}^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right]+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{1}\right) \varepsilon_{0}\right] w_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

hence, $A\left[\varepsilon_{0}^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right] \leq\left(1+\eta_{1}\right)^{-1} \varepsilon_{0}^{-1} A x^{*}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{1}\right)^{-1} \varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\right] w_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{1} & =A\left(z_{0}\right)=A\left[\varepsilon_{0}^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right] \leq\left(1+\eta_{1}\right)^{-1} \varepsilon_{0}^{-1} A x^{*}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{1}\right)^{-1} \varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\right] w_{0} \\
& =\left(1+\eta_{1}\right)^{-1} \varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(A x^{*}-w_{0}\right)+w_{0}<\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(A x^{*}-w_{0}\right)+w_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}^{-1} A x^{*}+\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}  \tag{2.13}\\
& =\varepsilon_{0}^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}=z_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.2), we can easily get $y_{1}>y_{0}$. So it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0} \leq y_{1} \leq \cdots \leq y_{n} \leq \cdots \leq x^{*} \leq \cdots \leq z_{n} \leq \cdots \leq z_{1} \leq z_{0} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}=\sup \left\{t>0 \mid t x^{*}+(1-\mathrm{t}) w_{0} \leq y_{n}, z_{n} \leq t^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-t^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right\} \quad(n=0,1,2, \ldots) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq t_{0} \leq t_{1} \leq \cdots \leq t_{n} \leq \cdots \leq 1 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that the limit of $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ exists. Let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=t^{*}$, then $0<t_{n} \leq t^{*} \leq 1$.
Next we will show that $t^{*}=1$. Suppose that $0<t^{*}<1$. Since $A$ is a generalized $u_{0}$-concave operator, then there exists $\eta_{2}=\eta_{2}\left(x^{*}, t^{*}\right)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left[t^{*} x^{*}+\left(1-t^{*}\right) w_{0}\right] \geq\left(1+\eta_{2}\right) t^{*} A x^{*}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{2}\right) t^{*}\right] w_{0}=\left(1+\eta_{2}\right) t^{*} x^{*}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{2}\right) t^{*}\right] w_{0} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{*} & =A x^{*}=A\left\{t^{*}\left[\left(t^{*}\right)^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-\left(t^{*}\right)^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right]+\left(1-t^{*}\right) w_{0}\right\}  \tag{2.18}\\
& \geq\left(1+\eta_{2}\right) t^{*} A\left[\left(t^{*}\right)^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-\left(t^{*}\right)^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right]+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{2}\right) t^{*}\right] w_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left[\left(t^{*}\right)^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-\left(t^{*}\right)^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right] \leq\left(1+\eta_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(t^{*}\right)^{-1} x^{*}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(t^{*}\right)^{-1}\right] w_{0} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.17) and (2.19), for any $0<t \leq t^{*}$, there exists $\eta_{3}=\eta_{3}\left(x^{*}, t\right)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
A\left[t x^{*}+(1-t) w_{0}\right] \geq\left(1+\eta_{3}\right) t x^{*}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{3}\right) t\right] w_{0} \\
A\left[t^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-t^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right] \leq\left(1+\eta_{3}\right)^{-1} t^{-1} x^{*}+\left[1-\left(1+\eta_{3}\right)^{-1} t^{-1}\right] w_{0} \tag{2.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Particularly, for any $0<t_{n} \leq t^{*}(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
A\left[t_{n} x^{*}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) w_{0}\right] \geq(1+\eta) t_{n} x^{*}+\left[1-(1+\eta) t_{n}\right] w_{0} \\
A\left[t_{n}^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-t_{n}^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right] \leq(1+\eta)^{-1} t_{n}^{-1} x^{*}+\left[1-(1+\eta)^{-1} t_{n}^{-1}\right] w_{0} \tag{2.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\eta=\eta\left(t_{n}, x^{*}\right)>0$.
Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+1}=A y_{n} \geq A\left[t_{n} x^{*}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) w_{0}\right] \geq(1+\eta) t_{n} x^{*}+\left[1-(1+\eta) t_{n}\right] w_{0} \\
& z_{n+1}=A z_{n} \leq A\left[t_{n}^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-t_{n}^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right] \leq(1+\eta)^{-1} t_{n}^{-1} x^{*}+\left[1-(1+\eta)^{-1} t_{n}^{-1}\right] w_{0} \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.15), and (2.22), we get $t_{n+1} \geq(1+\eta) t_{n}(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ therefore, $t_{n+1} \geq(1+\eta)^{n+1} t_{0}(n=$ $0,1,2, \ldots)$, in contradiction to $0<t_{n} \leq 1(n=1,2, \ldots)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{*}=1 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A$ is a generalized $u_{0}$-concave operator, thus there exist real numbers $\alpha=\alpha\left(x^{*}\right)>0$, $\beta=\beta\left(x^{*}\right)>0$, such that $\alpha u_{0}+w_{0} \leq x^{*} \leq \beta u_{0}+w_{0}$, and $t_{n} x^{*}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) w_{0} \leq y_{n} \leq x_{n+1} \leq z_{n} \leq$ $t_{n}^{-1} x^{*}+\left(1-t_{n}^{-1}\right) w_{0}(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t_{n}-1\right) x^{*}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) w_{0} \leq x_{n+1}-x^{*} \leq\left(t_{n}^{-1}-1\right) x^{*}+\left(1-t_{n}^{-1}\right) w_{0} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(t_{n}-1\right) x^{*}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) w_{0} \geq\left(t_{n}-1\right)\left(\beta u_{0}+w_{0}\right)+\left(1-t_{n}\right) w_{0}=\left(t_{n}-1\right) \beta u_{0} \\
\left(t_{n}^{-1}-1\right) x^{*}+\left(1-t_{n}^{-1}\right) w_{0} \leq\left(t_{n}^{-1}-1\right)\left(\beta u_{0}+w_{0}\right)+\left(1-t_{n}^{-1}\right) w_{0}=\left(t_{n}^{-1}-1\right) \beta u_{0} \tag{2.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-t_{n}^{-1}\right) \beta u_{0} \leq\left(t_{n}-1\right) \beta u_{0} \leq x_{n+1}-x^{*} \leq\left(t_{n}^{-1}-1\right) \beta u_{0} \quad(n=0,1,2, \ldots) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, by (2.23), we get $\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|_{u_{0}} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$.
To prove the following Theorem 2.5, we will use the definition of the normal cone as follows.

