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We examine $p$-Lienard systems driven by the vector $p$-Laplacian differential operator and having a multivalued nonlinearity. We consider Dirichlet systems. Using a fixed point principle for set-valued maps and a nonuniform nonresonance condition, we establish the existence of solutions.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we use fixed point theory to study the following multivalued $p$-Lienard system:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\left\|x^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{p-2} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{d}{d t} \nabla G(x(t))+F\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) \ni 0 \quad \text { a.e. on } T=[0, b]  \tag{1.1}\\
x(0)=x(b)=0,1<p<\infty
\end{gather*}
$$

In the last decade, there have been many papers dealing with second-order multivalued boundary value problems. We mention the works of Erbe and Krawcewicz [5, 6], Frigon [7, 8], Halidias and Papageorgiou [9], Kandilakis and Papageorgiou [11], Kyritsi et al. [12], Palmucci and Papalini [17], and Pruszko [19]. In all the above works, with the exception of Kyritsi et al. [12], $p=2$ (linear differential operator), $G=0$, and $g=0$. Moreover, in Frigon [7, 8] and Palmucci and Papalini [17], the inclusions are scalar (i.e., $N=1$ ). Finally we should mention that recently single-valued $p$-Lienard systems were studied by Mawhin [14] and Manásevich and Mawhin [13].

In this work, for problem (1.1), we prove an existence theorem under conditions of nonuniform nonresonance with respect to the first weighted eigenvalue of the negative vector ordinary $p$-Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions [15, 20]. Our approach is based on the multivalued version of the Leray-Schauder alternative principle due to Bader [1] (see Section 2).

## 2. Mathematical background

In this section, we recall some basic definitions and facts from multivalued analysis, the spectral properties of the negative vector $p$-Laplacian, and the multivalued fixed point principles mentioned in the introduction. For details, we refer to Denkowski et al. [3] and Hu and Papageorgiou [10] (for multivalued analysis), to Denkowski et al. [2] and Zhang [20] (for the spectral properties of the $p$-Laplacian), and to Bader [1] (for the multivalued fixed point principle; similar results can also be found in O'Regan and Precup [16] and Precup [18]).

Let $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ be a measurable space and $X$ a separable Banach space. We introduce the following notations:

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{f(c)}(X) & =\{A \subseteq X: \text { nonempty, closed (and convex) }\} \\
P_{(w) k(c)}(X) & =\{A \subseteq X: \text { nonempty, (weakly) compact (and convex) }\} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

A multifunction $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f}(X)$ is said to be measurable if, for all $x \in X, \omega \rightarrow d(x$, $F(\omega))=\inf [\|x-y\|: y \in F(\omega)]$ is measurable. A multifunction $F: \Omega \rightarrow 2^{X} \backslash\{\varnothing\}$ is said to be "graph measurable" if $\mathrm{GrF}=\{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X: x \in F(\omega)\} \in \Sigma \times B(X)$, with $B(X)$ being the Borel $\sigma$-field of $X$. For $P_{f}(X)$-valued multifunctions, measurability implies graph measurability and the converse is true if $\Sigma$ is complete (i.e., $\Sigma=\hat{\Sigma}=$ the universal $\sigma$ field). Let $\mu$ be a finite measure on $(\Omega, \Sigma), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $F: \Omega \rightarrow 2^{X} \backslash\{\varnothing\}$. We introduce the set $S_{F}^{p}=\left\{f \in L^{p}(\Omega, X): f(\omega) \in F(\omega) \mu\right.$-a.e. $\}$. This set may be empty. For a graphmeasurable multifunction, it is nonempty if and only if inf $[\|y\|: y \in F(\omega)] \leq \varphi(\omega) \mu$-a.e. on $\Omega$, with $\varphi \in L^{p}(\Omega)_{+}$.

Let $Y, Z$ be Hausdorff topological spaces. A multifunction $G: Y \rightarrow 2^{Z} \backslash\{\varnothing\}$ is said to be "upper semicontinuous" (usc for short) if, for all $C \subseteq Z$ closed, $G^{-}(C)=\{y \in Y$ : $G(y) \cap C \neq \varnothing\}$ is closed or equivalently for all $U \subseteq Z$ open, $G^{+}\{y \in Y: G(y) \subseteq U\}$ is open. If $Z$ is a regular space, then a $P_{f}(Z)$-valued multifunction which is usc has a closed graph. The converse is true if the multifunction $G$ is locally compact (i.e., for every $y \in Y$, there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $y$ such that $\overline{G(U)}$ is compact in $Z)$. A $P_{k}(Z)$-valued multifunction which is usc maps compact sets to compact sets.

