

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Fixed point results under $c$ -distance in $tv$ s-cone metric spaces

Momčilo Đorđević<sup>1</sup>, Dragan Đorić<sup>2</sup>, Zoran Kadelburg<sup>3</sup>, Stojan Radenović<sup>4\*</sup> and Dragoljub Spasić<sup>1</sup>

\* Correspondence: radens@beotel.net

<sup>4</sup>Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Kraljice Marije 16, 11120 Beograd, Serbia  
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

Fixed point and common fixed point results for mappings in  $tv$ s-cone metric spaces (with the underlying cone which is not normal) under contractive conditions expressed in the terms of  $c$ -distance are obtained. Respective results concerning mappings without periodic points are also deduced. Examples are given to distinguish these results from the known ones.

## Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)

47H10, 54H25

**Keywords:**  $Tvs$ -cone metric space,  $c$ -Distance, Common fixed point

## 1 Introduction

Cone metric spaces were considered by Huang and Zhang in [1], who reintroduced the concept which has been known since the middle of 20th century (see, e.g., [2-4]). Topological vector space-valued version of these spaces was treated in [5-13]; see also [14] for a survey of fixed point results in these spaces.

Fixed point theorems in metric spaces with the so-called  $w$ -distance were obtained for the first time by Kada et al. in [15] where nonconvex minimization problems were treated. Further results were given, e.g., in [16-18]. Cone metric version of this notion (usually called a  $c$ -distance) was used, e.g., in [19,20].

In this paper, we consider fixed point and common fixed point results for mappings in  $tv$ s-cone metric spaces (with the underlying cone which is not normal) under contractive conditions expressed in the terms of  $c$ -distance. Respective results concerning mappings without periodic points are also deduced. Examples are given to distinguish these results from the known ones.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $E$  be a real Hausdorff topological vector space ( $tv$ s for short) with the zero vector  $\theta$ . A proper nonempty and closed subset  $P$  of  $E$  is called a *cone* if  $P + P \subset P$ ,  $\lambda P \subset P$  for  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $P \cap (-P) = \{\theta\}$ . We shall always assume that the cone  $P$  has a nonempty interior  $\text{int } P$  (such cones are called *solid*).

Each cone  $P$  induces a partial order  $\preceq$  on  $E$  by  $x \preceq y \Leftrightarrow y - x \in P$ .  $x \pi y$  will stand for ( $x \preceq y$  and  $x \neq y$ ), while  $x \ll y$  will stand for  $y - x \in \text{int } P$ . The pair  $(E, P)$  is an *ordered topological vector space*.

For a pair of elements  $x, y$  in  $E$  such that  $x \preceq y$ , put  $[x, y] = \{z \in E : x \preceq z \preceq y\}$ . A subset  $A$  of  $E$  is said to be *order-convex* if  $[x, y] \subset A$ , whenever  $x, y \in A$  and  $x \preceq y$ .

Ordered topological vector space  $(E, P)$  is *order-convex* if it has a base of neighborhoods of  $\theta$  consisting of order-convex subsets. In this case, the cone  $P$  is said to be *normal*. If  $E$  is a normed space, this condition means that the unit ball is order-convex, which is equivalent to the condition that there is a number  $k$  such that  $x, y \in E$  and  $0 \preceq x \preceq y$  implies that  $\|x\| \leq k\|y\|$ . A proof of the following assertion can be found, e.g., in [2].

**Theorem 1** *If the underlying cone of an ordered tvs is solid and normal, then such tvs must be an ordered normed space.*

Note that completions of cone metric spaces in the case of nonnormal underlying cone were treated in [21].