Let $P$ be a cone in $E$. Suppose that there exist constants $N>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \leq x \leq y \Rightarrow\|x\| \leq N\|y\| \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $P$ is said to be normal, and the smallest $N$ is called the normal constant of $P$ (see [3-5]).

Theorem 2.5. v Let $P$ be a normal cone of $E$. If operator $A: P\left(w_{0}\right) \longmapsto P\left(w_{0}\right)$ is increasing and generalized $u_{0}$-concave, and $\eta=\eta(t, x)$ is irrelevant to $x$ in (2.2), then $A$ has the only one fixed point $x^{*} \in P\left(w_{0}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{0}\right\}$. Moreover, constructing successively the sequence $x_{n+1}=A x_{n}(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ for any initial $x_{0}>w_{0}$, we have $\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$.

Proof. Since $A$ is a generalized $u_{0}$-concave operator, hence there exist real numbers $\beta>\alpha>0$, such that $\alpha u_{0}+w_{0} \leq A\left(u_{0}+w_{0}\right) \leq \beta u_{0}+w_{0}$. Take $t_{0} \in(0,1)$ small enough, then $t_{0} u_{0}+w_{0} \leq$ $A\left(u_{0}+w_{0}\right) \leq\left(1 / t_{0}\right) u_{0}+w_{0}$.

Therefore, $t_{n+1} \geq t_{n}$, that is, $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence and $0<t_{n} \leq 1$, hence, the limit of $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ exists. Set $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=t^{*}$, then $0<t^{*} \leq 1$.

