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#### Abstract

In this paper, we extend the extragradient projection method proposed in (Wang et al. in J. Optim. Theory Appl. 119:167-183, 2003) for the classical variational inequalities to the generalized variational inequalities. For this algorithm, we first prove its expansion property of the generated sequence with respect to the starting point and then show that the existence of the solution to the problem can be verified through the behavior of the generated sequence. The global convergence of the method is also established under mild conditions. MSC: 90C30; 15A06 Keywords: generalized variational inequalities; extragradient method; solution existence; multi-valued mapping


## 1 Introduction

Let $F(x)$ be a multi-valued mapping from $R^{n}$ into $2^{R^{n}}$ with nonempty values, and let $X$ be a nonempty closed convex set in $R^{n}$. The problem of generalized variational inequalities (GVI) [1, 2] is to find $x^{*} \in X$ such that there exists $\omega^{*} \in F\left(x^{*}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega^{*}, x-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in X \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ stands for the Euclidean inner product of vectors in $R^{n}$. The solution set of problem (1.1) is denoted by $X^{*}$. Certainly, the GVI reduces to the classical variational inequalities (VI) when $F$ is a single-valued mapping, which has been well studied in the past decades [3, 4]
For the GVI, theories and solution methods have been extensively considered in the literature [2,5-12], and it is well known that the existence of solutions is an important topic for the GVI [1]. Generally, there are mainly two approaches to attack the solution existence problem of the GVI. One is an analytic approach which first reformulates the GVI as a well-studied mathematical problem and then invokes an existence theorem for the latter problem [13]. The second is a constructive approach in which the existence can be verified by the behavior of the proposed method. The algorithm that is considered in this paper belongs to the second approach.
First, we give a short summary to the constructive approach on the existence theory for the VI. For this approach, the equivalence between the existence of solutions to the VI problem and the boundedness of the sequence generated by some modified extragradient methods was first established by Sun in [14]. Later, Wang et al. [4] established the same

[^0]theory by a new type of extragradient-type method. Furthermore, the generated sequence possesses an expansion property with respect to the starting point and converges to a solution point if the solution set of the VI is nonempty. Now a question is posed naturally: as the GVI problem is an extension of the VI, can this theory be extended to the GVI? This constitutes the main motivation of the paper.
In this paper, inspired by the work in [4], we propose a new type of extragradient projection method for the problem GVI. We first establish the existence results for the GVI under pseudomonotonicity and continuity assumption of the underlying mapping $F$, and then show the global convergence of the proposed method.
The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some related concepts and conclusions. In Section 3, we present the description of the algorithm and establish some properties of the algorithm. The global convergence of the sequence is also established.

## 2 Preliminaries

For a nonempty closed convex set $K \subset R^{n}$ and a vector $x \in R^{n}$, the orthogonal projection of $x$ onto K, i.e.,

$$
\arg \min \{\|y-x\| \mid y \in K\}
$$

is denoted by $P_{K}(x)$. In what follows, we state some well-known properties of the projection operator which will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1 [15] Let $K$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset in $R^{n}$. Then, for any $x, y \in$ $R^{n}$ and $z \in K$, the following statements hold:
(i) $\left\langle P_{K}(x)-x, z-P_{K}(x)\right\rangle \geq 0$;
(ii) $\left\|P_{K}(x)-P_{K}(y)\right\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-\left\|P_{K}(x)-x+y-P_{K}(y)\right\|^{2}$.

Remark 2.1 In fact, (i) in Lemma 2.1 provides also a sufficient and necessary condition for a vector $u \in K$ to be the projection of the vector $x$; i.e., $u=P_{K}(x)$ if and only if

$$
\langle u-x, z-u\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in K .
$$

Definition 2.1 Let $K$ be a nonempty subset of $R^{n}$. A multi-valued mapping $F: K \rightarrow 2^{R^{n}}$ is said to be
(i) monotone if and only if

$$
\langle u-v, x-y\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in K, u \in F(x), v \in F(y) ;
$$

(ii) pseudomonotone if and only if, for any $x, y \in K, u \in F(x), v \in F(y)$,

$$
\langle u, y-x\rangle \geq 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad\langle v, y-x\rangle \geq 0
$$

