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#### Abstract

Using direct method, Kenary (Acta Universitatis Apulensis, to appear) proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the following functional equation $$
f(m x+n y)=\frac{(m+n) f(x+y)}{2}+\frac{(m-n) f(x-y)}{2}
$$ in non-Archimedean normed spaces and in random normed spaces, where $m$, $n$ are different integers greater than 1 . In this article, using fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the above functional equation in various normed spaces. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B52; 47H10; 47540; 46S40; 30G06; 26E30; 46S10; 37H10; 47H40.
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## 1. Introduction

A classical question in the theory of functional equations is the following: "When is it true that a function which approximately satisfies a functional equation must be close to an exact solution of the equation?" If the problem accepts a solution, then we say that the equation is stable. The first stability problem concerning group homomorphisms was raised by Ulam [1] in 1940. In the following year, Hyers [2] gave a positive answer to the above question for additive groups under the assumption that the groups are Banach spaces. In 1978, Rassias [3] proved a generalization of Hyers' theorem for additive mappings. Furthermore, in 1994, a generalization of the Rassias' theorem was obtained by Găvruta [4] by replacing the bound $\varepsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)$ by a general control function $\varphi(x, y)$.

The functional equation $f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x)+2 f(y)$ is called a quadratic functional equation. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a quadratic mapping. In 1983, the Hyers-Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [5] for mappings $f: X \rightarrow Y$, where $X$ is a normed space and $Y$ is a Banach space. In 1984, Cholewa [6] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain $X$ is replaced by an Abelian group and, in 2002, Czerwik [7] proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation.

The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors, and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [8-12]).

Using fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the following functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(m x+n y)=\frac{(m+n) f(x+y)}{2}+\frac{(m-n) f(x-y)}{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in various spaces, which was introduced and investigated in [13].

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some definitions and lemmas for the main results in this article.
A valuation is a function $|\cdot|$ from a field $\mathbb{K}$ into $[0, \infty)$ such that, for all $r, s \in \mathbb{K}$, the following conditions hold:
(a) $|r|=0$ if and only if $r=0$;
(b) $|r s|=|r||s|$;
(c) $|r+s| \leq|r|+|s|$.

A field $\mathbb{K}$ is called a valued field if $\mathbb{K}$ carries a valuation. The usual absolute values of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ are examples of valuations.
In 1897, Hensel [14] has introduced a normed space which does not have the Archimedean property.
Let us consider a valuation which satisfies a stronger condition than the triangle inequality. If the triangle inequality is replaced by

$$
|r+s| \leq \max \{|r|,|s|\}
$$

for all $r, s \in \mathbb{K}$ then the function $|\cdot|$ is called a non-Archimedean valuation and the field is called a non-Archimedean field. Clearly, $|1|=|-1|=1$ and $|n| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
A trivial example of a non-Archimedean valuation is the function $|\cdot|$ taking everything except for 0 into 1 and $|0|=0$.

Definition 2.1. Let $X$ be a vector space over a field $\mathbb{K}$ with a non-Archimedean valuation $|\cdot|$. A function $\|\cdot\|: X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is called a non-Archimedean norm if the following conditions hold:
(a) $\|x\|=0$ if and only if $x=0$ for all $x \in X$;
(b) $\|r x\|||=|r|\|x\|$ for all $r \in \mathbb{K}$ and $x \in X$;
(c) the strong triangle inequality holds:

$$
\|x+y\| \leq \max \{\|x\|,\|y\|\}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is called a non-Archimedean normed space.
Definition 2.2. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in a non-Archimedean normed space $X$.
(a) The sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence if, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is a positive integer $N$ such that $\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N$.
(b) The sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is said to be convergent if, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there are a positive integer $N$ and $x \in X$ such that $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. Then the point $x \in X$ is called the limit of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, which is denote by $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$.
(c) If every Cauchy sequence in $X$ converges, then the non-Archimedean normed space $X$ is called a non-Archimedean Banach space.