From [1,5-7], we give the following

**Definition 1** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $(E, P)$  an ordered tvs. A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  is called a *tvs-cone metric* and  $(X, d)$  is called a *tvs-cone metric space* if the following conditions hold:

- (c1)  $\theta \preceq d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) = \theta$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (c2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (c3)  $d(x, z) \preceq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Taking into account Theorem 1, proper generalizations when passing from norm-valued cone metric spaces of [1] to tvs-cone metric spaces can be obtained only in the case of nonnormal cones. We shall make use of the following properties:

- (p<sub>1</sub>) If  $u, v, w \in E$ ,  $u \preceq v$  and  $v \ll w$  then  $u \ll w$ .
- (p<sub>2</sub>) If  $u \in E$  and  $\theta \preceq u \ll c$  for each  $c \in \text{int } P$  then  $u = \theta$ .
- (p<sub>3</sub>) If  $u_n, v_n, u, v \in E$ ,  $\theta \preceq u_n \preceq v_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $u_n \rightarrow u, v_n \rightarrow v$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), then  $\theta \preceq u \preceq v$ .
- (p<sub>4</sub>) If  $x_n, x \in X$ ,  $u_n \in E$ ,  $d(x_n, x) \preceq u_n$  and  $u_n \rightarrow \theta$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), then  $x_n \rightarrow x$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).
- (p<sub>5</sub>) If  $u \preceq \lambda u$ , where  $u \in P$  and  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , then  $u = \theta$ .
- (p<sub>6</sub>) If  $c \gg \theta$  and  $u_n \in E$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow \theta$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), then there exists  $n_0$  such that  $u_n \ll c$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

In the sequel,  $E$  will always denote a topological vector space, with the zero vector  $\theta$  and with order relation  $\preceq$ , generated by a solid cone  $P$ . For notions such as convergent and Cauchy sequences, completeness, continuity etc. in (tvs)-cone metric spaces, we refer to [1,7,14] and references therein.

Kada et al. [15] introduced the notion of  $w$ -distance in metric spaces and proved some fixed point results using this notion (see also [16-18]). Cho et al. [19] transferred it to the setting of cone metric spaces (see also [20]).

**Definition 2** [19] Let  $(X, d)$  be a tvs-cone metric space. A function  $q : X \times X \rightarrow E$  is called a *c-distance* in  $X$  if:

- (q1)  $\theta \preceq q(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (q2)  $q(x, z) \preceq q(x, y) + q(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ;
- (q3) If a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to a point  $y \in X$ , and for some  $x \in X$  and  $u = u_x \in P$ ,  $q(x, y_n) \preceq u$  holds for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $q(x, y) \preceq u$ ;
- (q4) For each  $c \in E$  with  $\theta \ll c$ , there exists  $e \in E$  with  $\theta \preceq e$ , such that  $q(z, x) \ll e$  and  $q(z, y) \ll e$  implies  $d(x, y) \ll c$ .

Each  $w$ -distance  $q$  in a metric space  $(X, d)$  (in the sense of [15]) is a  $c$ -distance in the tvs-cone metric space  $(X, d)$  (with  $E = \mathbb{R}$  and  $P = [0, +\infty)$ ). Indeed, only property (q3) has to be checked. Let  $y_n \in X$ ,  $y_n \rightarrow y$  in the cone metric  $d$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), and let  $q(x, y_n) \leq u_x \in [0, +\infty)$ . Since  $q$  is (as a  $w$ -distance) lower semi-continuous, we have that  $q(x, y) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(x, y_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_x = u_x$ , i.e.,  $q(x, y) \leq u_x$  holds true.

The first two of the following examples are variations of [[19], Examples 2.7, 2.8], adjusted to the case of a tvs-cone metric.

*Example 1* Let  $(X, d)$  be a tvs-cone metric space such that the metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a continuous function in second variable. Then,  $q(x, y) = d(x, y)$  is a  $c$ -distance. Indeed, only property (q3) is nontrivial and it follows from  $q(x, y_n) = d(x, y_n) \leq u$ , passing to the limit when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using continuity of  $d$ .

*Example 2* Let  $(X, d)$  be a tvs-cone metric space, and let  $u \in X$  be fixed. Then,  $q(x, y) = d(u, y)$  defines a  $c$ -distance on  $X$ . Indeed, (q1) and (q3) are clear. (q2) follows from  $q(x, z) = d(u, z) \leq d(u, y) + d(u, z) = q(x, y) + q(y, z)$ . Finally, (q4) is obtained by taking  $e = c/2$ .

