

RESEARCH

Open Access

A strong convergence theorem on solving common solutions for generalized equilibrium problems and fixed-point problems in Banach space

De-ning Qu^{1,2} and Cao-zong Cheng^{1*}

* Correspondence: czcheng@bjut.edu.cn

¹College of Applied Science, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, PR China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, the common solution problem (P1) of generalized equilibrium problems for a system of inverse-strongly monotone mappings $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^N$ and a system of bifunctions $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^N$ satisfying certain conditions, and the common fixed-point problem (P2) for a family of uniformly quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive and locally uniformly Lipschitz continuous or uniformly Hölder continuous mappings $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ are proposed. A new iterative sequence is constructed by using the generalized projection and hybrid method, and a strong convergence theorem is proved on approximating a common solution of (P1) and (P2) in Banach space.

2000 MSC: 26B25, 40A05

Keywords: Common solution, Equilibrium problem, Fixed-point problem, Iterative sequence, Strong convergence

1. Introduction

Recently, common solution problems (i.e., to find a common element of the set of solutions of equilibrium problems and/or the set of fixed points of mappings and/or the set of solutions of variational inequalities) with their applications have been discussed. Some authors such as in references [1-7] presented various iterative schemes and showed some strong or weak convergence theorems on common solution problems in Hilbert spaces. In 2008-2009, Takahashi and Zembayashi [8,9] introduced several iterative sequences on finding a common solution of an equilibrium problem and a fixed-point problem for a relatively nonexpansive mapping, and established some strong or weak convergence theorems. In 2010, Chang et al. [10] discussed the common solution of a generalized equilibrium problem and a common fixed-point problem for two relatively nonexpansive mappings, and established a strong convergence theorem on the common solution problem. The frameworks of spaces in [8-10] are the uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach spaces. Chang et al. [11] established a strong convergence theorem on solving the common fixed-point problem for a family of uniformly quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive and uniformly Lipschitz continuous mappings in a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space with the Kadec-Klee property. Some other problems such as optimization problems (e.g. see [1,4,6])

and common zero-point problems (e.g. see [10]) are closely related to common solution problems.

Throughout this paper, unless other stated, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{J} are denoted by the set of the real numbers and the set $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, respectively, where N is any given positive integer. Let E be a real Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, E^* be the dual of E , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the pairing between E and E^* . Suppose that C is a nonempty closed convex subset of E .

Let $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^N : C \rightarrow E^*$ be N mappings and $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^N : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be N bifunctions. For each $k \in \mathbb{J}$, the generalized equilibrium problem for f_k and A_k is to seek $\bar{u} \in C$ such that

$$f_k(\bar{u}, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - \bar{u}, A_k \bar{u} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in C. \tag{1.1}$$

The *common solution problem* (P1) of generalized equilibrium problems for $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^N$ and $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^N$ is to seek an element in \mathbb{G} , where $\mathbb{G} = \bigcap_{k=1}^N G(k)$ and $G(k)$ is the set of solutions of (1.1). We write G instead of \mathbb{G} in the case of $N = 1$.

Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^\infty : C \rightarrow C$ be a family of mappings. The *common fixed-point problem* (P2) for $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is to seek an element in \mathbb{F} , where $\mathbb{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty F(S_i)$ and $F(S_i)$ is the set of fixed points of S_i .

Motivated by the works in [8-11], in this paper we will produce a new iterative sequence approximating a common solution of (P1) and (P2) (i.e., some point belonging to $\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G}$), and show a strong convergence theorem in a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space with the Kadec-Klee property, where $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in (P2) is a family of uniformly quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and for each $i \geq 1$, S_i is locally uniformly Lipschitz continuous or uniformly Hölder continuous with order Θ_i .

2. Preliminaries

Let E be a real Banach space, and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in E . We denote by $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ the strong convergence and weak convergence of $\{x_n\}$, respectively. The *normalized duality mapping* $J : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ is defined by

$$Jx = \{f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f\|^2\}, \quad \forall x \in E.$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, $Jx \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in E$.

A Banach space E is said to be *strictly convex* if $\frac{\|x + \gamma\|}{2} < 1$ for all $x, \gamma \in U = \{u \in E : \|u\| = 1\}$ with $x \neq \gamma$; to be *uniformly convex* if for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $\frac{\|x + \gamma\|}{2} < 1 - \gamma$ for all $x, \gamma \in U$ with $\|x - \gamma\| \geq \varepsilon$; to be *smooth* if the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + t\gamma\| - \|x\|}{t} \tag{2.1}$$

exists for every $x, \gamma \in U$; to be *uniformly smooth* if the limit (2.1) exists uniformly for all $x, \gamma \in U$.

Remark 2.1. The basic properties below hold (see [12]).

(i) If E is a real uniformly smooth Banach space, then J is uniformly continuous on each bounded subset of E .

(ii) If E is a strictly convex reflexive Banach space, then J^{-1} is hemicontinuous, that is, J^{-1} is norm-to-weak*-continuous.

(iii) If E is a smooth and strictly convex reflexive Banach space, then J is single-valued, one-to-one and onto.

(iv) Each uniformly convex Banach space E has the *Kadec-Klee property*, that is, for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset E$, if $x_n \rightarrow x \in E$ and $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$, then $x_n \rightarrow x$.