It is noted that

$$
\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\| \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right\|: m \leq j \leq n-1\right\}
$$

for all $m, n \geq 1$ with $n>m$.
In the sequel (in random stability section), we adopt the usual terminology, notions, and conventions of the theory of random normed spaces as in [15].

Throughout this article (in random stability section), let $\Gamma^{+}$denote the set of all probability distribution functions $F: \mathbb{R} \cup[-\infty,+\infty] \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $F$ is left-continuous and nondecreasing on $\mathbb{R}$ and $F(0)=0, F(+\infty)=1$. It is clear that the set $D^{+}=\{F \in$ $\left.\Gamma^{+}: l^{-} F(-\infty)=1\right\}$, where $l^{-} f(x)=\lim _{t \rightarrow x^{-}} f(t)$, is a subset of $\Gamma^{+}$. The set $\Gamma^{+}$is partially ordered by the usual point-wise ordering of functions, i.e., $F \leq G$ if and only if $F(t) \leq G$ $(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $a \geq 0$, the element $H_{a}(t)$ of $D^{+}$is defined by

$$
H_{a}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } t \leq a, \\
1 \text { if } t>a .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We can easily show that the maximal element in $\Gamma^{+}$is the distribution function $H_{0}(t)$.

Definition 2.3. [15] A function $T:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a continuous triangular norm (briefly, a $t$-norm) if $T$ satisfies the following conditions:
(a) $T$ is commutative and associative;
(b) $T$ is continuous;
(c) $T(x, 1)=x$ for all $x \in[0,1]$;
(d) $T(x, y) \leq T(z, w)$ whenever $x \leq z$ and $y \leq w$ for all $x, y, z, w \in[0,1]$.

Three typical examples of continuous $t$-norms are as follows: $T(x, y)=x y, T(x, y)=$ $\max \{a+b-1,0\}$, and $T(x, y)=\min (a, b)$.
Definition 2.4. [16] A random normed space (briefly, $R N$-space) is a triple $(X, \mu, T)$, where $X$ is a vector space, $T$ is a continuous $t$-norm, and $\mu: X \rightarrow D^{+}$is a mapping such that the following conditions hold:
(a) $\mu_{x}(t)=H_{0}(t)$ for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$ if and only if $x=0$;
(b) $\mu_{\alpha x}(t)=\mu_{x}\left(\frac{t}{|\alpha|}\right)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha \neq 0, x \in X$ and $t \geq 0$;
(c) $\mu_{x+y}(t+s) \geq T\left(\mu_{x}(t), \mu_{y}(s)\right)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t, s \geq 0$.

Definition 2.5. Let $(X, \mu, T)$ be an RN -space.
(1) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ (write $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty)$ if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{x_{n}-x}(t)=1$ for all $t>0$.
(2) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is called a Cauchy sequence in $X$ if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{x_{n}-x_{m}}(t)=1$ for all $t>0$.
(3) The $R N$-space $(X, \mu, T)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in $X$ is convergent.

Theorem 2.1. [15]If $(X, \mu, T)$ is an RN-space and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence such that $x_{n} \rightarrow$ $x$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{x_{n}}(t)=\mu_{x}(t)$.
Definition 2.6. [17]Let $X$ be a real vector space. A function $N: X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is called a fuzzy norm on $X$ if for all $x, y \in X$ and all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,
(N1) $N(x, t)=0$ for $t \leq 0$;
(N2) $x=0$ if and only if $N(x, t)=1$ for all $t>0$;
(N3) $N(c x, t)=N\left(x, \frac{t}{|c|}\right)$ if $c \neq 0$;
(N4) $N(x+y, s+t) \geq \min \{N(x, s), N(y, t)\} ;$
(N5) $N(x,$.$) is a non-decreasing function of \mathbb{R}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, t)=1$;
(N6) for $x \neq 0, N(x$, .) is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$.
The pair $(X, N)$ is called a fuzzy normed vector space. The properties of fuzzy normed vector space are given in [18].
Example 2.1. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space and $\alpha, \beta>0$. Then

$$
N(x, t)= \begin{cases}\frac{\alpha t}{\alpha t+\beta\|x\|} & t>0, x \in X \\ 0 & t \leq 0, x \in X\end{cases}
$$

is a fuzzy norm on $X$.
Definition 2.7. [17]Let $(X, N)$ be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is said to be convergent or converge if there exists an $x \in X$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} N\left(x_{n}-x, t\right)$ $=1$ for all $t>0$. In this case, $x$ is called the limit of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ and we denote it by $N-\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$.