*Example 3* Consider the Banach space  $E = C[0, 1]$  of real-valued continuous functions with the max-norm and ordered by the cone  $P = \{f \in E : f(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in [0, 1]\}$ . This cone is normal in the Banach-space topology on  $E$ . Let  $\tau^*$  be the strongest locally convex topology on the vector space  $E$ . Then, the cone  $P$  is solid, but it is not normal in the topology  $\tau^*$ . Indeed, if this were the case, Theorem 1 would imply that the topology  $\tau^*$  is normed, which is impossible since an infinite dimensional space with the strongest locally convex topology cannot be metrizable (see, e.g., [14]).

Let now  $X = [0, +\infty)$  and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow (E, \tau^*)$  be defined by  $d(x, y)(t) = |x - y|\phi(t)$  for a fixed element  $\phi \in P$ . Then,  $(X, d)$  is a tvs-cone metric space which is not a cone metric space in the sense of [1]. We can introduce two  $c$ -distances on this space:

$$q_1(x, y)(t) = x \cdot \phi(t), \quad \text{and} \quad q_2(x, y)(t) = y \cdot \phi(t).$$

They are the examples of  $c$ -distances in tvs-cone metric spaces which are not  $c$ -distances in cone metric spaces of [19,20].

These examples show, among other things, that for a  $c$ -distance  $q$ :

1.  $q(x, y) = q(y, x)$  does not necessarily hold for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
2.  $q(x, y) = \theta$  is not necessarily equivalent to  $x = y$ .

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Fixed point and common fixed point results under $c$ -distance

We will call a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $P$  a  $c$ -sequence if for each  $c \gg \theta$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $u_n \ll c$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . It is easy to show that if  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are  $c$ -sequences in  $E$  and  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , then  $\{\alpha u_n + \beta v_n\}$  is a  $c$ -sequence.

Note that in the case that the cone  $P$  is normal, a sequence in  $E$  is a  $c$ -sequence iff it is a  $\theta$ -sequence (see property (p<sub>6</sub>)). However, when the cone is not normal, a  $c$ -sequence need not be a  $\theta$ -sequence (see [7,14]). Also, from [7], we know that the cone metric  $d$  need not be a continuous function.

The following lemma is a tvs-cone metric version of lemmas from [15,19].

**Lemma 1** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a tvs-cone metric space and let  $q$  be a  $c$ -distance on  $X$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be sequences in  $X$  and  $x, y, z \in X$ . Suppose that  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are  $c$ -sequences in  $P$ . Then the following hold:*

(1) If  $q(x_m, y) \preceq u_n$  and  $q(x_m, z) \preceq v_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $y = z$ . In particular, if  $q(x, y) = \theta$  and  $q(x, z) = \theta$ , then  $y = z$ .

(2) If  $q(x_m, y_n) \preceq u_n$  and  $q(x_m, z) \preceq v_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{y_n\}$  converges to  $z$ .

(3) If  $q(x_m, x_m) \preceq u_n$  for  $m > n > n_0$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

(4) If  $q(y, x_n) \preceq u_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

*Proof* We will prove assertions (1) and (2). Proofs of the other two are similar.

(1) In order to prove that  $y = z$ , according to  $(p_2)$ , it is enough to show that  $d(y, z) \ll c$  for each  $c \gg \theta$ . For the given  $c$  choose  $e \gg \theta$  such that property  $(q_4)$  is satisfied. Choose then  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $u_n \ll e$  and  $v_n \ll e$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . Then, by property  $(p_1)$ , we get that  $q(x_m, y) \ll e$  and  $q(x_m, z) \ll e$  and  $(q_4)$  imply that  $d(y, z) \ll c$ .