Now let us recall the definition of a continuous multi-valued mapping $F$.
Definition 2.2 Assume that $F: X \rightarrow 2^{R^{n}}$ is a multi-valued mapping, then
(i) $F$ is said to be upper semicontinuous at $x \in X$ if for every open set $V$ containing $F(x)$, there is an open set $U$ containing $x$ such that $F(y) \subset V$ for all $y \in X \cap U$;
(ii) $F$ is said to be lower semicontinuous at $x \in X$ if given any sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ converging to $x$ and any $y \in F(x)$, there exists a sequence $y^{k} \in F\left(x^{k}\right)$ that converges to $y$.
$F$ is said to be continuous at $x \in X$ if it is both upper semicontinuous and lower semicontinuous at $x$.

For the simplicity of our description, we list the assumptions needed in the sequel.
Assumption 2.1 Suppose that $X$ is a nonempty closed convex set in $R^{n}$. The multi-valued mapping $F: X \rightarrow 2^{R^{n}}$ is pseudomonotone and continuous on $X$ with nonempty compact convex values.

## 3 Main results

For $x \in R^{n}, \xi \in F(x)$, we first define the projection residue

$$
r(x, \xi)=x-P_{X}(x-\xi)
$$

It is well known that the projection residue is related intimately to the solution set $X^{*}$.
Proposition 3.1 For $x \in X$ and $\xi \in F(x)$, they solve problem (1.1) if and only if

$$
x=P_{X}(x-\xi) .
$$

The basic idea of the designed algorithm is as follows. At each step of the algorithm, compute the projection residue $r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)$ at iterate $x^{k}$. If it is a zero vector, then stop with $x^{k}$ being a solution of the GVI; otherwise, find a trial point $y^{k}$ by a back-tracking search at $x^{k}$ along the residue $r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)$, and the new iterate is obtained by projecting $x^{0}$ onto the intersection of $X$ with two halfspaces respectively associated with $y^{k}$ and $x^{k}$. Repeat this process until the projection residue is a zero vector.

Algorithm 3.1 Choose $\sigma, \gamma \in(0,1), x^{0} \in X, k=0$.
Step 1: Given the current iterate $x^{k} \in X$, if $\left\|r\left(x^{k}, \xi\right)\right\|=0$ for some $\xi \in F\left(x^{k}\right)$, stop; else take any $\xi^{k} \in F\left(x^{k}\right)$ and compute

$$
z^{k}=P_{X}\left(x^{k}-\xi^{k}\right)
$$

Then, let

$$
y^{k}=\left(1-\eta_{k}\right) x^{k}+\eta_{k} z^{k},
$$

where $\eta_{k}=\gamma^{m_{k}}$, with $m_{k}$ being the smallest nonnegative integer $m$ satisfying: $\exists \zeta^{k} \in F\left(x^{k}-\right.$ $\left.\gamma^{m} r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\zeta^{k}, r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)\right\rangle \geq \sigma\left\|r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)\right\|^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2: Let $x^{k+1}=P_{H_{k}^{1} \cap H_{k}^{2} \cap X}\left(x^{0}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{k}^{1}=\left\{x \in R^{n} \mid\left\langle x-y^{k}, \zeta^{k}\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall \zeta^{k} \in F\left(y^{k}\right)\right\}, \\
& H_{k}^{2}=\left\{x \in R^{n} \mid\left\langle x-x^{k}, x^{0}-x^{k}\right\rangle \leq 0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Select $k=k+1$ and go to Step 1 .

Now, we first discuss the feasibility of the stepsize rule (3.1).

Lemma 3.1 If $x^{k}$ is not a solution of problem (1.1), then there exists the smallest nonnegative integer m satisfying (3.1).