Definition 2.8. [17]Let $(X, N)$ be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is called Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon>0$ and each $t>0$ there exists an $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n$ $\geq n_{0}$ and all $p>0$, we have $N\left(x_{n+p}-x_{n}, t\right)>1-\varepsilon$.
It is well known that every convergent sequence in a fuzzy normed vector space is Cauchy. If each Cauchy sequence is convergent, then the fuzzy norm is said to be complete and the fuzzy normed vector space is called a fuzzy Banach space.
Example 2.2. Let $N: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a fuzzy norm on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
N(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{t}{t+|x|} & t>0 \\
0 & t \leq 0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Then $(\mathbb{R}, N)$ is a fuzzy Banach space.
We say that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between fuzzy normed vector spaces $X$ and $Y$ is continuous at a point $x \in X$ if for each sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converging to $x_{0} \in X$, then the sequence $\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges to $f\left(x_{0}\right)$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous at each $x \in X$, then $f$ : $X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be continuous on $X$ [19].

Throughout this article, assume that $X$ is a vector space and that $(Y, N)$ is a fuzzy Banach space.
Definition 2.9. Let $X$ be a set. A function $d: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is called a generalized metric on $X$ if $d$ satisfies the following conditions:
(a) $d(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$ for all $x, y \in X$;
(b) $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
(c) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 2.2. [20,21]Let $(X, d)$ be a complete generalized metric space and $J: X \rightarrow X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L<1$. Then, for all $x \in X$, either

$$
d\left(J^{n} x, J^{n+1} x\right)=\infty
$$

for all non-negative integers $n$ or there exists a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that
(a) $d\left(J^{n} x, J^{n+1} x\right)<\infty$ for all $n_{0} \geq n_{0}$;
(b) the sequence $\left\{J^{n} x\right\}$ converges to a fixed point $y^{*}$ of J;
(c) $y^{*}$ is the unique fixed point of $J$ in the set $Y=\left\{y \in X: d\left(J^{n_{0}} x, y\right)<\infty\right\}$;
(d) $d\left(y, \gamma^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, J y)$ for all $y \in Y$.

## 3. Non-Archimedean stability of the functional equation (1)

In this section, using the fixed point alternative approach, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1) in non-Archimedean normed spaces.

Throughout this section, let $X$ be a non-Archimedean normed space and $Y$ a complete non-Archimedean normed space. Assume that $|m| \neq 1$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be linear normed spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a mapping satisfying (1). Then $f$ is an additive mapping.

Proof. Letting $y=0$ in (1), we obtain

$$
f(m x)=m f(x)
$$

for all $x \in X$. So one can show that

$$
f\left(m^{n} x\right)=m^{n} f(x)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N} . \square$
Theorem 3.1. Let $\zeta: X^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L<1$ with

$$
|m| \zeta(x, y) \leq L \zeta(m x, m y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(m x+n y)-\frac{(m+n) f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n) f(x-y)}{2}\right\| \leq \zeta(x, y) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then there is a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-A(x)\| \leq \frac{L \zeta(x, 0)}{|m|-|m| L} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Putting $y=0$ and replacing $x$ by $\frac{x}{m}$ in (2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|m f\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)-f(x)\right\| \leq \zeta\left(\frac{x}{m}, 0\right) \leq \frac{L}{|m|} \zeta(x, 0) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Consider the set

$$
S:=\{g: X \rightarrow Y ; g(0)=0\}
$$

and the generalized metric $d$ in $S$ defined by

$$
d(f, g)=\inf \left\{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{+}:\|g(x)-h(x)\| \leq \mu \zeta(x, 0), \quad \forall x \in X\right\}
$$