Let $\gamma(t)=(1+\eta(t)) t$, where $\eta(t)$ which is irrelevant to $x$ is $\eta(t, x)$ in (2.2), and $A$ is increasing, so $t<\gamma(t) \leq 1, A\left(t x+(1-t) w_{0}\right) \geq \gamma(t) A x+(1-\gamma(t)) w_{0}$, for all $t \in(0,1)$. By $r\left(t_{0}\right) / t_{0}>1$, we can choose a natural number $k>0$ big enough, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)}{t_{0}}\right)^{k}>\frac{1}{t_{0}} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=t_{0}^{k} u_{0}+w_{0}, \quad z_{0}=\frac{1}{t_{0}^{k}} u_{0}+w_{0} ; \quad y_{n}=A y_{n-1}, \quad z_{n}=A z_{n-1} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $y_{0}, z_{0} \in P\left(w_{0}\right), y_{0}<z_{0}$. Since $A$ is increasing, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1} & =A y_{0}=A\left(t_{0}^{k} u_{0}+w_{0}\right)=A\left[t_{0}\left(t_{0}^{k-1} u_{0}+w_{0}\right)+\left(1-t_{0}\right) w_{0}\right] \\
& \geq \gamma\left(t_{0}\right) A\left(t_{0}^{k-1} u_{0}+w_{0}\right)+\left(1-\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right) w_{0} \\
& =\gamma\left(t_{0}\right) A\left[t_{0}\left(t_{0}^{k-2} u_{0}+w_{0}\right)+\left(1-t_{0}\right) w_{0}\right]+\left(1-\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right) w_{0} \\
& \geq \gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\left[\gamma\left(t_{0}\right) A\left(t_{0}^{k-2} u_{0}+w_{0}\right)+\left(1-\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right) w_{0}\right]+\left(1-\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right) w_{0} \\
& =r^{2}\left(t_{0}\right) A\left(t_{0}^{k-2} u_{0}+w_{0}\right)+\left(1-r^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) w_{0} \geq \cdots \geq \gamma^{k}\left(t_{0}\right) A\left(u_{0}+w_{0}\right)+\left(1-\gamma^{k}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) w_{0} \\
& >t_{0}^{k-1}\left(t_{0} u_{0}+w_{0}\right)+\left(1-t_{0}^{k-1}\right) w_{0}=t_{0}^{k} u_{0}+w_{0}=y_{0} . \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $A x=A\left\{t_{0}\left[t_{0}^{-1} x+\left(1-t_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right]+\left(1-t_{0}\right) w_{0}\right\} \geq \gamma\left(t_{0}\right) A\left[t_{0}^{-1} x+\left(1-t_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right]+\left(1-\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \mathrm{w}_{0}$, we get $A\left[t_{0}^{-1} x+\left(1-t_{0}^{-1}\right) w_{0}\right] \leq 1 / \gamma\left(t_{0}\right) A x+\left(1-1 / \gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right) w_{0}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{1} & =A\left(\frac{1}{t_{0}^{k}} u_{0}+w_{0}\right)=A\left[\frac{1}{t_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{t_{0}^{k-1}} u_{0}+w_{0}\right)+\left(1-\frac{1}{t_{0}}\right) w_{0}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)} A\left(\frac{1}{t_{0}^{k-1}} u_{0}+w_{0}\right)+\left(1-\frac{1}{r\left(t_{0}\right)}\right) w_{0}  \tag{2.31}\\
& \leq \cdots \leq \frac{1}{r^{k}\left(t_{0}\right)} A\left(u_{0}+w_{0}\right)+\left(1-\frac{1}{r^{k}\left(t_{0}\right)}\right) w_{0} \leq \frac{1}{t_{0} r^{k}\left(t_{0}\right)} u_{0}+w_{0}<\frac{1}{t_{0}^{k}} u_{0}+w_{0}=z_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

then $y_{0} \leq y_{1} \leq z_{1} \leq z_{0}$. It is easy to see

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0} \leq y_{1} \leq \cdots \leq y_{n} \leq \cdots \leq z_{n} \leq \cdots \leq z_{1} \leq z_{0} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}=\sup \left\{t>0 \mid y_{n} \geq t z_{n}+(1-t) w_{0}\right\} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $y_{n} \geq t_{n} z_{n}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) w_{0}$. So $y_{n+1} \geq y_{n} \geq t_{n} z_{n}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) w_{0} \geq t_{n} z_{n+1}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) w_{0}$.
Therefore, $t_{n+1} \geq t_{n}$, that is, $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence and $0<t_{n} \leq 1$, hence, the limit of $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ exists. Set $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=t^{*}$, then $0<t^{*} \leq 1$.