Proof By the definition of $r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)$ and Lemma 2.1, we know that

$$
\left\langle P_{X}\left(x^{k}-\xi^{k}\right)-\left(x^{k}-\xi^{k}\right), x^{k}-P_{X}\left(x^{k}-\xi^{k}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0,
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\xi^{k}, r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)\right\rangle \geq\left\|r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)\right\|^{2}>0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\gamma \in(0,1)$, we get

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(x^{k}-\gamma^{m} r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)\right)=x^{k}
$$

By this and the fact that $F$ is lower semicontinuous, there exists $\zeta^{m} \in F\left(x^{k}-\gamma^{m} r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \zeta^{m}=\xi^{k}
$$

So,

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\zeta^{m}, r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\xi^{k}, r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)\right\rangle \geq\left\|r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)\right\|^{2}>0
$$

which implies the conclusion.

The following lemma shows that the halfspace $H_{k}^{1}$ in Algorithm 3.1 strictly separates $x^{k}$ and the solution set $X^{*}$ if $X^{*}$ is nonempty.

Lemma 3.2 If $X^{*} \neq \emptyset$, the halfspace $H_{k}^{1}$ in Algorithm 3.1 separates the point $x^{k}$ from the set $X^{*}$. Moreover,

$$
X^{*} \subseteq H_{k}^{1} \cap X, \quad \forall k \geq 0
$$

Proof By the definition of $r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)$ and Algorithm 3.1, we know

$$
y^{k}=\left(1-\eta_{k}\right) x^{k}+\eta_{k} z^{k}=x^{k}-\eta_{k} r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)
$$

which can be written

$$
\eta_{k} r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)=x^{k}-y^{k} .
$$

Then, by this and (3.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\zeta^{k}, x^{k}-y^{k}\right\rangle>0, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta^{k}$ is a vector in $F\left(y^{k}\right)$. So, by the definition of $H_{k}^{1}$ and (3.3), we get $x^{k} \notin H_{k}^{1}$.

On the other hand, for any $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ and $x \in X$, we have

$$
\left\langle\omega^{*}, x-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \omega^{*} \in F\left(x^{*}\right) .
$$

Since $F$ is pseudomonotone on $X$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega, x-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \omega \in F(x) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x=y^{k}$ in (3.4), for any $\zeta^{k} \in F\left(y^{k}\right)$, we have

$$
\left\langle\zeta^{k}, y^{k}-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

which implies $x^{*} \in H_{k}^{1}$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $X^{*} \subseteq H_{k}^{1} \cap X, \forall k \geq 0$.

The following lemma says that if the solution set is nonempty, then $X^{*} \subseteq H_{k}^{1} \cap H_{k}^{2} \cap X$ and thus $H_{k}^{1} \cap H_{k}^{2} \cap X$ is a nonempty set.

Lemma 3.3 If the solution set $X^{*} \neq \emptyset$, then $X^{*} \subseteq H_{k}^{1} \cap H_{k}^{2} \cap X$ for all $k \geq 0$ under Assumption 2.1.

Proof From the analysis above, it is sufficient to prove that $X^{*} \subseteq H_{k}^{2}$ for all $k \geq 0$. The proof will be given by induction. Obviously, if $k=0$,

$$
X^{*} \subseteq H_{0}^{2}=R^{n} .
$$

Now, suppose that

$$
X^{*} \subseteq H_{k}^{2}
$$

holds for $k=l \geq 0$. Then

$$
X^{*} \subseteq H_{l}^{1} \cap H_{l}^{2} \cap X
$$

For any $x^{*} \in X^{*}$, by Lemma 2.1 and the fact that

$$
x^{l+1}=P_{H_{l}^{1} \cap H_{l}^{2} \cap X}\left(x^{0}\right),
$$

it holds that

$$
\left\langle x^{*}-x^{l+1}, x^{0}-x^{l+1}\right\rangle \leq 0 .
$$

Thus $X^{*} \subseteq H_{l+1}^{2}$. This shows that $X^{*} \subseteq H_{k}^{2}$ for all $k \geq 0$, and the desired result follows.

For the case that the solution set is empty, we have that $H_{k}^{1} \cap H_{k}^{2} \cap X$ is also nonempty from the following lemma, which implies the feasibility of Algorithm 3.1.

Lemma 3.4 Suppose that $X^{*}=\emptyset$, then $H_{k}^{1} \cap H_{k}^{2} \cap X \neq \emptyset$ for all $k \geq 0$ under Assumption 2.1.