Consider the following weighted nonlinear eigenvalue problem in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\left\|x^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{p-2} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=\lambda \theta(t)\|x(t)\|^{p-2} x(t) \quad \text { a.e. on } T=[0, b],  \tag{2.2}\\
& x(0)=x(b)=0,1<p<\infty, \theta \in L^{\infty}(T),|\{\theta>0\}|_{1}>0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here by $|\cdot|_{1}$ we denote the 1-dimensional Lebesgue measure. The real parameters $\lambda$, for which problem (2.3) has a nontrivial solution, are called eigenvalues of the negative vector $p$-Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions denoted by $\left(-\triangle_{p}, W_{0}^{1, p}(T\right.$, $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ ), with weight $\theta \in L^{\infty}(T)$. The corresponding nontrivial solutions are known as eigenfunctions. We know that the eigenvalues of problem (2.3) are the same as those of the corresponding scalar problem [13]. Then from Denkowski et al. [2] and Zhang [20], we know that there exist two sequences $\left\{\lambda_{n}(\theta)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{-n}(\theta)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $\lambda_{n}(\theta)>0$, $\lambda_{n}(\theta) \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\lambda_{-n}(\theta)<0, \lambda_{-n}(\theta) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, if $\theta(t) \geq 0$ a.e. on $T$ with strict inequality on a set of positive Lebesgue measure, then we have only the positive
sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}(\theta)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$. Also, for $\lambda_{1}(\theta)>0$, we have the following variational characterization:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(\theta)=\inf \left[\frac{\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}}{\int_{0}^{b} \theta(t)\|x(t)\|^{p} d t}: x \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right), x \neq 0\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The infimum is attained at the normalized principal eigenfunction $u_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}(\theta)>0\right.$ is simple) and $u_{1}(t) \neq 0$ a.e. on $T$. Also, $\lambda_{1}(\theta)$ is strictly monotone with respect to $\theta$, namely, if $\theta_{1}(t) \leq \theta_{2}(t)$ a.e. on $T$ with strict inequality on a set of positive measure, then $\lambda_{1}\left(\theta_{2}\right)<$ $\lambda_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)($ see (3.2)).

Finally we state the multivalued fixed point principle that we will use in the study of problem (1.1). So let $Y, Z$ be two Banach spaces and $C \subseteq Y, D \subseteq Z$ two nonempty closed and convex sets. We consider multifunctions $G: C \rightarrow 2^{C} \backslash\{\varnothing\}$ which have a decomposition $G=K \circ N$, satisfying the following: $K: D \rightarrow C$ is completely continuous, namely, if $z_{n} \xrightarrow{w} z$ in $D$, then $K\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow K(z)$ in $C$ and $N: C \rightarrow P_{w k c}(D)$ is usc from $C$, furnished with the strong topology into $D$, furnished with the weak topology.

Theorem 2.1. If $C, D$, and $G=K \circ N$ are as above, $0 \in C$, and $G$ is compact (namely, $G$ maps bounded subsets of $C$ into relatively compact subsets of $D$ ), then one of the following alternatives holds:
(a) $S=\{y \in C: y \in \mu G(y)$ for some $\mu \in(0,1)\}$ is unbounded or
(b) G has a fixed point, that is, there exists $y \in C$ such that $y \in G(y)$.

Remark 2.2. Evidently this is a multivalued version of the classical Leray-Schauder alternative principle [2, page 206]. In contrast to previous multivalued extensions of the Leray-Schauder alternative principal [4, page 61], Theorem 2.1 does not require $G$ to have convex values, which is important when dealing with nonlinear problems such as (1.1).