where $\inf \varnothing=+\infty$. It is easy to show that ( $S, d$ ) is complete (see [[22], Lemma 2.1]). Now, we consider a linear mapping $J: S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Jh}(x):=\operatorname{mh}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $g, h \in S$ be such that $d(g, h)=\varepsilon$. Then we have

$$
\|g(x)-h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \zeta(x, 0)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and so

$$
\|\operatorname{Jg}(x)-\operatorname{Jh}(x)\|=\left\|m g\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)-m h\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)\right\| \leq|m| \varepsilon \zeta\left(\frac{x}{m}, 0\right) \leq|m| \varepsilon \frac{L}{|m|} \zeta(x, 0)
$$

for all $x \in X$. Thus $d(g, h)=\varepsilon$ implies that $d(J g, J h) \leq L \varepsilon$. This means that

$$
d(J g, J h) \leq L d(g, h)
$$

for all $g, h \in S$. It follows from (4) that

$$
d(f, J f) \leq \frac{L}{|m|}
$$

By Theorem 2.2, there exists a mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following:
(1) $A$ is a fixed point of $J$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)=\frac{1}{m} A(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping $A$ is a unique fixed point of $J$ in the set

$$
\Omega=\{h \in S: d(g, h)<\infty\}
$$

This implies that $A$ is a unique mapping satisfying (5) such that there exists $\mu \in(0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$
\|f(x)-A(x)\| \leq \mu \zeta(x, 0)
$$

for all $x \in X$.
(2) $d\left(J^{n} f, A\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the equality

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{n}}\right)=A(x)
$$

for all $x \in X$.
(3) $d(f, A) \leq \frac{d(f, I f)}{1-L}$ with $f \in \Omega$, which implies the inequality

$$
d(f, A) \leq \frac{L}{|m|-|m| L}
$$

This implies that the inequality (3) holds. By (2), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|m^{n} f\left(\frac{m x+n y}{m^{n}}\right)-\frac{m^{n}(m+n) f\left(\frac{x+y}{m^{n}}\right)}{2}-\frac{m^{n}(m-n) f\left(\frac{x-y}{m^{n}}\right)}{2}\right\| \\
\leq|m|^{n} \zeta\left(\frac{x}{m^{n}}, \frac{y}{m^{n}}\right) \leq|m|^{n} \cdot \frac{L^{n}}{|m|^{n}} \zeta(x, y)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $n \geq 1$ and so

$$
\left\|A(m x+n y)-\frac{(m+n) A(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n) A(x-y)}{2}\right\|=0
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.
On the other hand

$$
m A\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)-A(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{n+1} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{n+1}}\right)-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{n}}\right)=0 .
$$

Therefore, the mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is additive. This completes the proof. $\square$
Corollary 3.1. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and $p$ be a real number with $0<p<1$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(m x+n y)-\frac{(m+n) f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n) f(x-y)}{2}\right\| \leq \theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, for all $x \in X$,

$$
A(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{n}}\right)
$$

exists and $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique additive mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-A(x)\| \leq \frac{|m| \theta \|||x||^{p}}{|m|^{p+1}-|m|^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.1 if we take

$$
\zeta(x, y)=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. In fact, if we choose $L=|m|^{1-\mathrm{p}}$, then we get the desired result. $\square$ Theorem 3.2. Let $\zeta: X^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L<1$ with

$$
\frac{\zeta(m x, m y)}{|m|} \leq L \zeta(x, y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and (2). Then there is a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-A(x)\| \leq \frac{\zeta(x, 0)}{|m|-|m| L}
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. $\square$
Corollary 3.2. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and $p$ be a real number with $p>1$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and (6). Then, for all $x \in X$

$$
A(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(m^{n} x\right)}{m^{n}}
$$

exists and $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique additive mapping such that

$$
\|f(x)-A(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta\|x\|^{p}}{|m|-|m|^{p}}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.2 if we take

$$
\zeta(x, y)=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. In fact, if we choose $L=|2 m|^{p-1}$, then we get the desired result. $\square$
Example 3.1. Let $Y$ be a complete non-Archimedean normed space. Let $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping defined by

$$
f(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z, z \in\{m x+n y:\|m x+n y\|<1\} \cap\{x-y:\|x-y\|<1\} \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Then one can easily show that $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (3.5) for the case $p=1$ and that there does not exist an additive mapping satisfying (3.6).