Before proving Lemma 3.4, we present a fundamental existence result for the GVI problem defined over a compact convex region [16]. For the sake of completeness, we give the proof process.

Lemma 3.5 Let $X \subseteq R^{n}$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex set and let the multi-valued mapping $F: X \rightarrow 2^{R^{n}}$ be lower semicontinuous with nonempty closed convex values. Then the solution set $X^{*}$ is nonempty.

Proof Since the multi-valued mapping $F$ is lower semicontinuous and has nonempty closed convex values, by Michael's selection theorem (see, for instance, Theorem 24.1 in [17]), it admits a continuous selection; that is, there exists a continuous mapping $G: X \rightarrow R^{n}$ such that $G(x) \in F(x)$ for every $x \in X$. Since $X$ is a nonempty bounded closed convex set, the $\operatorname{VI}(X, G)$, which consists of finding an $x \in X$ such that

$$
\langle G(x), y-x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in X,
$$

has a solution (see Lemma 3.1 in [18]), i.e., the solution set $X^{\prime}$ of the problem $\operatorname{VI}(X, G)$ is nonempty. It follows from $X^{\prime} \subseteq X^{*}$ that $X^{*}$ is nonempty.

Proof of Lemma 3.4 On the contrary, suppose that there exists $k_{0} \geq 1$ such that $H_{k_{0}}^{1} \cap H_{k_{0}}^{2} \cap$ $X=\emptyset$. Then there exists a positive number $M$ such that

$$
\left\{x^{k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq k_{0}\right\} \subseteq B\left(x^{0}, M\right)
$$

and

$$
\left\{x^{k}-\xi^{k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq k_{0}, \xi^{k} \in F\left(x^{k}\right)\right\} \subseteq B\left(x^{0}, M\right)
$$

where

$$
B\left(x^{0}, M\right)=\left\{x \in R^{n} \mid\left\|x-x^{0}\right\| \leq M\right\} .
$$

Let $Y=X \cap B\left(x^{0}, 2 M\right)$ and consider the $\operatorname{GVI}(F, Y)$. From Lemma 3.5, we know that the solution set $Y^{*}$ of $\operatorname{GVI}(F, Y)$ is nonempty. In order to avoid confusion with the sequence $\left\{H_{k}^{1}\right\},\left\{H_{k}^{2}\right\}$, and $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$, we denote the three corresponding sequences by $\left\{\bar{H}_{k}^{1}\right\},\left\{\bar{H}_{k}^{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{\bar{x}^{k}\right\}$, respectively, when Algorithm 3.1 is applied to $\operatorname{GVI}(F, Y)$ with a starting point $x^{0}$. We claim that
(i) the set has at least $k_{0}+1$ elements: $\bar{x}^{0}, \bar{x}^{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}^{k_{0}}$;
(ii) $\bar{x}^{k}=x^{k}, \bar{H}_{k}^{1}=H_{k}^{1}, \bar{H}_{k}^{2}=H_{k}^{2}$ for $0 \leq k \leq k_{0}$;
(iii) $x^{k_{0}}$ is not a solution of $\mathrm{GVI}(F, Y)$.

Since $Y^{*} \neq \emptyset$, using Lemma 3.3, we know that $\bar{H}_{k_{0}}^{1} \cap \bar{H}_{k_{0}}^{2} \cap X \neq \emptyset$, so $H_{k_{0}}^{1} \cap H_{k_{0}}^{2} \cap X \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts the supposition that $H_{k_{0}}^{1} \cap H_{k_{0}}^{2} \cap X=\emptyset$.

From Lemma 3.4, if the solution set of problem (1.1) is empty, then Algorithm 3.1 generates an infinite sequence. More generally, we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that Assumption 2.1 holds. Assume that Algorithm 3.1 generates an infinite sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$. If the solution set $X^{*}$ is nonempty, then the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is bounded and all its cluster points belong to the solution set. Otherwise,

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\|=+\infty
$$

if the solution set $X^{*}$ is empty.