(v) A Banach space E is uniformly smooth if and only if E^* is uniformly convex.

(vi) A Banach space E is strictly convex if and only if J is strictly monotone, that is,

$$\langle x - \gamma, x^* - \gamma^* \rangle > 0 \quad \text{whenever } x, \gamma \in E, \quad x \neq \gamma \text{ and } x^* \in Jx, \gamma^* \in J\gamma.$$

(vii) Both uniformly smooth Banach spaces and uniformly convex Banach spaces are reflexive.

Now let E be a smooth and strictly convex reflexive Banach space. As Alber [13] and Kamimura and Takahashi [14] did, the *Lyapunov functional* $\phi : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by

$$\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, Jy \rangle + \|y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

It follows from [15] that $\phi(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$, and that

$$(\|x\| - \|y\|)^2 \leq \phi(x, y) \leq (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2. \quad (2.2)$$

Further suppose that C is a nonempty closed convex subset of E . The *generalized projection* (see [13]) $\Pi_C : E \rightarrow C$ is defined by for each $x \in E$,

$$\Pi_C(x) = \arg \min_{y \in C} \phi(y, x).$$

A mapping $A : C \rightarrow E^*$ is said to be δ -inverse-strongly monotone, if there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\langle x - \gamma, Ax - A\gamma \rangle \geq \delta \|Ax - A\gamma\|^2, \quad \forall x, \gamma \in C.$$

A mapping $S : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be *closed* if for each $\{x_n\} \subset C$, $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $Sx_n \rightarrow y$ imply $Sx = y$; to be *quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive* (see [16]) if $F(S) \neq \emptyset$, and there exists a sequence $\{l_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ with $l_n \rightarrow 1$ such that

$$\phi(u, S^n x) \leq l_n \phi(u, x), \quad \forall x \in C, u \in F(S), \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

It is easy to see that if $A : C \rightarrow E^*$ is δ -inverse-strongly monotone, then A is $\frac{1}{\delta}$ -Lipschitz continuous. The class of quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings contains properly the class of relatively nonexpansive mappings (see [17]) as a subclass.

Definition 2.1 (see [11]). Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^\infty : C \rightarrow C$ be a sequence of mappings. $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is said to be a *family of uniformly quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, if $\mathbb{F} \neq \emptyset$ and there exists a sequence $\{l_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ with $l_n \rightarrow 1$ such that for each $i \geq 1$,

$$\phi(u, S_i^n x) \leq l_n \phi(u, x), \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{F}, x \in C, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Now we introduce the following concepts.

Definition 2.2. A mapping $S : C \rightarrow C$ is said

(1) to be *locally uniformly Lipschitz continuous* if for any bounded subset D in C , there exists a constant $L_D > 0$ such that

$$\|S^n x - S^n y\| \leq L_D \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in D, \quad \forall n \geq 1;$$

(2) to be *uniformly Hölder continuous with order* Θ ($\Theta > 0$) if there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\|S^n x - S^n y\| \leq L \|x - y\|^\Theta, \quad \forall x, y \in C, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Remark 2.2. It is easy to see that any uniformly Lipschitz continuous mapping (see [11]) is locally uniformly Lipschitz continuous, and is also uniformly Hölder continuous with order $\Theta = 1$. However, the converse is not true.

Example 2.1. Suppose that $S : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} x^2, & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then S is locally uniformly Lipschitz continuous. In fact, for any bounded subset D in \mathbb{R} , setting $M = 1 + \sup\{|x| : x \in D\}$, we have $|S^n x - S^n y| \leq 2M |x - y|$, $x, y \in D$, $\forall n \geq 1$. But S fails to be uniformly Lipschitz continuous.

Example 2.2. Suppose that $S : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{-x}, & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

S is uniformly Hölder continuous with order $\Theta = \frac{1}{2}$, since $|S^n x - S^n y| \leq 2|x - y|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall n \geq 1$. But S fails to be uniformly Lipschitz continuous.

Lemma 2.1 (see [13,14]). *If C is a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth and strictly convex reflexive Banach space E , then*

- (1) $\varphi(x, \Pi_C(y)) + \varphi(\Pi_C(y), y) \geq \varphi(x, y)$, $\forall x \in C, y \in E$;
- (2) for $x \in E$ and $u \in C$, one has

$$u = \Pi_C(x) \Leftrightarrow \langle u - y, Jx - Ju \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let E be a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space with the Kadec-Klee property, $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two sequences of E , and $\bar{u} \in E$. If $x_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ and $\varphi(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$, then $y_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$.*

Proof. We complete this proof by two steps.

Step 1. Show that there exists a subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ such that $y_{n_k} \rightarrow \bar{u}$.