## 4. Random stability of the functional equation (1)

In this section, using the fixed point alternative approach, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1) in random normed spaces.

Theorem 4.1. Let $X$ be a linear space, $(Y, \mu, T)$ a complete $R N$-space and $\Phi$ a mapping from $X^{2}$ to $D^{+}\left(\Phi(x, y)\right.$ is denoted by $\left.\Phi_{x, y}\right)$ such that there exists $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{m}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{m x, m y}\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right) \leq \Phi_{x, y}(t) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\left.f(m x+n y)-\frac{(m+n) f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n) f(x-y)}{2}(t) \geq \Phi_{x, y}(t)\right)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$. Then, for all $x \in X$

$$
A(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{n}}\right)
$$

exists and $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique additive mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(t) \geq \Phi_{x, 0}\left(\frac{(1-m \alpha) t}{\alpha}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$.
Proof. Putting $y=0$ in (9) and replacing $x$ by $\frac{x}{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{m f\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)-f(x)}(t) \geq \Phi_{\frac{x}{m}, 0}(t) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$. Consider the set

$$
S^{*}:=\{g: X \rightarrow Y ; g(0)=0\}
$$

and the generalized metric $d^{*}$ in $S^{*}$ defined by

$$
d^{*}(f, g)=\inf _{u \in(0,+\infty)}\left\{\mu_{g(x)-h(x)}(u t) \geq \Phi_{x, 0}(t), \quad \forall x \in X, t>0\right\}
$$

where $\inf \varnothing=+\infty$. It is easy to show that $\left(S^{*}, d^{*}\right)$ is complete (see [[22], Lemma 2.1]).

Now, we consider a linear mapping $J: S^{*} \rightarrow S^{*}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Jh}(x):=m h\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$.
First, we prove that $J$ is a strictly contractive mapping with the Lipschitz constant $m \alpha$. In fact, let $g, h \in S^{*}$ be such that $d^{*}(g, h)<\varepsilon$. Then we have

$$
\mu_{g(x)-h(x)}(\varepsilon t) \geq \Phi_{x, 0}(t)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{J g(x)-J h(x)}(m \alpha \varepsilon t)=\mu_{m g}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)-m h\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \\
&(m \alpha \varepsilon t)=\mu_{g\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)-h\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)}(\alpha \varepsilon t) \\
& \geq \Phi_{\frac{x}{m}, 0}(\alpha t) \\
& \geq \Phi_{x, 0}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$. Thus $d^{*}(g, h)<\varepsilon$ implies that $d^{*}(J g, J h)<m \alpha \varepsilon$. This means that

$$
d^{*}(J g, J h) \leq \operatorname{mad}(g, h)
$$

for all $g, h \in S^{*}$. It follows from (11) that

$$
d^{*}(f, J f) \leq \alpha
$$

By Theorem 2.2, there exists a mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following:
(1) $A$ is a fixed point of $J$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)=\frac{1}{m} A(x) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping $A$ is a unique fixed point of $J$ in the set

$$
\Omega=\left\{h \in S^{*}: d^{*}(g, h)<\infty\right\} .
$$

This implies that $A$ is a unique mapping satisfying (12) such that there exists $u \in(0$, $\infty)$ satisfying

$$
\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(u t) \geq \Phi_{x, 0}(t)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$.
(2) $d^{*}\left(J^{n} f, A\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the equality