(2) Let again  $c \ll \theta$  be arbitrary and choose a corresponding  $e \gg \theta$  satisfying property  $(q_4)$ . If  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  is such that  $u_n \ll e$  and  $v_n \ll e$  for  $n \geq n_0$ , then  $(p_1)$  implies that  $q(x_m, y_n) \ll e$  and  $q(x_m, z) \ll e$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . Then, by  $(q_4)$ ,  $d(y_n, z) \ll c$  and  $y_n \rightarrow z$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). ■

Our first result is the following theorem of Hardy-Rogers type.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete tvs-cone metric space and let  $q$  be a  $c$ -distance on  $X$ . Suppose that a continuous self-map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  satisfies the following two conditions:*

$$q(fx, fy) \preceq Aq(x, y) + Bq(x, fx) + Cq(y, fy) + Dq(x, fy) + Eq(y, fx), \tag{3.1}$$

$$q(fy, fx) \preceq Aq(y, x) + Bq(fx, x) + Cq(fy, y) + Dq(fy, x) + Eq(fx, y) \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $A, B, C, D, E$  are nonnegative constants such that  $A + B + C + 2D + 2E < 1$ . Then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $X$ . If  $fu = u$ , then  $q(u, u) = \theta$ .

*Proof* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and form the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $x_n = f^n x_0$ . In order to prove that it is a Cauchy sequence, put  $x = x_n$  and  $y = x_{n-1}$  in (3.1) to get

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_{n+1}, x_n) &\preceq Aq(x_n, x_{n-1}) + Bq(x_n, x_{n+1}) + Cq(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + Dq(x_n, x_n) + Eq(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \\ &\preceq Aq(x_n, x_{n-1}) + (B + D + E)q(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + (C + E)q(x_{n-1}, x_n) + Dq(x_{n+1}, x_n). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Similarly, putting  $y = x_{n-1}$  and  $x = x_n$  in (3.2), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\preceq Aq(x_{n-1}, x_n) + Bq(x_{n+1}, x_n) + Cq(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + Dq(x_n, x_n) + Eq(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}) \\ &\preceq Aq(x_{n-1}, x_n) + (B + D + E)q(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + (C + E)q(x_n, x_{n-1}) + Dq(x_n, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Denote  $u_n = q(x_{n+1}, x_n) + q(x_n, x_{n+1})$ . Adding up (3.3) and (3.4), we get that

$$u_n \preceq (A + C + E)u_{n-1} + (B + 2D + E)u_n,$$

i.e.  $u_n \preceq hu_{n-1}$  with

$$0 \leq h = \frac{A + C + E}{1 - B - 2D - E} < 1,$$

since  $A + B + C + 2D + 2E < 1$  and, e.g.,  $A + C + E > 0$ .

By induction,  $u_n \preceq h^n u_0$  and  $q(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq u_n \preceq h^n(q(x_1, x_0) + q(x_0, x_1))$ . In the usual way, it follows that

$$q(x_n, x_m) \preceq \frac{h^n}{1-h}(q(x_1, x_0) + q(x_0, x_1)) = v_n$$

for  $m > n$ , where  $\{v_n\}$  is a  $c$ -sequence. Lemma 1.(3) implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  and, since  $X$  is complete,  $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Continuity of  $f$  implies that  $x_{n+1} = fx_n \rightarrow fx^*$ , and since the limit of a sequence in tvs-cone metric space is unique, we get that  $fx^* = x^*$ .

Suppose that  $fu = u$ . Then, (3.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} q(u, u) &= q(fu, fu) \preceq Aq(u, u) + Bq(u, u) + Cq(u, u) + Dq(u, u) + Eq(u, u) \\ &= (A + B + C + D + E)q(u, u), \end{aligned}$$

which is, by property (p<sub>5</sub>) and  $A + B + C + D + E < A + B + C + 2D + 2E < 1$ , possible only if  $q(u, u) = \theta$ . ■

Some special cases of the previous theorem, for example Banach-type and Kannan-type fixed point results, need only one condition:

$$q(fx, fy) \preceq \lambda q(x, y), \quad \lambda \in [0, 1),$$

and

$$q(fx, fy) \preceq \lambda(q(x, fx) + q(y, fy)), \quad \lambda \in [0, 1/2),$$

respectively.