In fact, since $\varphi(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$, by (2.2) we have $\|x_n\| - \|y_n\| \rightarrow 0$. It follows from $x_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ that

$$\|y_n\| \rightarrow \|\bar{u}\| \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty), \tag{2.3}$$

and so

$$\|Jy_n\| \rightarrow \|J\bar{u}\| \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty). \tag{2.4}$$

Then $\{Jy_n\}$ is bounded in E^* . It follows from Remark 2.1(v) and (vii) that E^* is reflexive. Hence there exist a point $f_0 \in E^*$ and a subsequence $\{Jy_{n_k}\}$ of $\{Jy_n\}$ such that

$$Jy_{n_k} \rightharpoonup f_0 \quad (\text{as } k \rightarrow \infty). \tag{2.5}$$

It follows from Remark 2.1(vii) and (iii) that there exists a point $x \in E$ such that $Jx = f_0$. By the definition of φ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x_{n_k}, \gamma_{n_k}) &= \|x_{n_k}\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n_k}, J\gamma_{n_k} \rangle + \|\gamma_{n_k}\|^2 \\ &= \|x_{n_k}\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n_k}, J\gamma_{n_k} \rangle + \|J\gamma_{n_k}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By weak lower semicontinuity of norm $\|\cdot\|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_{n_k}, \gamma_{n_k}) \\ &\geq \|\bar{u}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{u}, f_0 \rangle + \|f_0\|^2 \\ &= \|\bar{u}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{u}, Jx \rangle + \|Jx\|^2 \\ &= \|\bar{u}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{u}, Jx \rangle + \|x\|^2 = \phi(\bar{u}, x), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\bar{u} = x$ and $f_0 = J\bar{u}$. It follows from Remark 2.1(iv) and (v) that E^* has the Kadec-Klee property, and so $J\gamma_{n_k} \rightarrow J\bar{u}$ by (2.4) and (2.5). By Remark 2.1(vii) and (ii), we have $\gamma_{n_k} \rightarrow \bar{u}$, which implies that $\gamma_{n_k} \rightarrow \bar{u}$ by (2.3) and the Kadec-Klee property of E .

Step 2. Show that $\gamma_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$.

In fact, suppose that $\gamma_n \not\rightarrow \bar{u}$. For some given number $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, there exists a positive integer sequence $\{n_k\}$ with $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$, such that

$$\|\gamma_{n_k} - \bar{u}\| \geq \varepsilon_0. \tag{2.6}$$

Replacing $\{\gamma_n\}$ by $\{\gamma_{n_k}\}$ in Step 1, there exists a subsequence $\{\gamma_{n_{k_i}}\}$ of $\{\gamma_{n_k}\}$ such that $\gamma_{n_{k_i}} \rightarrow \bar{u}$, which contradicts (2.6). \square

Lemma 2.3. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth and strictly convex reflexive Banach space E , and let $A : C \rightarrow E^*$ be a δ -inverse-strongly monotone mapping and $f : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying the following conditions

- (B₁) $f(z, z) = 0, \forall z \in C$;
- (B₂) $\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} f(z + t(x - z), \gamma) \leq f(z, \gamma), \quad \forall x, \gamma, z \in C$;
- (B₃) for any $z \in C$, the function $\gamma \mapsto f(z, \gamma)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous;
- (B₄) for some $\beta \geq 0$ with $\beta \leq \delta$,

$$f(z, \gamma) + f(\gamma, z) \leq \beta \|Az - A\gamma\|^2, \quad \forall z, \gamma \in C.$$

Then the following conclusions hold:

- (1) For any $r > 0$ and $u \in E$, there exists a unique point $z \in C$ such that

$$f(z, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - z, Az \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle \gamma - z, Jz - Ju \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in C. \tag{2.7}$$

- (2) For any given $r > 0$, define a mapping $K_r : E \rightarrow C$ as follows: $\forall u \in E$,

$$K_r u = z \text{ such that } f(z, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - z, Az \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle \gamma - z, Jz - Ju \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in C.$$

We have (i) $F(K_r) = G$ and G is closed convex in C , where

$$G = \{z \in C : f(z, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - z, Az \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in C\};$$

- (ii) $\varphi(z, K_r u) + \varphi(K_r u, u) \leq \varphi(z, u), \forall z \in F(K_r)$.

- (3) For each $n \geq 1, r_n > a > 0$ and $u_n \in C$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{r_n} u_n = \bar{u}$, we have

$$f(\bar{u}, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - \bar{u}, A\bar{u} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in C.$$

Proof. (1) We consider the bifunction $\tilde{f} : (z, \gamma) \mapsto f(z, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - z, Az \rangle$ instead of f . It follows from the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [10] that \tilde{f} satisfies (B₁)-(B₃). Since A is δ -inverse-strongly monotone, by (B₄), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (f(z, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - z, Az \rangle) + (f(\gamma, z) + \langle z - \gamma, Ay \rangle) \\ &= f(z, \gamma) + f(\gamma, z) - \langle z - \gamma, Az - Ay \rangle \\ &\leq (\beta - \delta) \|Az - Ay\|^2 \leq 0, \forall \gamma, z \in C, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

which implies \tilde{f} is monotone. By Blum and Oettli [18], for any $r > 0$ and $u \in E$, there exists $z \in C$ such that (2.7) holds. Next we show that (2.7) has a unique solution. If for any given $r > 0$ and $u \in E$, z_1 and z_2 are two solutions of (2.7), then

$$f(z_1, z_2) + \langle z_2 - z_1, Az_1 \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle z_2 - z_1, Jz_1 - Ju \rangle \geq 0,$$

and

$$f(z_2, z_1) + \langle z_1 - z_2, Az_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle z_1 - z_2, Jz_2 - Ju \rangle \geq 0.$$

Adding these two inequalities, we have

$$f(z_1, z_2) + f(z_2, z_1) - \langle z_2 - z_1, Az_2 - Az_1 \rangle - \frac{1}{r} \langle z_2 - z_1, Jz_2 - Jz_1 \rangle \geq 0.$$

It follows from (2.8) that

$$\langle z_2 - z_1, Jz_2 - Jz_1 \rangle \leq 0,$$

which implies that $z_1 = z_2$ by Remark 2.1(vi).