## 3. Nonuniform nonresonance

In this section, we deal with problem (1.1) using a condition of nonuniform nonresonance with respect to the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(\theta)>0$. Our hypotheses on the multivalued nonlinearity $F(t, x, y)$ are as follows.
$\left(H(F)_{1}\right) F: T \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow P_{k c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a multifunction such that
(i) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, t \rightarrow F(t, x, y)$ is graph measurable;
(ii) for almost all $t \in T,(x, y) \rightarrow F(t, x, y)$ is usc;
(iii) for every $M>0$, there exists $\gamma_{M} \in L^{1}(T)_{+}$such that, for almost all $t \in T$, all $\|x\|,\|y\| \leq M$, and all $u \in F(t, x, y)$, we have $\|u\| \leq \gamma_{M}(t)$;
(iv) there exists $\theta \in L^{\infty}(T), \theta(t) \geq 0$ a.e. on $T$, with strict inequality on a set of positive measure and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\|x\| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\sup \left[(u, x)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}: u \in F(t, x, y), y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right]}{\|x\|^{p}} \leq \theta(t) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for almost all $t \in T$ and $\lambda_{1}(\theta)>1$.

Remark 3.1. Hypothesis $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)(i v)$ is the nonuniform nonresonance condition. In the literature $[15,20]$, we encounter the condition $\theta(t) \leq \lambda_{1}$ a.e. on $T$ with strict inequality on a set of positive measure. Here $\lambda_{1}>0$ is the principal eigenvalue corresponding to the unit weight $\theta=1$ (i.e., $\left.\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}(1)\right)$. Then by virtue of the strict monotonicity property, we have $\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=1<\lambda_{1}(\theta)$, which is the condition assumed in hypothesis $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)(i v)$.
$\left(H(G)_{1}\right) G \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$.
Given $h \in L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we consider the following Dirichlet problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\left(\left\|x^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{p-2} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} & =h(t) \quad \text { a.e. on } T=[0, b], \\
x(0) & =x(b)=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

From Manásevich and Mawhin [13, Lemma 4.1], we know that problem (3.3) has a unique solution $K(h) \in C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{x \in C^{1}\left(T \mathbb{R}^{N}\right): x(0)=x(b)=0\right\}$. So we can define the solution map $K: L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Proposition 3.2. $K: L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is completely continuous, that is, if $h_{n} \xrightarrow{w} h$ in $L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then $K\left(h_{n}\right) \rightarrow K(h)$ in $C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Proof. Let $h_{n} \xrightarrow{w} h$ in $L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and set $x_{n}=K\left(h_{n}\right), n \geq 1$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{p-2} x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=h_{n}(t) \quad \text { a.e. on } T, x_{n}(0)=x_{n}(b)=0, n \geq 1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the inner product with $x_{n}(t)$, integrating over $T$, and performing integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c_{1}\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \text { for some } c_{1}>0 \text { and all } n \geq 1 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have used Hölder and Poincare inequalities. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left\{x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq L^{p}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { is bounded (since } p>1\right) \\
& \Longrightarrow\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq W_{0}^{1, p}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { is bounded (by the Poincare inequality). } \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

So from (3.22) we infer that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|^{p-2} x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq W^{1, q}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1\right) \text { is bounded }  \tag{3.6}\\
& \Longrightarrow\left\{\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|^{p-2} x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq C\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { is relatively compact }
\end{align*}
$$

(recall that $W^{1, q}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is embedded compactly in $C\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ ). The map $\varphi_{p}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$, defined by $\varphi_{p}(y)=\|y\|^{p-2} y, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{\varnothing\}$, and $\varphi_{p}(0)=0$, is a homeomorphism and so $\hat{\varphi}_{p}^{-1}: C\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow C\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, defined by $\hat{\varphi}_{p}^{-1}(y)(\cdot)=\varphi_{p}^{-1}(y(\cdot))$, is continuous and bounded. Thus it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq C\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { is relatively compact } \\
& \Longrightarrow\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { is relatively compact. } \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we may assume that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Also $\left\{\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|^{p-2} x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq W^{1, q}(T$, $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is bounded and so we may assume that $\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|^{p-2} x_{n}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{w} u$ in $W^{1, q}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|^{p-2} x_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow u$ in $C\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ (because $W^{1, q}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is embedded compactly in $C\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ ). It follows that $u=\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{p-2} x^{\prime}$. Hence if in (3.22) we pass to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\left\|x^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{p-2} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=h(t) \quad \text { a.e. on } T=[0, b], x(0)=x(b)=0  \tag{3.8}\\
& \Longrightarrow K(h)=x .
\end{align*}
$$

Since every subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ has a further subsequence which converges to $x$ in $C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we conclude that the original sequence converges too. This proves the complete continuity of $K$.