*Remark 1* If the underlying cone  $P$  of the given tvs-cone metric space  $(X, d)$  is normal (and, hence, this space is a cone metric space in the sense of [1], see Theorem 2.1), then continuity of  $f$  in Theorem 2 can be replaced by the condition

$$\inf\{\|q(x, y)\| + \|q(x, fx)\| : x \in X\} > 0 \text{ for all } y \in X \text{ with } y \neq fy.$$

It may be of interest to note that in this case, property (q3) of  $c$ -distance has to be used in the course of the proof (see, e.g., the respective procedure in ordered cone metric spaces in [19]), while in our case (when  $f$  is continuous), this property is not needed.

The next is a result including two mappings and the existence of their common fixed point.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete tvs-cone metric space and let  $q$  be a  $c$ -distance on  $X$ . Suppose that continuous self-maps  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  satisfy the following two conditions:*

$$q(fx, gy) \preceq Aq(x, y) + B[q(x, fx) + q(y, gy)] + D[q(x, gy) + q(y, fx)], \quad (3.5)$$

$$q(gy, fx) \preceq Aq(y, x) + B[q(fx, x) + q(gy, y)] + D[q(gy, x) + q(fx, y)] \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $A, B, D$  are nonnegative constants, such that  $A + 2B + 4D < 1$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a common fixed point in  $X$ . If  $fu = gu = u$ , then  $q(u, u) = \theta$ .

*Proof* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and form the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{2n+1} = fx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+2} = gx_{2n+1}$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Denote  $u_n = q(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + q(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})$  and  $v_n = q(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + q(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1})$ .

Putting  $x = x_{2n+2}$ ,  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in (3.5) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+2}) &\leq Aq(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) + B[q(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) + q(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + D[q(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}) + q(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+3})] \\ &\leq Aq(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) + (B + 2D)q(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) \\ &\quad + (B + D)q(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + Dq(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+2}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Similarly, putting the same values for  $x, y$  in (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) &\leq Aq(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + B[q(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+2}) + q(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + D[q(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}) + q(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq Aq(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + (B + 2D)q(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+2}) \\ &\quad + (B + D)q(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) + Dq(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

It follows by adding up (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$u_{n+1} \leq (A + B + D)v_n + (B + 3D)u_{n+1},$$

i.e.,

$$u_{n+1} \leq hu_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $0 < h = \frac{A + B + D}{1 - B - 3D} < 1$ , since  $A + B + D > 0$  and  $A + 2B + 4D < 1$ .

By a similar procedure, starting with  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$ , one can get

$$v_n \leq hu_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Combining the last two inequalities, it follows that

$$u_{n+1} \leq h^2u_n \quad \text{and} \quad v_n \leq h^2v_{n-1},$$

and we get that  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are  $c$ -sequences. We have that  $q(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq u_n$ ,  $q(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq v_n$  and it follows that  $q(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq u_n + v_n$ , where  $u_n + v_n$  is a  $c$ -sequence. Using Lemma 1.(3), we obtain that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Hence,  $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Since  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous, it easily follows from the definition of  $\{x_n\}$  that  $fx^* = gx^* = x^*$ .

Thus, mappings  $f$  and  $g$  have a common fixed point. Suppose that  $u \in X$  is any point satisfying  $fu = gu = u$ . Then, (3.5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} q(u, u) &= q(fu, gu) \leq Aq(u, u) + B[q(u, u) + q(u, u)] + D[q(u, u) + q(u, u)] \\ &= (A + 2B + 2D)q(u, u) \end{aligned}$$

and, since  $0 < A + 2B + 2D < A + 2B + 4D < 1$ , property (p<sub>5</sub>) implies that  $q(u, u) = \theta$ . ■

As corollaries, we obtain, for example, common fixed point result for self-maps  $f$  and  $g$  satisfying

$$q(fx, gy) \leq Aq(x, y), \quad q(gy, fx) \leq Aq(y, x), \quad 0 < A < 1, \tag{3.9}$$

or for a self-map  $f$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} q(f^n x, f^m y) &\leq Aq(x, y) + B[q(x, f^n x) + q(y, f^m y)] + D[q(x, f^m y) + q(y, f^n x)], \\ q(f^m y, f^n x) &\leq Aq(y, x) + B[q(f^n x, x) + q(f^m y, y)] + D[q(f^m y, x) + q(f^n x, y)], \end{aligned}$$

where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A + 2B + 4D < 1$ .