(2) Since \tilde{f} satisfies (B₁)-(B₃) and is monotone, the conclusion (2) follows from Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 in [9].

(3) Since

$$f(K_{r_n} u_n, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - K_{r_n} u_n, AK_{r_n} u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle \gamma - K_{r_n} u_n, JK_{r_n} u_n - Ju_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in C,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r_n} \langle \gamma - K_{r_n} u_n, JK_{r_n} u_n - Ju_n \rangle &\geq -(f(K_{r_n} u_n, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - K_{r_n} u_n, AK_{r_n} u_n \rangle) \\ &\geq f(\gamma, K_{r_n} u_n) + \langle K_{r_n} u_n - \gamma, Ay \rangle, \quad \forall \gamma \in C, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

by the monotonicity of \tilde{f} . It follows from $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{r_n} u_n = \bar{u}$, $r_n > a > 0$ and Remark 2.1(i) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|Ju_n - JK_{r_n} u_n\|}{r_n} = 0.$$

Since $\gamma \mapsto \tilde{f}(z, \gamma)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous, it is also weakly lower semicontinuous. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.9), we have $f(\gamma, \bar{u}) + \langle \bar{u} - \gamma, Ay \rangle \leq 0, \forall \gamma \in C$. For any $t \in (0, 1]$ and $\gamma \in C$, setting $\gamma_t = t\gamma + (1-t)\bar{u}$, we have $\gamma_t \in C$ and $f(\gamma_t, \bar{u}) + \langle \bar{u} - \gamma_t, Ay_t \rangle \leq 0$, which together with (B₁) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= f(\gamma_t, \gamma_t) + \langle \gamma_t - \gamma_t, A\gamma_t \rangle \\
 &= f(\gamma_t, t\gamma + (1-t)\bar{u}) + \langle t\gamma + (1-t)\bar{u} - \gamma_t, A\gamma_t \rangle \\
 &\leq t[f(\gamma_t, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - \gamma_t, A\gamma_t \rangle] + (1-t)[f(\gamma_t, \bar{u}) + \langle \bar{u} - \gamma_t, A\gamma_t \rangle] \\
 &\leq t[f(\gamma_t, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - \gamma_t, A\gamma_t \rangle].
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f(\gamma_t, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - \gamma_t, A\gamma_t \rangle \geq 0, \forall \gamma \in C, \forall t \in (0, 1]$. Letting $t \downarrow 0$, since $z \alpha f(z, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - z, Az \rangle$ satisfies (B_2) , we have $f(\bar{u}, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - \bar{u}, A\bar{u} \rangle \geq 0, \forall \gamma \in C$.

Remark 2.3. If $\beta = 0$ in (B_4) , that is, f is monotone, then the conclusions (1) and (2) in Lemma 2.3 reduce to the relating results of Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 in [10], respectively.

Next we give an example to show that there exist the mapping A and the bifunction f satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2.3. However, f is not monotone.

Example 2.3. Define $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $Ax = 2x + \sqrt{1+x^2} \in \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f(x, \gamma) = \frac{(x-\gamma)^2}{10}, \forall (x, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, respectively. It is easy to see that A is $\frac{1}{3}$ -inverse-strongly monotone, f satisfies (B_1) - (B_3) , and $f(x, \gamma) + f(\gamma, x) \leq \frac{1}{5}|Ax - A\gamma|^2, \forall (x, \gamma) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\frac{1}{5} \leq \frac{1}{3}$.

Lemma 2.4 (see [12]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space E with the Kadec-Klee property, $S : C \rightarrow C$ be a closed and quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with a sequence $\{l_n\} \subset [1, \infty), l_n \rightarrow 1$. Then $F(S)$ is closed convex in C .*

Lemma 2.5 (see [11]). *Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space, $\eta > 0$ be a positive number and $B_\eta(0)$ be a closed ball of E . Then, for any given sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset B_\eta(0)$ and for any given $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset (0, 1)$ with $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n = 1$, there exists a continuous, strictly increasing and convex function $g : [0, 2\eta) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $g(0) = 0$ such that for any positive integers i, j with $i < j$,*

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n x_n \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n \|x_n\|^2 - \lambda_i \lambda_j g(\|x_i - x_j\|).$$

□

3. Strong convergence theorem

In this section, let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space E with the Kadec-Klee property.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that*

(C_1) *for each $k \in \mathbb{J}$, the mapping $A_k : C \rightarrow E^*$ is δ_k -inverse-strongly monotone, the bifunction $f_k : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (B_1) - (B_3) , and for some $\beta_k \geq 0$ with $\beta_k \leq \delta_k$,*

$$f_k(z, \gamma) + f_k(\gamma, z) \leq \beta_k \|A_k z - A_k \gamma\|, \quad \forall z, \gamma \in C;$$