Let $N_{F}: C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow 2^{L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$ be the multivalued Nemitsky operator corresponding to $F$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{F}(x)=\left\{u \in L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right): u(t) \in F\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) \text { a.e. on } T\right\} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also let $N: C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow 2^{L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(x)=\frac{d}{d x} \nabla G(x(\cdot))+N_{F}(x) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This multifunction has the following structure.
Proposition 3.3. If hypotheses $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H(G)_{1}\right)$ hold, then $N$ has values in $P_{w k c}\left(L^{1}(T\right.$, $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ ) and it is usc from $C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with the norm topology into $L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with the weak topology.

Proof. Clearly $N$ has closed, convex values which are uniformly integrable (see hypothesis $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)$ (iii)). Therefore for every $x \in C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right), N(x)$ is convex and $w$-compact in $L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. What is not immediately clear is that $N(x) \neq \varnothing$, since hypotheses $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)(\mathrm{i})$ and (ii) in general do not imply the graph measurability of $(t, x, y) \rightarrow F(t, x, y)$ [10, page 227]. To see that $N(x) \neq \varnothing$, we proceed as follows. Let $\left\{s_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1},\left\{r_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be step functions such that $s_{n} \rightarrow x$ and $r_{n} \rightarrow x^{\prime}$ a.e. on $T$ and $\left\|s_{n}(t)\right\| \leq\|x(t)\|,\left\|r_{n}(t)\right\| \leq\left\|x^{\prime}(t)\right\|$ a.e. on $T, n \geq 1$. Then by virtue of hypothesis $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)(\mathrm{i})$, for every $n \geq 1$, the multifunction $t \rightarrow F\left(t, s_{n}(t), r_{n}(t)\right)$ is measurable and so by the Yankon-von Neumann-Aumann selection theorem [10, page 158], we can find $u_{n}: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ a measurable map such that $u_{n}(t) \in F\left(t, s_{n}(t), r_{n}(t)\right)$ for all $t \in T$. Note that $\left\|s_{n}\right\|_{\infty},\left\|r_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq M_{1}$ for some $M_{1}>0$ and all $n \geq 1$. So $\left\|u_{n}(t)\right\| \leq \gamma_{M_{1}}(t)$ a.e. on $T$, with $\gamma_{M_{1}} \in L^{1}(T)_{+}$(see hypothesis $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)($ iii $)$ ). Thus by virtue of the Dunford-Pettis theorem, we may assume that $u_{n} \xrightarrow{w} u$ in $L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From Hu and Papageorgiou [10, page 694], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \in \overline{\text { conv }} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(t, s_{n}(t), r_{n}(t)\right) \subseteq F\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) \quad \text { a.e. on } T, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the last inclusion being a consequence of hypothesis $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)($ ii $)$. So we have $u \in$ $S_{F\left(\cdot, x(\cdot), x^{\prime}(\cdot)\right)}^{q}$, hence $N(x) \neq \varnothing$.

Next we check the upper semicontinuity of $N$ into $L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)_{w}\left(L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)_{w}\right.$ equals the Banach space $L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ furnished with the weak topology). Because of hypothesis $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)\left(\right.$ iii ), $N$ is locally compact into $L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)_{w}$ (recall that uniformly integrable sets are relatively compact in $\left.L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)_{w}\right)$. Also on weakly compact subsets of $L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the relative weak topology is metrizable. Therefore to check the upper semicontinuity of $N$, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{GrN}$ is sequentially closed in $C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)_{w}$ (see Section 2). To this end, let $\left(x_{n}, f_{n}\right) \in \operatorname{GrN}, n \geq 1$, and suppose that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $f_{n} \xrightarrow{w} f$ in $L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. For every $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(t)=\frac{d}{d t} \nabla G\left(x_{n}(t)\right)+u_{n}(t) \quad \text { a.e. on } T \text {, with } u_{n} \in S_{F\left(\cdot, x_{n}(\cdot), x_{n}^{\prime}(\cdot)\right)}^{1} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of hypothesis $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)$ (iii), we may assume (at least for a subsequence) that $u_{n} \xrightarrow{w} u$ in $L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. As before, from Hu and Papageorgiou [10, page 694], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \in \overline{\text { conv }} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(t, x_{n}(t), x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) \subseteq F\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) \quad \text { a.e. on } T \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(again the last inclusion follows from hypothesis $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)$ (ii)). So $u \in S_{F\left(\cdot, x(\cdot), x^{\prime}(\cdot)\right) \text {. Also }}^{1}$ by virtue of hypothesis $\left(H(G)_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \nabla G\left(x_{n}(t)\right)=G^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}(t)\right) x_{n}^{\prime}(t) \longrightarrow G^{\prime \prime}(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)=\frac{d}{d t} \nabla G(x(t)), \quad \forall t \in T \\
& \Longrightarrow \frac{d}{d t} \nabla G\left(x_{n}(\cdot)\right) \longrightarrow \frac{d}{d t} \nabla G(x(\cdot)) \quad \text { in } L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