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{n}}\right)=A(x)
$$

for all $x \in X$.
(3) $d^{*}(f, A) \leq \frac{d^{*}(f, J f)}{1-m \alpha}$ with $f \in \Omega$, which implies the inequality

$$
d^{*}(f, A) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-m \alpha}
$$

and so

$$
\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}\left(\frac{\alpha t}{1-m \alpha}\right) \geq \Phi_{x, 0}(t)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$. This implies that the inequality (10) holds.
On the other hand

$$
\mu_{\left.m^{n} f\left(\frac{m x+n y}{m^{n}}\right)-\frac{m^{n}(m+n) f\left(\frac{x+y}{m^{n}}\right)}{2}-\frac{m^{n}(m-n) f\left(\frac{x-y}{m^{n}}\right)}{2}(t) \geq \Phi_{\frac{x}{m^{n}}, \frac{y}{m^{n}}}\left(\frac{t}{m^{n}}\right)\right) .}
$$

for all $x, y \in X, t>0$ and $n \geq 1$ and so, from (8), it follows that

$$
\Phi_{\frac{x}{m^{n}}}, \frac{y}{m^{n}}\left(\frac{t}{m^{n}}\right) \geq \Phi_{x, y}\left(\frac{t}{m^{n} \alpha^{n}}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{x, y}\left(\frac{t}{m^{n} \alpha^{n}}\right)=1
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$, we have

$$
\mu_{A(m x+n y)-\frac{(m+n) A(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n) A(x-y)}{2}}(t)=1
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$.
On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(m x)-m A(x) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{n-1}}\right)-m \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{n}}\right) \\
& =m\left[\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{n-1} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{n-1}}\right)-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{n}}\right)\right] \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is additive. This completes the proof. $\square$
Corollary 4.1. Let $X$ be a real normed space, $\theta \geq 0$ and let $p$ be a real number with $p$ $>1$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(m x+n y)-\frac{(m+n) f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n) f(x-y)}{2}}^{2}(t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$. Then, for all $x \in X$,

$$
A(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{n}}\right)
$$

exists and $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique additive mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(t) \geq \frac{m^{p}\left(1-m^{1-p}\right) t}{m^{p}\left(1-m^{1-p}\right) t+\theta\|x\|^{p}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$.
Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 4.1 if we take

$$
\Phi_{x, y}(t)=\frac{t}{t+\theta\left(\left\|\left.x\right|^{p}+\right\| y \|^{p}\right)}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$. In fact, if we choose $\alpha=m^{-p}$, then we get the desired result. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. Let $X$ be a linear space, $(Y, \mu, T)$ a complete $R N$-space and $\Phi$ a mapping from $X^{2}$ to $D^{+}\left(\Phi(x, y)\right.$ is denoted by $\left.\Phi_{x, y}\right)$ such that for some $0<\alpha<m$

$$
\Phi_{\frac{x}{m}, \frac{y}{m}}(t) \leq \Phi_{x, y}(\alpha t)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\mu_{f(m x+n y)-} \frac{(m+n) f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n) f(x-y)}{2}(t) \geq \Phi_{x, y}(t)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$. Then, for all $x \in X$,

$$
A(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(m^{n} x\right)}{m^{n}}
$$

exists and $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique additive mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(t) \geq \Phi_{x, 0}((m-\alpha) t) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$.
Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1. $\square$
Corollary 4.2. Let $X$ be a real normed space, $\theta \geq 0$ and let $p$ be a real number with 0 $<p<1$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\mu_{f(m x+n y)-\frac{(m+n) f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n) f(x-y)}{2}(t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\theta\left(\left\|\left.x\right|^{p}+\right\| y \|^{p}\right)}}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$. Then, for all $x \in X$,

$$
A(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(m^{n} x\right)}{m^{n}}
$$

exists and $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique additive mapping such that

$$
\mu_{f(x)-A(x)}(t) \geq \frac{\left(m-m^{p}\right) t}{\left(m-m^{p}\right) t+\theta\|x\|^{p}}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$.
Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 4.2 if we take

$$
\Phi_{x, y}(t)=\frac{t}{t+\theta\left(\left\|\left.x\right|^{p}+\right\| y \|^{p}\right)}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$. In fact, if we choose $\alpha=m^{p}$, then we get the desired result. -

Example 4.1. Let $(Y, \mu, T)$ be a normed complete $R N$-space. Let $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping defined by

$$
f(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z, z \in\{m x+n y:\|m x+n y\|<1\} \cap\{x-y:\|x-y\|<1\} \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then one can easily show that $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (4.6) for the case $p=1$ and that there does not exist an additive mapping satisfying (4.7).