(C_2) $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^\infty : C \rightarrow C$ *is a family of closed and uniformly quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with a sequence $\{l_n\} \subset [1, \infty), l_n \rightarrow 1$;*

(C_3) *for each $i \geq 1, S_i$ is either locally uniformly Lipschitz continuous or uniformly Hölder continuous with order Θ_i ($\Theta_i > 0$), and \mathbb{F} is bounded in C .*

(C₄) $\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G} \neq \emptyset$. Take the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ generated by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_0 \in C, H_0 = W_0 = C, \\ u_{0,n} = J^{-1}(\alpha_{n,0}Jx_n + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_{n,i}J S_i^n x_n), \\ u_{1,n} \in C \text{ such that} \\ f_1(u_{1,n}, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - u_{1,n}, A_1 u_{1,n} \rangle + \frac{1}{r_{1,n}} \langle \gamma - u_{1,n}, Ju_{1,n} - Ju_{0,n} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in C, \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ u_{N,n} \in C \text{ such that} \\ f_N(u_{N,n}, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - u_{N,n}, A_N u_{N,n} \rangle + \frac{1}{r_{N,n}} \langle \gamma - u_{N,n}, Ju_{N,n} - Ju_{N-1,n} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in C, \\ H_{n+1} = \{v \in H_n : \phi(v, u_{N,n}) \leq \phi(v, x_n) + \xi_n\}, \\ W_{n+1} = \{z \in W_n : \langle x_n - z, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{H_{n+1} \cap W_{n+1}} x_0, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{array} \right.$$

where for each $k \in \mathbb{J}$, $\{r_{k,n}\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset [a, \infty)$ with some $a > 0$, $\{\alpha_{n,i}\}_{n=0,i=0}^\infty \subset [0, 1]$, and $\xi_n = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{F}} (l_n - 1)\phi(u, x_n)$. If $\sum_{i=0}^\infty \alpha_{n,i} = 1, \forall n \geq 0$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n,0} > 0, \forall i \geq 1$, then $x_n \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G}} x_0$.

Proof. We shall complete this proof by seven steps below.

Step 1. Show that $\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}, H_n$ and W_n for all $n \geq 0$ are closed convex.

In fact, $\mathbb{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty F(S_i)$ is closed convex since for each $i \geq 1, F(S_i)$ is closed convex by (C₂) and Lemma 2.4. \mathbb{G} is closed convex since for each $k \in \mathbb{J}, G(k)$ is closed convex by (C₁) and Lemma 2.3(2)(i). $H_0 = C$ is closed convex. Since $\phi(v, u_{N,n}) \leq \phi(v, x_n) + \xi_n$ is equivalent to

$$2\langle v, Jx_n - Ju_{N,n} \rangle \leq \|x_n\|^2 - \|u_{N,n}\|^2 + \xi_n,$$

we know that $H_n (n \geq 0)$ are closed convex. Finally, W_n is closed convex by its definition. Thus $\Pi_{\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G}} x_0$ and $\Pi_{H_n \cap W_n} x_0$ are well defined.

Step 2. Show that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{S_i^n x_n\}_{i,n=1}^\infty$ are bounded.

From $x_n = \Pi_{H_n \cap W_n} x_0, \forall n \geq 0$ and Lemma 2.1(1), we have

$$\phi(x_n, x_0) \leq \phi(u, x_0) - \phi(u, x_n) \leq \phi(u, x_0), \quad \forall u \in C, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \tag{3.1}$$

which implies that $\{\phi(x_n, x_0)\}$ is bounded, and so is $\{x_n\}$ by (2.2). It follows from (C₂) that for all $u \in \mathbb{F}, i \geq 1, n \geq 1$,

$$\phi(u, S_i^n x_n) \leq l_n \phi(u, x_n) \leq l_n (\|u\| + \|x_n\|)^2 \leq \sup_{u \in \mathbb{F}} l_n (\|u\| + \|x_n\|)^2.$$

Hence for all $i \geq 1, \{\phi(u, S_i^n x_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded, and so is $\{S_i^n x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ by (2.2). Obviously,

$$\xi_n = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{F}} (l_n - 1)\phi(u, x_n) \leq \sup_{u \in \mathbb{F}} (l_n - 1)(\|u\| + \|x_n\|)^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty). \tag{3.2}$$

Step 3. Show that $\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G} \subset H_n \cap W_n, \forall n \geq 0$.