*Remark 2* Similarly as in Remark 1, we note that if the cone  $P$  is normal, then continuity of mappings  $f$  and  $g$  in Theorem 3 can be replaced by conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{\|q(x, \gamma)\| + \|q(x, fx)\| : x \in X\} &> 0 \text{ for all } \gamma \in X \text{ with } \gamma \neq f\gamma, \\ \inf\{\|q(x, \gamma)\| + \|q(x, gx)\| : x \in X\} &> 0 \text{ for all } \gamma \in X \text{ with } \gamma \neq g\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

*Example 4* Let  $E = \mathbb{R}$  and  $P = [0, +\infty)$ . Let  $X = [0, +\infty)$ ,  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  and define  $q(x, y) = x$ . It is easy to check that  $q$  is a  $c$ -distance on a cone metric space  $(X, d)$ .

Take functions  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $fx = \frac{x}{4}$  and  $gx = \frac{x}{2}$ . If  $x = 5$ ,  $y = \frac{15}{2}$ , then  $d(fx, gy) = d(\frac{5}{4}, \frac{15}{4}) = \frac{5}{2}$  and  $d(x, y) = d(5, \frac{15}{2}) = \frac{5}{2}$ . Hence, there is no  $A \in (0, 1)$  (and hence no triple  $(A, B, D)$ ) such that  $d(fx, gy) \leq Ad(x, y)$  for each  $x, y \in [0, +\infty)$ , i.e., the existence of a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$  cannot be deduced from the well-known metric version of Theorem 3.

However, conditions of the  $c$ -distance version (Theorem 3) are satisfied. Indeed, take arbitrary  $A, \frac{1}{2} \leq A < 1$  and  $B = D = 0$ . Then, for each  $x, y \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $q(fx, gy) = fx = \frac{x}{4} \leq Ax = Aq(x, y)$  and  $q(gy, fx) = gy = \frac{y}{2} \leq Ay = Aq(y, x)$  (see (3.9)). Note that  $f$  and  $g$  have a (trivial) common fixed point  $u = 0$  and that  $q(u, u) = q(0, 0) = 0$ .

This example can be easily modified to the tvs-cone metric case. It is enough to define tvs-cone metric on  $X$  by  $d(x, y)(t) = |x - y|\phi(t)$  with fixed  $\phi \in P = \{f \in C[0, 1] : f(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in [0, 1]\}$  and take  $c$ -distance  $q_1(x, y)(t) = x \cdot \phi(t)$  (see Example 3).

### 3.2 Mappings without periodic points

The first part of the following result was given with an incorrect proof in [20] (using  $\liminf$  which may not be defined in the case of an arbitrary cone metric space).

Recall that a map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is said to have property  $(P)$  if it satisfies  $F(f) = F(f^n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $F(f)$  stands for the set of all fixed points of  $f$  [22].

**Theorem 4** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a tvs-cone metric space and  $q : X \times X \rightarrow E$  be a  $c$ -distance on  $X$ . Suppose that a continuous self-map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  satisfies*

$$q(fx, f^2x) \preceq \lambda q(x, fx) \tag{3.10}$$

for some  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and each  $x \in X$ . Then:

1.  $f$  has a fixed point and if  $fu = u$ , then  $q(u, u) = \theta$ ;
2.  $f$  has property  $(P)$ .

*Proof* (1) Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_{n+1} = fx_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . If  $x_{n_0} + 1 = x_{n_0}$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $x_{n_0}$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Otherwise, we get from (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= q(fx_{n-1}, f^2x_{n-1}) \preceq \lambda q(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}) = \lambda q(fx_{n-2}, f^2x_{n-2}) \\ &\preceq \lambda^2 q(x_{n-2}, fx_{n-2}) \preceq \dots \preceq \lambda^n q(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1.(3) again, one obtains that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Hence,  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ , and continuity of  $f$  implies that  $x_{n+1} = fx_n \rightarrow fx^*$  and  $fx^* = x^*$ .

