

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Comment on 'Fixed point theorems for contraction mappings in modular metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-93, 20 pages'

H Dehghan<sup>1</sup>, M Eshaghi Gordji<sup>2\*</sup> and A Ebadian<sup>3\*</sup>

\*Correspondence:

meshaghi@semnan.ac.ir;  
ebadian.ali@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Semnan University, P.O. Box  
35195-363, Semnan, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran  
Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, we provide an example to show that some results obtained in [Mongkolkeha *et al.* in *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2011, doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-93] are not valid.

**MSC:** 47H09; 47H10

**Keywords:** contraction mappings; modular metric spaces; metric space

We begin with the definition of a modular metric space.

**Definition 1** [1] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. A function  $\omega : (0, \infty) \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is said to be *metric modular* on  $X$  if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $\omega_\lambda(x, y) = 0$  for all  $\lambda > 0$  iff  $x = y$ ;
- (ii)  $\omega_\lambda(x, y) = \omega_\lambda(y, x)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\omega_{\lambda+\mu}(x, y) \leq \omega_\lambda(x, z) + \omega_\mu(z, y)$  for all  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ .

Given  $x_* \in X$ , the set  $X_\omega(x_*) = \{x \in X : \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \omega_\lambda(x, x_*) = 0\}$  is called a *modular metric space* generated by  $x_*$  and induced by  $\omega$ . If its generator  $x_*$  does not play any role in the situation, we will write  $X_\omega$  instead of  $X_\omega(x_*)$ .

We need the following theorems in the proof of the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 2** [1, Theorem 2.6] *If  $\omega$  is metric (pseudo) modular on  $X$ , then the modular set  $X_\omega$  is a (pseudo) metric space with (pseudo) metric given by*

$$d_\omega^\circ(x, y) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \omega_\lambda(x, y) \leq \lambda\}, \quad x, y \in X_\omega.$$

**Theorem 3** [1, Theorem 2.13] *Let  $\omega$  be (pseudo) modular on a set  $X$ . Given a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X_\omega$  and  $x \in X_\omega$ , we have  $d_\omega^\circ(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if  $\omega_\lambda(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . A similar assertion holds for Cauchy sequences.*

Let  $\omega$  be modular on a set  $X$ . A mapping  $T : X_\omega \rightarrow X_\omega$  is said to be contraction [2, Definition 3.1] if there exists  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\omega_\lambda(Tx, Ty) \leq k\omega_\lambda(x, y) \tag{1}$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $x, y \in X_\omega$ .

Recently, Mongkolkeha *et al.* [2] proved the following theorems.

**Theorem 4** [2, Theorem 3.2] *Let  $\omega$  be metric modular on  $X$  and  $X_\omega$  be a modular metric space induced by  $\omega$ . If  $X_\omega$  is a complete modular metric space and  $T : X_\omega \rightarrow X_\omega$  is a contraction mapping, then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X_\omega$ . Moreover, for any  $x \in X_\omega$ , iterative sequence  $\{T^n(x)\}$  converges to the fixed point.*

**Theorem 5** [2, Theorem 3.4] *Let  $\omega$  be metric modular on  $X$  and  $X_\omega$  be a modular metric space induced by  $\omega$ . If  $X_\omega$  is a complete modular metric space and  $T : X_\omega \rightarrow X_\omega$  is a mapping, which  $T^N$  is a contraction mapping for some positive integer  $N$ . Then,  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X_\omega$ .*

We show that Theorems 4 and 5 are not correct. To this end, we give the following example.

**Example 6** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and define modular  $\omega$  by  $\omega_\lambda(x, y) = \infty$  if  $\lambda \leq |x - y|$ , and  $\omega_\lambda(x, y) = 0$  if  $\lambda > |x - y|$ . It is easy to verify that (see also [1, Example 2.7])  $X_\omega = \mathbb{R}$  and  $d_\omega^\circ(x, y) = |x - y|$ . It follows from Theorem 3 that  $\mathbb{R}$  is a complete modular metric space. Now, define  $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $Tx = x + 1$ . We show that  $T$  is a contraction while it has no fixed point. Let  $k \in [0, 1)$  (for example,  $k = 1/2$ ) and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\lambda \leq |x - y|$ , then  $\omega_\lambda(x, y) = \infty$  and (1) holds. If  $|x - y| < \lambda$ , then  $|Tx - Ty| = |x - y| < \lambda$ . Therefore,  $\omega_\lambda(Tx, Ty) = \omega_\lambda(x, y) = 0$ . Hence  $T$  is a contraction. On the other hand, by definition of  $T$ , it is easy to see that  $T$  has no fixed point. So, Theorems 4 and 5 are not correct.

**Remark 7** In [2, Example 3.7], the authors mentioned that ‘Thus,  $T$  is not a contraction mapping and then the Banach contraction mapping cannot be applied to this example.’ It is true that  $T$  is not contraction with the Euclidean metric, but one can easily verify that

$$d_\omega^\circ(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}d_\omega^\circ(x, y).$$

Thus, the Banach contraction guarantees the existence of a fixed point. Note that

$$d_\omega^\circ((a_1, 0), (a_2, 0)) = \sqrt{\frac{4|a_1 - a_2|}{3}}, \quad d_\omega^\circ((0, b_1), (0, b_2)) = \sqrt{|b_1 - b_2|}$$

and

$$d_\omega^\circ((a, 0), (0, b)) = \sqrt{\frac{4a}{3} + b}.$$

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors conceived of the study, participated in its design and coordination, drafted the manuscript, participated in the sequence alignment, and read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS), Gava Zang, Zanjan, 45137-66731, Iran. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Semnan University, P.O. Box 35195-363, Semnan, Iran. <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran.

Received: 8 January 2012 Accepted: 22 August 2012 Published: 7 September 2012

#### References

1. Chistyakov, VV: Modular metric spaces, I: basic concepts. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**, 1-14 (2010)
2. Mongkolkeha, C, Sintunavarat, W, Kumam, P: Fixed point theorems for contraction mappings in modular metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2011). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-93

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-144

**Cite this article as:** Dehghan et al.: Comment on 'Fixed point theorems for contraction mappings in modular metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-93, 20 pages'. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2012 2012:144.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---