(by the dominated convergence theorem).
So in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& f=\frac{d}{d t} \nabla G(x(\cdot))+u \quad \text { with } u \in N_{F}(x)  \tag{3.15}\\
& \Rightarrow(x, f) \in \mathrm{GrN} \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

This proves the desired upper semicontinuity of $N$.
Proposition 3.4. There exists $\xi>0$ such that, for all $x \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{0}^{b} \theta(t)\|x(t)\|^{p} d t \geq \xi\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\eta: W_{0}^{1, p}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the functional defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(x)=\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{0}^{b} \theta(t)\|x(t)\|^{p} d t \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the variational characterization of $\lambda_{1}(\theta)>1$, we see that $\eta(x)>0$ for all $x \in$ $W_{0}^{1, p}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right), x \neq 0$. Suppose that the proposition was not true. Then by virtue of the $p$ homogeneity of $\eta$, we can find $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq W_{0}^{1, p}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}=1$ and $\eta\left(x_{n}\right) \downarrow 0$.

By the Poincare inequality, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq W_{0}^{1, p}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is bounded and so we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n} \xrightarrow{w} x \quad \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad x_{n} \longrightarrow x \quad \text { in } C_{0}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also exploiting the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm functional in a Banach space, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq \int_{0}^{b} \theta(t)\|x(t)\|^{p} d t \Longrightarrow \lambda_{1}(\theta) \leq 1 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

a contradiction to our hypothesis that $\lambda_{1}(\theta)>1$.
We introduce the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left\{x \in C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right): x \in \lambda K N(x), 0<\lambda<1\right\} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.5. If hypotheses $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H(G)_{1}\right)$ hold, then $S \subseteq C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is bounded. Proof. Let $x \in S$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\lambda} x \in K N(x) \quad \text { with } 0<\lambda<1 \\
& \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda^{p-1}}\left(\left\|x^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{p-2} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{d}{d t} \nabla G(x(t))+u(t)=0 \quad \text { a.e. on } T \text {, with } u \in S_{F\left(\cdot, x(\cdot), x^{\prime}(\cdot)\right)}^{1} \\
& \Longrightarrow\left(\left\|x^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{p-2} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda^{p-1} \frac{d}{d t} \nabla G(x(t))+\lambda^{p-1} u(t)=0 \quad \text { a.e. on } T \text {. } \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the inner product with $x(t)$, integrate over $T$, and perform integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\lambda^{p-1} \int_{0}^{b}\left(\nabla G(x(t)), x^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d t+\lambda^{p-1} \int_{0}^{b}(u(t), x(t))_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d t=0 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b}\left(\nabla G(x(t)), x^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d t=\int_{0}^{b} \frac{d}{d t} G(x(t)) d t=G(x(b))-G(x(0))=0 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of hypotheses $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)$ (iii) and (iv), given $\varepsilon>0$, we can find $\gamma_{\varepsilon} \in L^{1}(T)_{+}$such that for almost all $t \in T$, all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and all $u \in F(t, x, y)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, x)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \leq(\theta(t)+\varepsilon)\|x\|^{p}+\gamma_{\varepsilon}(t) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b}(u(t), x(t))_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d t \leq \int_{0}^{b} \theta(t)\|x(t)\|^{p} d t+\varepsilon\|x\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|\gamma_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{1} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.24) and (3.27) in (3.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq \int_{0}^{b} \theta(t)\|x(t)\|^{p} d t+\varepsilon\|x\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|\gamma_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{1}  \tag{3.26}\\
& \Longrightarrow \xi\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{1}}\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left\|\gamma_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