Proof First, we suppose that the solution set is nonempty. Since

$$
x^{k+1}=P_{H_{k}^{1} \cap H_{k}^{2} \cap X}\left(x^{0}\right),
$$

by Lemma 3.3 and the definition of the projection, it holds that

$$
\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{0}\right\| \leq\left\|x^{*}-x^{0}\right\|
$$

for any $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. So, $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence.
Since $x^{k+1} \in H_{k}^{2}$, it is obvious that

$$
P_{H_{k}^{2}}\left(x^{k+1}\right)=x^{k+1}
$$

from the definition of the projection operator. For $x^{k}$, since

$$
\left\langle z-x^{k}, x^{0}-x^{k}\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall z \in H_{k}^{2},
$$

it holds that $x^{k}=P_{H_{k}^{2}}\left(x^{0}\right)$ from Remark 2.1. Thus, using Lemma 2.1, one has

$$
\left\|P_{H_{k}^{2}}\left(x^{k+1}\right)-P_{H_{k}^{2}}\left(x^{0}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{0}\right\|^{2}-\left\|P_{H_{k}^{2}}\left(x^{k+1}\right)-x^{k+1}+x^{0}-P_{H_{k}^{2}}\left(x^{0}\right)\right\|^{2} ;
$$

i.e.,

$$
\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{0}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\|^{2}
$$

which can be written as

$$
\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{0}\right\|^{2}
$$

Thus, the sequence $\left\{\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\|\right\}$ is nondecreasing and bounded, and hence convergent, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{k}\right\|^{2}=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by $x^{k+1} \in H_{k}^{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{k+1}-y^{k}, \zeta^{k}\right\rangle \leq 0 . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
y^{k}=\left(1-\eta_{k}\right) x^{k}+\eta_{k} z^{k}=x^{k}-\eta^{k} r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)
$$

and by (3.6) we have

$$
\left\langle x^{k+1}-y^{k}, \zeta^{k}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{k+1}-x^{k}+\eta_{k} r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right), \zeta^{k}\right\rangle \leq 0,
$$

which implies

$$
\eta_{k}\left\langle r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right), \zeta^{k}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle x^{k}-x^{k+1}, \zeta^{k}\right\rangle \leq 0 .
$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{k} \sigma\left\|r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \eta_{k}\left\langle r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right), \zeta^{k}\right\rangle \leq\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{k}\right\|\left\|\zeta^{k}\right\| . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F$ is continuous with compact values, Proposition 3.11 in [19] implies that $\left\{F\left(y^{k}\right)\right.$ : $k \in N\}$ is a bounded set, and so the sequence $\left\{\zeta^{k}: \zeta^{k} \in F\left(y^{k}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. By (3.5) and (3.7), it follows that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{k}\left\|r\left(x^{k}, \xi^{k}\right)\right\|^{2}=0
$$

For any convergent sequence $\left\{x^{k_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$, its limit is denoted by $\bar{x}$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} x^{k_{j}}=\bar{x} .
$$

Without loss of generality, suppose that $\left\{\eta_{k_{j}}\right\}$ has a limit. Then

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{k_{j}}=0
$$

or

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|r\left(x^{k_{j}}, \xi^{k_{j}}\right)\right\|^{2}=0
$$

For the first case, by the choice of $\eta_{k_{j}}$ in Algorithm 3.1, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\zeta, r\left(x^{k_{j}}, \xi^{k_{j}}\right)\right\rangle<\sigma\left\|r\left(x^{k_{j}}, \xi^{k_{j}}\right)\right\|^{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\zeta \in F\left(x^{k_{j}}-\frac{\eta_{k_{j}}}{\gamma} r\left(x^{k_{j}}, \xi^{k_{j}}\right)\right)$.
Since