Since Banach space E is uniformly smooth, E^* is uniformly convex, by Remark 2.1(v). For any given $p \in \mathbb{F}$, any $n \geq 1$ and any positive integer j , by (C₂) and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(p, u_{0,n}) &= \phi(p, J^{-1}(\alpha_{n,0}Jx_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i}JS_i^n x_n)) \\
 &= \|p\|^2 - 2\langle p, \alpha_{n,0}Jx_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i}JS_i^n x_n \rangle + \left\| \alpha_{n,0}Jx_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i}JS_i^n x_n \right\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|p\|^2 - 2\alpha_{n,0}\langle p, Jx_n \rangle - 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i}\langle p, JS_i^n x_n \rangle + \alpha_{n,0}\|x_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i}\|S_i^n x_n\|^2 - \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,j}g(\|Jx_n - JS_j^n x_n\|) \quad (\text{By Lemma 2.5}) \\
 &= \alpha_{n,0}\phi(p, x_n) + (1 - \alpha_{n,0})\|p\|^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i}\langle p, JS_i^n x_n \rangle \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i}\|S_i^n x_n\|^2 - \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,j}g(\|Jx_n - JS_j^n x_n\|) \\
 &= \alpha_{n,0}\phi(p, x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i}\phi(p, S_i^n x_n) - \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,j}g(\|Jx_n - JS_j^n x_n\|) \\
 &\leq \alpha_{n,0}\phi(p, x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i}l_n\phi(p, x_n) - \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,j}g(\|Jx_n - JS_j^n x_n\|) \\
 &\leq l_n\phi(p, x_n) - \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,j}g(\|Jx_n - JS_j^n x_n\|) \\
 &\leq \phi(p, x_n) + \sup_{p \in \mathbb{F}}(l_n - 1)\phi(p, x_n) - \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,j}g(\|Jx_n - JS_j^n x_n\|) \\
 &= \phi(p, x_n) + \xi_n - \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,j}g(\|Jx_n - JS_j^n x_n\|).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Put $u_{k,n} = K_{r_{k,n}}u_{k-1,n}$, $k \in \mathbb{J}$, $\forall n \geq 0$. It follows from (3.3) and Lemma 2.3(2)(ii) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(p, u_{k,n}) &= \phi(p, K_{r_{k,n}}u_{k-1,n}) \leq \phi(p, u_{k-1,n}) \leq \phi(p, x_n) + \xi_n, \\
 &\quad \forall p \in \mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{J}, \quad \forall n \geq 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

which implies that if $p \in \mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G}$, then $p \in H_n$, $\forall n \geq 0$. Hence, $\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G} \subset H_n$, $\forall n \geq 0$. By induction, now we prove that $\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G} \subset W_n$, $\forall n \geq 0$. In fact, it follows from $W_0 = C$ that $\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G} \subset W_0$. Suppose that $\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G} \subset W_m$ for some $m \geq 0$. By the definition of $x_m = \Pi_{H_m \cap W_m}x_0$ and Lemma 2.1(2), we have

$$\langle x_m - z, Jx_0 - Jx_m \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in H_m \cap W_m,$$

and so

$$\langle x_m - z, Jx_0 - Jx_m \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G},$$

which shows $z \in W_{m+1}$, so $\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G} \subset W_{m+1}$.

Step 4. Show that there exists $\bar{u} \in C$ such that $x_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$.

Without loss of generalization, we can assume that $x_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$, since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and E is reflexive. Moreover, it follows that $\bar{u} \in H_n \cap W_n$, $\forall n \geq 0$ from $H_{n+1} \cap W_{n+1} \subset H_n \cap W_n$ and the closeness and convexity of $H_n \cap W_n$. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_0) &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n\|^2 - 2\langle x_n, Jx_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2) \\
 &\geq \|\bar{u}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{u}, Jx_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2 = \phi(\bar{u}, x_0),
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\phi(\bar{u}, x_0) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_0) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_0) \leq \phi(\bar{u}, x_0).$$

by (3.1). It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_0) = \phi(\bar{u}, x_0), \tag{3.5}$$

and so $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|\bar{u}\|$ by $x_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$. Hence,

$$x_n \rightarrow \bar{u} \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{3.6}$$

by the Kadec-Klee property of E , and so

$$Jx_n \rightarrow J\bar{u} \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{3.7}$$

by Remark 2.1(i).

Step 5. Show that $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{F}$.

Since $x_{n+1} \in C$, setting $u = x_{n+1}$ in (3.1), we have

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_0) - \phi(x_n, x_0).$$

By (3.5),

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty). \tag{3.8}$$

By $x_{n+1} \in H_{n+1}$, (3.2) and (3.8), we have

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, u_{N,n}) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \xi_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty),$$

which together with (3.6) and Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{N,n} = \bar{u}. \tag{3.9}$$

For any $j \geq 1$ and any given $p \in \mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G}$, it follows from (3.2)-(3.4) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,j} g(\|Jx_n - JS_j^n x_n\|) &\leq \phi(p, x_n) + \xi_n - \phi(p, u_{0,n}) \\ &\leq \phi(p, x_n) + \xi_n - \phi(p, u_{N,n}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

which implies that

$$g(\|Jx_n - JS_j^n x_n\|) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty),$$

since $\liminf_{n \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,i} > 0, \forall i \geq 1$. We obtain

$$\|Jx_n - JS_j^n x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty), \tag{3.11}$$

since $g(0) = 0$ and g is strictly increasing and continuous. By (3.7) and (3.11), we have $JS_j^n x_n \rightarrow J\bar{u}$ and $\|S_j^n x_n\| \rightarrow \|\bar{u}\|$ for all $j \geq 1$. It follows from Remark 2.1(ii) that $S_j^n x_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$, which implies

$$S_j^n x_n \rightarrow \bar{u} \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty), \quad \forall j \geq 1, \tag{3.12}$$

by the uniform boundedness of $\{S_j^n x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and the Kadec-Klee property of E . Thus

$$\|S_j^{n+1} x_{n+1} - S_j^n x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty), \quad \forall j \geq 1.$$

By (C₃) and (3.6), we have

$$\|S_j^{n+1}x_n - S_j^{n+1}x_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty), \quad \forall j \geq 1.$$

Hence, for each $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_j(S_j^n x_n) - S_j^n x_n\| &= \|S_j^{n+1}x_n - S_j^n x_n\| \\ &\leq \|S_j^{n+1}x_n - S_j^{n+1}x_{n+1}\| + \|S_j^{n+1}x_{n+1} - S_j^n x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.12) and the closeness of S_j , we have $S_j \bar{u} = \bar{u}$ for all $j \geq 1$ and so $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{F}$.