(see Proposition 3.5 and recall that $\lambda_{1}\|x\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}, \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}(1)$ ).
Choose $\varepsilon>0$ so that $\varepsilon<\lambda_{1} \xi$. Then from the last inequality, we infer that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{x^{\prime}\right\}_{x \in S} \subseteq L^{p}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { is bounded } \\
& \Longrightarrow S \subseteq W_{0}^{1, p}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { is bounded (by Poincare's inequality) }  \tag{3.27}\\
& \Longrightarrow S \subseteq C_{0}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { is relatively compact. }
\end{align*}
$$

Also we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(\left\|x^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{p-2} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|G^{\prime \prime}(x(t))\right\|_{\mathscr{L}}\left\|x^{\prime}(t)\right\|+\|u(t)\| \text { a.e. on } T \\
& \leq M_{2}\left(\left\|x^{\prime}(t)\right\|+\theta(t)+\varepsilon+\gamma_{\varepsilon}(t)\right) \text { a.e. on } T \text { for some } M_{2}>0(\text { see }(3.25)) \\
& \Longrightarrow\left\{\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{p-2} x^{\prime}\right\}_{x \in S} \subseteq W^{1,1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { is bounded } \\
& \Longrightarrow\left\{\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{p-2} x^{\prime}\right\}_{x \in S} \subseteq C\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { is bounded } \\
& \text { (since } W^{1,1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { is embedded continuously but not compactly in } C\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { ) } \\
& \Longrightarrow\left\{x^{\prime}\right\}_{x \in S} \subseteq C\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { is bounded } . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.28) and (3.29), we conclude that $S \subseteq C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is bounded.
Propositions 3.2, 3.3, and 3.5 permit the use of Theorem 2.1. So we obtain the following existence result for problem (1.1).

Theorem 3.6. If hypotheses $\left(H(F)_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H(G)_{1}\right)$ hold, then problem (1.1) has a solution $x \in C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{p-2} x^{\prime} \in W^{1,1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

As an application of this theorem, we consider the following system:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\left\|x^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{p-2} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\|x(t)\|^{p-2} A x(t)+F(t, x(t)) \ni e(t) \quad \text { a.e. on } T=[0, b] \\
x(0)=x(b)=0, e \in L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Our hypotheses on the data of problem (3.29) are the following.
$(H(A)) A$ is an $N \times N$ matrix such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ we have $(A x, x)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \leq \theta\|x\|^{2}$ with $\theta<\left(\pi_{\rho} / b\right)^{p}$.

Remark 3.7. The quantity $\pi_{p}$ is defined by $\pi_{p}=2(p-1)^{1 / p} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1 /(1-t)^{1 / p}\right) d t=2(p-$ $1)^{1 / p}((\pi / p) / \sin (\pi / p))$. If $p=2$, then $\pi_{2}=\pi$. Recall that the eigenvalues of $\left(-\triangle_{p}, W_{0}^{1, p}(T\right.$, $\left.\left.\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)$ are $\lambda_{n}=\left(n \pi_{p} / b\right)^{p}, n \geq 1$ [13]. So in hypothesis $(H(A))$, we have $\theta<\lambda_{1}$.
$\left(H(F)_{1}^{\prime}\right) F: T \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow P_{k c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a multifunction such that
(i) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, t \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is graph measurable;
(ii) for almost all $t \in T, x \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is usc;
(iii) for every $M>0$, there exists $\gamma_{M} \in L^{1}(T)_{+}$such that for almost all $t \in T$, all $\|x\| \leq M$, and all $u \in F(t, x)$, we have $\|u\| \leq \gamma_{M}(t)$;
(iv) $\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty}\left((u, x)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} /\|x\|^{p}\right)=0$ uniformly for almost all $t \in T$ and all $u \in F(t, x)$.

Invoking Theorem 3.6, we obtain the following existence result for problem (3.29).
Theorem 3.8. If hypotheses $(H(A))$ and $\left(H(F)_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ hold, then for everye $\in L^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, problem (3.29) has a solution $x \in C_{0}^{1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{p-2} x^{\prime} \in W^{1,1}\left(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Remark 3.9. Theorem 3.8 extends Theorem 7.1 of Manásevich and Mawhin [13].
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