CORRECTION

Open Access

Fixed point theorems for contraction mappings in modular metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011, 2011:93

Chirasak Mongkolkeha, Wutiphol Sintunavarat and Poom Kumam*

* Correspondence: poom. kum@kmutt.ac.th Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, Bangkok 10140, Thailand

Abstract

This article is written due to a small gap in our published paper. In this erratum, we point out and fix the problem to set our existed results at the best of their perfection.

1. On the results in [1]

In [1], the authors have studied and introduced some fixed point theorems in the frame-work of a modular metric space. We shall first state their results and then discuss some small gap herewith.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.2 in Mongkolkeha et al. [1]). Let X_{ω} be a complete modular metric space and f be a self-mapping on X satisfying the inequality

$$\omega_{\lambda}(fx, fy) \leq k\omega_{\lambda}(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X_{\omega}$, where $k \in [0, 1)$. Then, f has a unique fixed point in $x_* \in X_{\omega}$ and the sequence $\{f^n x\}$ converges to x_* .

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 3.6 in Mongkolkeha et al. [1]). Let X_{ω} be a complete modular metric space and f be a self mapping on X satisfying the inequality

$$\omega_{\lambda}(fx, fy) \leq k[\omega_{2\lambda}(x, fx) + \omega_{2\lambda}(y, fy)],$$

for all $x, y \in X_{\omega}$, where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then, f has a unique fixed point in $x_* \in X_{\omega}$ and the sequence $\{f^n x\}$ converges to x_* .

We now claim that the conditions in the above theorems are not sufficient to guarantee the existence and uniqueness of the fixed points. We state a counterexample to Theorem 1.1 in the following:

Example 1.3. Let $X := \{0, 1\}$ and ω be given by

 $\omega_{\lambda}(x, \gamma) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1 \text{ and } x \neq \gamma, \\ 0, & \text{if } \lambda \ge 1 \text{ or } x = \gamma. \end{cases}$

Thus, the modular metric space X_{ω} = X. Now let f be a self-mapping on X defined by

 $[\]begin{cases} f(0) = 1, \\ f(1) = 0. \end{cases}$



© 2012 Mongkolkeha et al; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Then, f is satisfies the inequality (1.1) with any $k \in [0, 1)$ but it possesses no fixed point after all.

Notice that this gap flaws the theorems only when ∞ is involved.

2. Revised theorems

In this section, we shall now give the corrections to our theorems in [1].

Theorem 2.1. Let X_{ω} be a complete modular metric space and f be a self mapping on X satisfying the inequality

 $\omega_{\lambda}(fx, fy) \leq k\omega_{\lambda}(x, y),$

for all $x, y \in X_{\omega}$, where $k \in [0, 1)$. Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\omega_{\lambda}(x_0, fx_0) < \infty$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Then, f has a unique fixed point in $x_* \in X_{\omega}$ and the sequence $\{f^*x_0\}$ converges to x_* .

Theorem 2.2. Let X_{ω} be a complete modular metric space and f be a self-mapping on X satisfying the inequality

 $\omega_{\lambda}(fx, fy) \leq k[\omega_{2\lambda}(x, fx) + \omega_{2\lambda}(y, fy)],$

for all $x, y \in X_{\omega}$ where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\omega_{\lambda}(x_0, fx_0) < \infty$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Then, f has a unique fixed point in $x_* \in X_{\omega}$ and the sequence $\{f^n x\}$ converges to x_* .

Proof (of Theorem 2.1). Let $\lambda > 0$ and observe that

 $\omega_{\lambda}(f^n x_0, f^{n+1} x_0) \leq k \omega_{\lambda}(f^{n-1} x_0, f^n x_0) \leq \cdots \leq k^n \omega_{\lambda}(x_0, f x_0) < \infty$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ Assume m > n be two positive integers. Observe that

$$\begin{split} \omega_{\lambda}(f^{m}x_{0}, f^{n}x_{0}) &\leq \omega_{\lambda}(f^{n}x_{0}, f^{n+1}x_{0}) + (f^{n+1}x_{0}, f^{n+2}x_{0}) + \dots + \omega_{\lambda}(f^{m-1}x_{0}, f^{m}x_{0}) \\ &\leq (k^{n} + k^{n+1} + \dots + k^{m-1})\omega_{\lambda}(x_{0}, fx_{0}) \\ &\leq (k^{n} + k^{n+1} + \dots)\omega_{\lambda}(x_{0}, fx_{0}) \\ &= \frac{k^{n}}{1-k}\omega_{\lambda}(x_{0}, fx_{0}). \end{split}$$

Since $\omega_{\lambda}(x_0, fx_0) < \infty$, we deduce that for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\omega\lambda(f^m x_0, f^i x_0) < \varepsilon$ for m > n > N with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough. Thus, $\{f^i x_0\}$ is Cauchy and hence it converges to some $x_* \in X_{\omega}$ in essence of the completeness of X_{ω} . Observe further that

 $\omega_{\lambda}(x_{*}, fx_{*}) \leq \omega_{\lambda}(x_{*}, f^{n}x_{0}) + k\omega_{\lambda}(f^{n-1}x_{0}, x_{*}).$

Letting $n \to \infty$ to obtain that $\omega_{\lambda}(x_*, fx_*) = 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Therefore, x^* is a fixed point of *f*. Suppose also that $y_* = fy_*$. Note that

$$\omega_{\lambda}(x_{*}, \gamma_{*}) = \omega_{\lambda}(fx_{*}, f\gamma_{*}) \leq k\omega_{\lambda}(x_{*}, \gamma_{*}),$$

which implies that $\omega_{\lambda}(x_*, fx_*) = 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Therefore, the theorem is proved.

For the proofs of the remaining theorem, take the idea of the above correction and combine with the proof aforementioned in [1] to obtain the expected results.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Professor Rahim Alizadeh for questions and comments. Also, this work was supported by the Higher Education Research Promotion and National Research University Project of Thailand, Office of the Higher Education Commission (NRU-CSEC No.55000613).

Received: 8 June 2012 Accepted: 21 June 2012 Published: 21 June 2012

Reference

1. Mongkolkeha, C, Sintunavarat, W, Kumam, P: Fixed point theorems for contraction mappings in modular metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory and Applications. 2011, 93 (2011). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-93

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-103

Cite this article as: Mongkolkeha et al.: Fixed point theorems for contraction mappings in modular metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011, 2011:93. Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2012 2012:103.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com