Next we will show that $t^{*}=1$. Suppose that $0<t^{*}<1$, we have the following.
(i) If for any natural number $\mathrm{n}, t_{n}<t^{*}<1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n+1} & =A y_{n} \geq A\left[t_{n} z_{n}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) w_{0}\right]=A\left\{\frac{t_{n}}{t^{*}}\left[t^{*} z_{n}+\left(1-t^{*}\right) w_{0}\right]+\left(1-\frac{t_{n}}{t^{*}}\right) w_{0}\right\} \\
& \geq r\left(\frac{t_{n}}{t^{*}}\right) A\left[t^{*} z_{n}+\left(1-t^{*}\right) w_{0}\right]+\left(1-\gamma\left(\frac{t_{n}}{t^{*}}\right)\right) w_{0} \\
& \geq r\left(\frac{t_{n}}{t^{*}}\right)\left[\gamma\left(t^{*}\right) A z_{n}+\left(1-\gamma\left(t^{*}\right)\right) w_{0}\right]+\left(1-\gamma\left(\frac{t_{n}}{t^{*}}\right)\right) w_{0} \\
& =\gamma\left(\frac{t_{n}}{t^{*}}\right) \gamma\left(t^{*}\right) A z_{n}+\left(1-\gamma\left(\frac{t_{n}}{t^{*}}\right) \gamma\left(t^{*}\right)\right) w_{0}=\gamma\left(\frac{t_{n}}{t^{*}}\right) \gamma\left(t^{*}\right) z_{n+1}+\left(1-\gamma\left(\frac{t_{n}}{t^{*}}\right) \gamma\left(t^{*}\right)\right) w_{0} \tag{2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n+1} \geq \gamma\left(\frac{t_{n}}{t^{*}}\right) \gamma\left(t^{*}\right)=\left(1+\eta\left(\frac{t_{n}}{t^{*}}\right)\right) \frac{t_{n}}{t^{*}}\left(1+\eta\left(t^{*}\right)\right) t^{*} \geq t_{n}\left(1+\eta\left(t^{*}\right)\right) \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking limits, we have $t^{*} \geq t^{*}\left(1+\eta\left(t^{*}\right)\right)>t^{*}$, a contradiction.
(ii) Suppose that there exists a natural number $N>0$, such that $t_{n}=t^{*}(n>N)$.

When $n>N$, so we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n+1} & =A y_{n} \geq A\left[t_{n} z_{n}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) w_{0}\right]=A\left[t^{*} z_{n}+\left(1-t^{*}\right) w_{0}\right]  \tag{2.36}\\
& \geq \gamma\left(t^{*}\right) A z_{n}+\left(1-\gamma\left(t^{*}\right)\right) w_{0}=\gamma\left(t^{*}\right) z_{n+1}+\left(1-\gamma\left(t^{*}\right)\right) w_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

then $t^{*}=t_{n+1} \geq \gamma\left(t^{*}\right)=\left(1+\eta\left(t^{*}\right)\right) t^{*}>t^{*}$, a contradiction.
Therefore, $t^{*}=1$.
For any natural numbers $n, p$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \leq y_{n+p}-y_{n} \leq z_{n+p}-y_{n} \leq z_{n}-y_{n} \leq z_{n}-\left[t_{n} z_{n}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) w_{0}\right]=\left(1-t_{n}\right)\left(z_{n}-w_{0}\right) \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $\theta \leq z_{n}-z_{n+p} \leq z_{n}-y_{n} \leq\left(1-t_{n}\right)\left(z_{n}-w_{0}\right)$. By the normality of $P$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(y_{n+p}-w_{0}\right)-\left(y_{n}-w_{0}\right)\right\|=\left\|y_{n+p}-y_{n}\right\| \leq N\left(1-t_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-w_{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)  \tag{2.38}\\
& \left\|\left(z_{n+p}-w_{0}\right)-\left(z_{n}-w_{0}\right)\right\|=\left\|z_{n}-z_{n+p}\right\| \leq N\left(1-t_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-w_{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
\end{align*}
$$

where $N$ is the normal constant of $P$. Hence the limits of $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ exist. Let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=$ $y^{*}$, and let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=z^{*}$, then $y_{n} \leq y^{*} \leq z^{*} \leq z_{n}(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$, hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \leq z^{*}-y^{*} \leq z_{n}-y_{n} \leq\left(1-t_{n}\right)\left(z_{n}-w_{0}\right) \rightarrow \theta \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) . \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, $y^{*}=z^{*}$. Let $x^{*}=y^{*}=z^{*}$, then $y_{n+1}=A y_{n} \leq A x^{*} \leq A z_{n}=z_{n+1}$.
Taking limits, we get $x^{*} \leq A x^{*} \leq x^{*}$. Hence $A x^{*}=x^{*}$, that is, $x^{*} \in P\left(w_{0}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{0}\right\}$ is a fixed point of $A$. By Theorem 2.4, the conclusions of Theorem 2.5 hold. The proof is completed.