Step 6. Show that $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{G}$.

In fact, it is easy to see that for each $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{J}$, and $p \in \mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G}$, the sequence $\{\phi(p, u_{k,n})\}$ is bounded by (3.2), (3.4) and the boundedness of $\{x_n\}$ and \mathbb{F} , which implies that $\{u_{k,n}\}$ is bounded in C by (2.2). Since $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{F}$, by (3.2), (3.3), (3.5) and (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\bar{u}, u_{0,n}) &\leq \phi(\bar{u}, x_n) + \xi_n - \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,j} g(\|Jx_n - JS_j^n x_n\|) \\ &\leq \phi(\bar{u}, x_n) + \xi_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$u_{0,n} \rightarrow \bar{u} \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty). \tag{3.13}$$

Furthermore, it follows from (3.4) and Lemma 2.3(2)(ii) that for any given $p \in \mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G}$,

$$\phi(p, u_{N,n}) + \phi(u_{1,n}, u_{0,n}) \leq \phi(p, u_{1,n}) + \phi(u_{1,n}, u_{0,n}) \leq \phi(p, u_{0,n}),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u_{1,n}, u_{0,n}) &\leq \phi(p, u_{0,n}) - \phi(p, u_{N,n}) \\ &= \|u_{0,n}\|^2 - \|u_{N,n}\|^2 - 2\langle p, Ju_{0,n} - Ju_{N,n} \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

by Remark 2.1(i), (3.9) and (3.13). Then $u_{1,n} \rightarrow \bar{u}$ by (3.13) and Lemma 2.2. Similarly, we also obtain $u_{k,n} \rightarrow \bar{u}$ ($k = 2, 3, \dots, N - 1$). Hence, together with (3.9) and (3.13), for each $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{J}$,

$$u_{k,n} \rightarrow \bar{u} \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty). \tag{3.14}$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{J}$, since $u_{k,n} = K_{r_{k,n}} u_{k-1,n}$, we have

$$f_k(u_{k,n}, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - u_{k,n}, A_k u_{k,n} \rangle + \frac{1}{r_{k,n}} \langle \gamma - u_{k,n}, Ju_{k,n} - Ju_{k-1,n} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in C,$$

which together with (3.14) and Lemma 2.3(3) implies that $f_k(\bar{u}, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - \bar{u}, A_k \bar{u} \rangle \geq 0$, $\forall \gamma \in C$. Therefore $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{G}$ and so $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G}$.

Step 7. Show that $\bar{u} = \Pi_{\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G}} x_0$.

In fact, letting $w = \Pi_{\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G}} x_0$, by $w \in \mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G} \subset H_n \cap W_n$ and $x_n = \Pi_{H_n \cap W_n} x_0$, we have

$$\phi(x_n, x_0) \leq \phi(w, x_0), \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

It follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\bar{u}, x_0) &= \|\bar{u}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{u}, Jx_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\|x_n\|^2 - 2\langle x_n, Jx_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2\} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_0) \leq \phi(w, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\bar{u} = w$, and so $x_n \rightarrow \bar{u} = \Pi_{\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G}} x_0$. \square

Setting $N = 1$, $u_{0,n} = y_n$ and $u_{N,n} = u_n$ in Theorem 3.1, we can obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.1 *Suppose that*

(D₁) *the mapping $A : C \rightarrow E^*$ is a mapping with δ -inverse-strongly monotone, the bifunction $f : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (B₁)-(B₃) and for some $\beta > 0$ with $\beta \leq \delta$,*

$$f(z, \gamma) + f(\gamma, z) \leq \beta \|Az - A\gamma\|^2, \quad \forall z, \gamma \in C;$$

(D₂) *both (C₂) and (C₃) hold, and $\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G} \neq \emptyset$. Take the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ generated by*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C, H_0 = W_0 = C, \\ \gamma_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_{n,0} Jx_n + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_{n,i} JS_i^n x_n), \\ u_n \in C \text{ such that} \\ \quad f(u_n, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - u_n, Au_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle \gamma - u_n, Ju_n - J\gamma_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in C, \\ H_{n+1} = \{v \in H_n : \phi(v, u_n) \leq \phi(v, x_n) + \xi_n\}, \\ W_{n+1} = \{z \in W_n : \langle x_n - z, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{H_{n+1} \cap W_{n+1}} x_0, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\alpha_{n,i}\}_{n=0, i=0}^\infty \subset [0, 1]$, $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in [a, \infty)$ for some $a > 0$ and $\xi_n = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{F}} (l_n - 1)\phi(u, x_n)$. If $\sum_{i=0}^\infty \alpha_{n,i} = 1$, $\forall_n \geq 0$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,i} > 0$, $\forall i \geq 1$, then $x_n \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{G}} x_0$. \square