## 5. Fuzzy stability of the functional equation (1)

Throughout this section, using the fixed point alternative approach, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1) in fuzzy normed spaces.

In the rest of the article, assume that $X$ is a vector space and that $(Y, N)$ is a fuzzy Banach space.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\phi: X^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L<1$ with

$$
\varphi\left(\frac{x}{m}, \frac{y}{m}\right) \leq \frac{L}{m} \varphi(x, y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f(m x+n y)-\frac{(m+n) f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n) f(x-y)}{2}, t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(x, y)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Then the limit

$$
A(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{n}}\right)
$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
N(f(x)-A(x), t) \geq \frac{(m-m L) t}{(m-m L) t+L \varphi(x, 0)}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. Putting $y=0$ in (16) and replacing $x$ by $\frac{x}{m}$, we have

$$
N\left(m f\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)-f(x), t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0\right)}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$. Consider the set

$$
s^{* *}:=\{g: X \rightarrow Y, g(0)=0\}
$$

and the generalized metric $d^{* *}$ in $S^{* *}$ defined by

$$
d^{* *}(f, g)=\inf \left\{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{+}: N(g(x)-h(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(x, 0)}, \quad \forall x \in X, t>0\right\}
$$

where $\inf \varnothing=+\infty$. It is easy to show that $\left(S^{* * *}, d^{* *}\right)$ is complete (see [[22], Lemma 2.1]).

Now, we consider a linear mapping $J: S^{* *} \rightarrow S^{* *}$ such that

$$
J g(x):=m g\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$.
The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1. $\square$
Corollary 5.1. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let $p$ be a real number with $p>1$. Let $X$ be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
N\left(f(m x+n y)-\frac{(m+n) f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n) f(x-y)}{2}, t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)}(17)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Then

$$
A(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{n}}\right)
$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines an additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-A(x), t) \geq \frac{\left(m^{p+1}-m^{2}\right) t}{\left(m^{p+1}-m^{2}\right) t+m \theta\|x\|^{p}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 5.1 by taking

$$
\varphi(x, y):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L=m^{1-p}$ and we get the desired result. $\square$
Theorem 5.2. Let $\phi: X^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L<1$ with

$$
\varphi(m x, m y) \leq m L \varphi(x, y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
N\left(f(m x+n y)-\frac{(m+n) f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n) f(x-y)}{2}, t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\phi(x, y)}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Then the limit

$$
R(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(m^{n} x\right)}{m^{n}}
$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines an additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
N(f(x)-A(x), t) \geq \frac{(m-m L) t}{(m-m L) t+\varphi(x, 0)}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. The proof is similar to that of the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 5.1. $\square$
Corollary 5.2. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let $p$ be a real number with $0<p<1$. Let $X$ be $a$ normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
N\left(f(m x+n y)-\frac{(m+n) f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n) f(x-y)}{2}, t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Then the limit

$$
A(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(m^{n} x\right)}{m^{n}}
$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
N(f(x)-A(x), t) \geq \frac{\left(m-m^{p}\right) t}{\left(m-m^{p}\right) t+\theta\|x\|^{p}}
$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 5.2 by taking

$$
\varphi(x, y):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then we can choose $L=m^{p-1}$ and we get the desired result.
Example 5.1. Let $(Y, N)$ be a normed fuzzy Banach space. Let $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping defined by

$$
f(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z, z \in\{m x+n y:\|m x+n y\|<1\} \cap\{x-y:\|x-y\|<1\} \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then one can easily show that $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (5.2) for the case $p=1$ and that there does not exist an additive mapping satisfying (5.3).

## 6. Conclusion

We linked here five different disciplines, namely, the random normed spaces, nonArchimedean normed spaces, fuzzy normed spaces, functional equations, and fixed point theory. We established the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1) in various normed spaces by using fixed point method.
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