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(x^{k_{j}}-\frac{\eta_{k_{j}}}{\gamma} r\left(x^{k_{j}}, \xi^{k_{j}}\right)\right)=\bar{x}
$$

and $F$ is lower semicontinuous on $X, \exists \zeta^{k_{j}} \in F\left(x^{k_{j}}-\frac{\eta_{k_{j}}}{\gamma} r\left(x^{k_{j}}, \xi^{k_{j}}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \zeta^{k_{j}}=\xi
$$

where $\xi$ is a vector in $F(\bar{x})$. So, by (3.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\zeta^{k_{j}}, r\left(x^{k_{j}}, \xi^{k_{j}}\right)\right\rangle=\langle\xi, r(\bar{x}, \xi)\rangle \leq \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\left\|r\left(x^{k_{j}}, \xi^{k_{j}}\right)\right\|^{2}=\sigma\|r(\bar{x}, \xi)\|^{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the similar arguments of (3.2), we have

$$
\langle\xi, r(\bar{x}, \xi)\rangle \geq\|r(\bar{x}, \xi)\|^{2}
$$

Combining this and (3.9), we know that $r(\bar{x}, \xi)=0$ and thus $\bar{x}$ is a solution of problem (1.1). For the second case

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|r\left(x^{k_{j}}, \xi^{k_{j}}\right)\right\|^{2}=0
$$

it is easy to see that the limit point $\bar{x}$ of $x^{k_{j}}$ is a solution of problem (1.1).
Now, we consider the case that the solution set is empty. Since the inequality

$$
\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{0}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\|^{2}
$$

also holds in this case, the sequence $\left\{\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\|\right\}$ is still nondecreasing. Next, we claim that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\|=+\infty
$$

Otherwise, $\left\{\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\|\right\}$ is a bounded sequence. A similar discussion as above would lead to the conclusion that every cluster point of $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is a solution of problem (1.1), which contradicts the emptiness of the solution set.

Theorem 3.2 Under the assumption of Theorem 3.1, if the solution set $X^{*}$ is nonempty, then the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ globally converges to a solution $x^{*}$ such that $x^{*}=P_{X^{*}}\left(x^{0}\right)$; otherwise, $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\|=+\infty$. That is, the solution set of problem (1.1) is empty if and only if the generated sequence diverges to infinity.

Proof First, we suppose that the solution set is nonempty. From Theorem 3.1, we know that the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is bounded and that every cluster point $x^{*}$ of $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is a solution of problem (1.1). Suppose that the subsequence $\left\{x^{k_{j}}\right\}$ converges to $x^{*}$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} x^{k_{j}}=x^{*} .
$$

Let $\bar{x}=P_{X^{*}}\left(x^{0}\right)$. Since $\bar{x} \in X^{*}$, by Lemma 3.3 we have

$$
\bar{x} \in H_{k_{j}-1}^{1} \cap H_{k_{j}-1}^{2} \cap X
$$

for all $j$. So, by the iterative sequence of Algorithm 3.1, we have

$$
\left\|x^{k_{j}}-x^{0}\right\| \leq\left\|\bar{x}-x^{0}\right\|
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{k_{j}}-\bar{x}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|x^{k_{j}}-x^{0}+x^{0}-\bar{x}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|x^{k_{j}}-x^{0}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x^{0}-\bar{x}\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle x^{k_{j}}-x^{0}, x^{0}-\bar{x}\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\|\bar{x}-x^{0}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x^{0}-\bar{x}\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle x^{k_{j}}-x^{0}, x^{0}-\bar{x}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{*}-\bar{x}\right\|^{2} & \leq 2\left\|\bar{x}-x^{0}\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle x^{*}-x^{0}, x^{0}-\bar{x}\right\rangle \\
& =2\left\langle x^{*}-\bar{x}, x^{0}-\bar{x}\right\rangle \leq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality is due to Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $\bar{x}=P_{X^{*}}\left(x^{0}\right)$ and $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. So,

$$
x^{*}=\bar{x}=P_{X^{*}}\left(x^{0}\right) .
$$

Thus the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ has a unique cluster point $P_{X^{*}}\left(x^{0}\right)$, which shows the global convergence of $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$.

For the case that the solution set is empty, the conclusion can be obtained directly from Theorem 3.1.

## 4 Discussion

Certainly, the proposed extragradient method for the GVI in this paper has a good theoretical property in theory, as the generated sequence not only has an expansion property w.r.t. the starting point, but also the existence of the solution to the problem can be verified through the behavior of the generated sequence. However, the proposed algorithm is not easy to be realized in practice as the termination criterion is not easy to execute. This is an interesting topic for further research.
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