Furthermore, if $S_i = S$, $i \geq 1$ in Corollary 3.1, the following corollary can be obtained immediately.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that, besides (D1),*

(E₁) *$S : C \rightarrow C$ is closed and quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive with $\{l_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$, $l_n \rightarrow 1$;*

(E₂) *S is either locally uniformly Lipschitz continuous or uniformly Hölder continuous with order Θ ($\Theta > 0$), $F(S)$ is bounded in C and $F(S) \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Take the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ generated by*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C, H_0 = W_0 = C, \\ \gamma_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n) JS^n x_n), \\ u_n \in C \text{ such that} \\ \quad f(u_n, \gamma) + \langle \gamma - u_n, Au_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle \gamma - u_n, Ju_n - J\gamma_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in C, \\ H_{n+1} = \{v \in H_n : \phi(v, u_n) \leq \phi(v, x_n) + \xi_n\}, \\ W_{n+1} = \{z \in W_n : \langle x_n - z, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{H_{n+1} \cap W_{n+1}} x_0, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset (0, 1)$, $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in [a, \infty)$ for some $a > 0$ and $\xi = \sup_{u \in F(S)} (l_n - 1)\phi(u, x_n)$. If $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) > 0$, then $x_n \rightarrow \Pi_{F(S) \cap G} x_0$. \square

Author details

¹College of Applied Science, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, PR China ²College of Mathematics, Jilin Normal University, Siping, Jilin 136000, PR China

Authors' contributions

All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 7 January 2011 Accepted: 21 July 2011 Published: 21 July 2011

References

1. Zhang F, Su YF: A general iterative method of fixed points for equilibrium problems and optimization problems. *J Syst Sci Complex* 2009, **22**:503-517.
2. Ceng LC, Al-Homidan S, Ansari QH, Yao JC: An iterative scheme for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of strict pseudo-contraction mappings. *J Comput Appl Math* 2009, **223**:967-974.
3. Zhang SS, Rao RF, Huang JL: Strong convergence theorem for a generalized equilibrium problem and a k -strict pseudocontraction in Hilbert spaces. *Appl Math Mech*, English 2009, **30**(6):685-694.
4. Peng JW, Yao JC: Strong convergence theorems of iterative scheme based on the extragradient method for mixed equilibrium problems and fixed point problems. *Math Comput Model* 2009, **49**:1816-1828.
5. Peng JW, Yao JC: A viscosity approximation scheme for system of equilibrium problems, nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings. *Nonlinear Anal Theory Methods Appl* 2009, **71**:6001-6010.
6. Cianciaruso F, Marino G, Muglia L: Iterative methods for equilibrium and fixed point problems for nonexpansive semigroups in Hilbert spaces. *J Optim Theory Appl* 2010, **146**:491-509.
7. Qin XL, Chang SS, Cho YJ: Iterative methods for generalized equilibrium problems and fixed point problems with applications. *Nonlinear Anal Real World Appl* 2010, **11**:2963-2972.
8. Takahashi W, Zembayashi K: Strong convergence theorem by a new hybrid method for equilibrium problems and relatively nonexpansive mappings. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2008, **2008**:11, (Article ID 528476).
9. Takahashi W, Zembayashi K: Strong and weak convergence theorems for equilibrium problems and relatively nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal Theory Methods Appl* 2009, **70**(1):45-57.
10. Chang SS, Lee HWJ, Chan CK: A new hybrid method for solving a generalized equilibrium problem, solving a variational inequality problem and obtaining common fixed points in Banach spaces, with applications. *Nonlinear Anal Theory Methods Appl* 2010, **73**:2260-2270.
11. Chang SS, Kim JK, Wang XR: Modified block iterative algorithm for solving convex feasibility problems in Banach spaces. *J Inequal Appl* 2010, **2010**:14, (Article ID 869684).
12. Cioranescu I: *Geometry of Banach spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems*. In *Mathematics and Its Applications. Volume 62*. Edited by: Hazewinkel M. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht; 1990.
13. Alber YI: *Metric and generalized projection operators in Banach spaces: properties and applications*. In *Theory and Applications of Nonlinear operators of Accretive and Monotone Type, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Volume 178*. Edited by: Kartosator AG. Marcel Dekker, New York; 1996:15-50.
14. Kamimura S, Takahashi W: Strong convergence of a proximal-type algorithm in a Banach space. *SIAM J Optim* 2002, **13**(3):938-945.
15. Kohsaka F, Takahashi W: Existence and approximation of fixed points of firmly nonexpansive-type mappings in Banach spaces. *SIAM J Optim* 2008, **19**(2):824-835.
16. Zhou HY, Gao GL, Tan B: Convergence theorems of a modified hybrid algorithm for a family of quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. *J Appl Math Comput* 2010, **32**:453-464.
17. Matsushita S, Takahashi W: Weak and strong convergence theorems for relatively nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2004, **2004**(1):37-47.
18. Blum E, Oettli W: From optimization and variational inequalities and equilibrium problems. *Math Student* 1994, **63**:123-145.

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-17

Cite this article as: Qu and Cheng: A strong convergence theorem on solving common solutions for generalized equilibrium problems and fixed-point problems in Banach space. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2011 **2011**:17.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com