## 3. Examples

Example 3.1. Let $I=[0,1]$, let $C(I)=\{x(t): I \mapsto R \mid x(t)$ is continuous $\}$, let $\|x\|=$ $\sup \{\mid x(t) \| t \in I\}$, let $P=\{x \in C(I) \mid x(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in I\}$, then $C(I)$ is a real Banach space and $P$ is a normal and solid cone in $C(I)$ ( $P$ is called solid if it contains interior points, i.e., $\stackrel{\circ}{P} \neq \emptyset)$. Take $a<0$, let $w_{0}=w_{0}(t) \equiv a, P\left(w_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in C(I) \mid x(t) \geq w_{0}, \forall t \in I\right\}$, and $\stackrel{\circ}{P}\left(w_{0}\right)=\left\{x+w_{0} \in P\left(w_{0}\right) \mid x \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}\right\}$.

Considering the Hammerstein integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) f(s, x(s)) d s, \quad t \in[0,1], \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k(t, s): I \times I \mapsto[0,+\infty)$ is continuous, $f(s, u): I \times[a,+\infty) \mapsto R$ is increasing for $u$. Suppose that
(1) there exist real numbers $0 \leq m \leq M \leq 1$, such that $m \leq k(t, s) \leq M$, for all $(t, s) \in$ $I \times I$, and $f(s, u) \geq a / M$, for $\operatorname{all}(s, u) \in I \times[a,+\infty)$,
(2) for any $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and $u \in(a,+\infty)$, there exists $\eta=\eta(\lambda)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m f[s, \lambda u+(1-\lambda) a] \geq(1+\eta) \lambda m f(s, u)+[1-(1+\eta) \lambda] a . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (3.1) has the only one solution $x^{*} \in P\left(w_{0}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{0}\right\}$. Moreover, constructing successively the sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}(t)=\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) f\left(s, x_{n-1}(s)\right) d s, \quad \forall t \in I, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any initial $x_{0} \in P\left(w_{0}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{0}\right\}$, we have $\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$.
Proof. Considering the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x(t)=\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) f(s, x(s)) d s, \quad t \in I . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $A: P\left(w_{0}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{0}\right\} \mapsto \stackrel{\circ}{P}\left(w_{0}\right)$ is increasing. Therefore, (i) of Definition 2.1 is satisfied. For any $x \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}\left(w_{0}\right)$, by (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
A\left[\lambda x(t)+(1-\lambda) w_{0}\right] & =\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) f\left(s, \lambda x(s)+(1-\lambda) w_{0}\right) d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{m} k(t, s) m f\left(s, \lambda x(s)+(1-\lambda) w_{0}\right) d s \\
& \geq(1+\eta) \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{m} k(t, s) m f(s, x(s)) d s+[1-(1+\eta) \lambda] w_{0} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{m} k(t, s) d s \\
& \geq(1+\eta) \lambda A x(t)+[1-(1+\eta) \lambda] w_{0} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, (ii) of Definition 2.1 is satisfied. Hence the operator $A: P\left(w_{0}\right) \mapsto P\left(w_{0}\right)$ is generalized $u_{0}$-concave. Consequently, operator $A$ satisfies all conditions of Theorem 2.5 , thus the conclusion of Example 3.1 holds.

Example 3.2. Let $R$ be a real numbers set, and let $P=\{x \mid x \geq 0, x \in R\}$, then $R$ is a real Banach space and $P$ is a normal and solid cone in $R$. Let $A x=(x+2)^{1 / 2}-2$. Considering the equation: $x=A x$. Obviously, $A$ is a generalized $u_{0}$-concave operator and satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.5. Hence $A$ has the only one fixed point $x^{*} \in P(-2) \backslash\{-2\}=(-2,+\infty)$. Moreover, we know $x^{*}=-1$ by computing.

In Example 3.2, we know that operator $A:[-2,+\infty) \mapsto[-2,+\infty)$ doesn't satisfy the definition of $u_{0}$-concave operators. Therefore, we can't obtain the fixed point of $A$ by the fixed point theorem of $u_{0}$-concave operators. The $u_{0}$-concave operators' fixed points are all positive, but here $A^{\prime}$ 's fixed point is negative.
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