(2) Obviously,  $F(f) \subseteq F(f^n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $u \in F(f^n)$ , i.e.,  $f^n u = u$ . Then, (3.10) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} q(u, fu) &= q(ff^{n-1}u, f^2f^{n-1}u) \preceq \lambda q(f^{n-1}u, f^n u) = \lambda q(ff^{n-2}u, f^2f^{n-2}u) \\ &\preceq \lambda^2 q(f^{n-2}u, f^{n-1}u) \preceq \dots \preceq \lambda^n q(u, fu). \end{aligned}$$

By property  $(p_5)$ , it follows that  $q(u, fu) = \theta$ .

Now, for arbitrary  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we have that  $q(f^k u, f^{k+1} u) \leq \lambda^k q(u, fu)$  and so  $q(f^k u, f^{k+1} u) = \theta$ . It follows that  $q(u, f^2 u) \leq q(u, fu) + q(fu, f^2 u) = \theta$ , i.e.,  $q(u, f^2 u) = \theta$  and, similarly,

$$q(u, fu) = q(u, f^2 u) = q(u, f^3 u) = \dots = q(u, f^n u) = \theta.$$

From  $q(u, fu) = \theta = q(u, f^n u) = q(u, u)$  and Lemma 1.(1), we conclude that  $fu = u$ , i.e.,  $u \in F(f)$ . ■

Another way to obtain property (P) is the following.

**Theorem 5** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a tvs-cone metric space and  $q : X \times X \rightarrow E$  be a  $c$ -distance on  $X$ . Suppose that a continuous self-map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  satisfies*

$$q(fx, f^2 x) + q(f^2 x, fx) \leq \lambda [q(x, fx) + q(fx, x)] \tag{3.11}$$

for some  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and each  $x \in X$ . Then  $f$  has property (P).

*Proof* Denote  $z_1(x) = q(x, fx) + q(fx, x)$  and  $z_2(x) = q(fx, f^2 x) + q(f^2 x, fx)$ .

Then, the given condition is written as  $z_2(x) \leq \lambda z_1(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ . Suppose that  $f^n u = u$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} z_1(u) &= q(u, fu) + q(fu, u) = q(f^n u, f f^n u) + q(f f^n u, f^n u) = z_2(f^{n-1} u) \\ &\leq \lambda z_1(f^{n-1} u) = \lambda z_2(f^{n-2} u) \leq \lambda^2 z_1(f^{n-2} u) \leq \dots \leq \lambda^n z_1(u). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < \lambda^n < 1$ , property (p<sub>5</sub>) implies that  $z_1(u) = q(u, fu) + q(fu, u) = \theta$ . Again, the triangle inequality (q<sub>2</sub>) implies that  $q(u, u) = q(fu, fu) = \theta$ , and by Lemma 1.(1), we get that  $fu = u$ . ■

**Corollary 1** *Let  $q$  be a  $c$ -distance on a tvs-cone metric space  $(X, d)$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be continuous and such that for some nonnegative  $A, B, C, D, E$  such that  $A + B + C + 2D + 2E < 1$ , inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) hold for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $f$  has property (P).*

*Proof* Putting  $x = x$  and  $y = fx$  in conditions (3.1) and (3.2) leads to the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} q(fx, f^2 x) &\leq (A + B + D)q(x, fx) + (C + D + E)q(fx, f^2 x) + Eq(f^2 x, fx), \\ q(f^2 x, fx) &\leq (A + B + D)q(fx, x) + (C + D + E)q(f^2 x, fx) + Eq(fx, f^2 x). \end{aligned}$$

Adding up, one obtains inequality (3.11) with  $0 < \lambda = \frac{A + B + D}{1 - C - D - 2E} < 1$ , since  $A + B + C + 2D + 2E < 1$ . ■

Similar results concerning property (Q) of two self-mappings  $f$  and  $g$  (i.e., property that  $F(f) \cap F(g) = F(f^n) \cap F(g^n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) can be obtained.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the referees for their valuable comments that helped to improve the text. The authors are thankful to the Ministry of Science and Technological Development of Serbia.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Management for Logistics (J-4) GŠ VS, Beograd, Serbia <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Organizational Sciences, University of Belgrade, Jove Ilića 154, 11000 Beograd, Serbia <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 16, 11000 Beograd, Serbia <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Kraljice Marije 16, 11120 Beograd, Serbia



Received: 5 April 2011 Accepted: 10 August 2011 Published: 10 August 2011

## References

1. Huang, LG, Zhang, X: Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings. *J Math Anal Appl.* **332**(2), 1468–1476 (2007). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.03.087
2. Vandergraft, JS: Newton's method for convex operators in partially ordered spaces. *SIAM J Num Anal.* **4**, 406–432 (1967). doi:10.1137/0704037
3. Krasnoseljski, MA, Zabrejko, PP: *Geometrical Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*. Springer, Berlin (1984)
4. Zabrejko, PP: K-metric and K-normed linear spaces, survey. *Collect Math.* **48**, 825–859 (1997)
5. Beg, I, Azam, A, Arshad, M: Common fixed points for maps on topological vector space valued cone metric spaces. *Intern J Math Math Sci (Article ID 560264)*, **8** (2009).
6. Wei-Shih, Du: A note on cone metric fixed point theory and its equivalence. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**, 2259–2261 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.na.2009.10.026
7. Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S, Rakočević, V: Topological vector space valued cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* **2010**, (Article ID 170253), 18 (2010).
8. Abdeljawad, Th, Rezapour, Sh: On some topological concepts of TVS-cone metric spaces and fixed point theory remarks. arXiv,1102.1419v1[math.GN]
9. Abdeljawad, Th, Karapinar, E: A gap in the paper "A note on cone metric fixed point theory and its equivalence. *Nonlinear Anal* **72**, 2259–2261 (2010). *Gazi Univ. J. Sci.* **24**(2), 233–234 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.na.2009.10.026
10. Abdeljawad, Th, Karapinar, E: A common fixed point theorem of Gregus type on convex cone metric spaces. *J Comput Anal Appl.* **13**(4), 609–621 (2011)
11. Arandjelović, ID, Kečkić, DJ: On nonlinear quasi-contractions on TVS-valued cone metric spaces. *Appl Math Lett.* **24**, 1209–1213 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.aml.2011.02.010
12. Karapinar, E, Yuksel, U: On common fixed point theorem without commuting conditions in TVS-cone metric spaces. *J Comput Anal Appl.* **13**, 1123–1131 (2011)
13. Simić, Su: A note on Stone's, Baire's, Ky Fan's and Dugundji's theorem in tvs-cone metric spaces. *Appl Math Lett.* **24**, 999–1002 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.aml.2011.01.014
14. Janković, S, Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S: On cone metric spaces, a survey. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 2591–2601 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.na.2010.12.014
15. Kada, O, Suzuki, T, Takahashi, W: Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces. *Math Japonica.* **44**, 381–391 (1996)
16. Abbas, M, Ilić, D, Ali Khan, M: Coupled coincidence point and coupled common fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces with w-distance. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* **2010**, (Article ID 134897), 11 (2010).
17. Ilić, D, Rakočević, V: Common fixed point for maps with w-distance. *Appl Math Comput.* **199**, 599–610 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2007.10.016
18. Razani, A, Nezhad, ZM, Boujary, M: A fixed point theorem for w-distance. *Appl Sci.* **11**, 114–117 (2009)
19. Cho, YJ, Saadati, R, Wang, Sh: Common fixed point theorems on generalized distance in ordered cone metric spaces. *Comput Math Appl.* **61**, 1254–1260 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2011.01.004
20. Lakzian, H, Arabyani, F: Some fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces with w-distance. *Inter J Math Anal.* **3**(22), 1081–1086 (2009)
21. Abdeljawad, Th: Completions of tvs-cone metric spaces and some fixed point theorems. *Gazi Univ J Sci.* **24**(2), 235–240 (2011)
22. Jeong, GS, Rhoades, BE: Maps for which  $F(T) = F(T^n)$ . *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **6**, 87–131 (2005)

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-29

**Cite this article as:** Đorđević et al.: Fixed point results under c-distance in tvs-cone metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2011 **2011**:29.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)