RESEARCH

Open Access

Extensions of Banach contraction principle to partial cone metric spaces over a non-normal solid cone

Shujun Jiang¹ and Zhilong Li^{2*}

*Correspondence: IzI771218@sina.com ²School of Statistics, Jiangxi University of Finance and Economics, Nanchang, 330013, China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we present some extensions of Banach contraction principle to partial cone metric spaces over a non-normal solid cone, which improve many recent fixed point results in cone metric spaces and partial cone metric spaces. An example is given to support the usability of our results. **MSC:** 06A07; 47H10

Keywords: Banach contraction principle; partial cone metric space; non-normal cone; solid cone

1 Introduction

The Banach contraction principle is the most celebrated fixed point theorem, which has been extended in various directions. In 2007, Huang and Zhang [1] introduced cone metric spaces and extended the Banach contraction principle to cone metric spaces over a normal solid cone, being unaware that cone metric spaces already existed under the name of K-metric spaces and K-normed spaces that were introduced and used in the middle of the 20th century in [2–9]. Furthermore, Huang and Zhang defined the convergence via interior points of the cone. Such an approach allows the investigation of the case that the cone is not necessarily normal, for example, the authors in [10–18] established many fixed point results and common fixed point results in cone metric spaces over a non-normal cone. In 2012, based on the definition of cone metric spaces and partial metric spaces, which were introduced by Matthews [19], Sonmez [20, 21] defined a partial cone metric spaces and considered the extensions of Banach contraction principle to partial cone metric spaces.

It is worth mentioning that in most of the preceding references concerned with fixed point results of contractions in cone metric spaces and partial cone metric spaces, the contractions are always assumed to be restricted with a constant. In [9], Agarwal considered a contraction restricted with a positive linear mapping and proved the following fixed point theorem in cone metric spaces.

Theorem 1 (See [9]) Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space over \mathbb{R}^n_+ and $T : X \to X$. If there exists a linear bounded mapping $L : \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n_+$ with the spectral radius r(L) < 1 such

©2013 Jiang and Li; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

🖄 Springer

that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq Ld(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$
(1)

Then T has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$ *.*

It is clear that \mathbb{R}^n_+ is a normal solid cone of \mathbb{R}^n endowed with the usual norm. Motivated by [10–18, 20, 21], we in this paper shall extend Theorem 1 to partial cone metric spaces over a non-normal solid cone of an abstract normed vector space.

2 Preliminaries

Let E be a topological vector space. A cone of E is a nonempty closed subset P of E such that

(i) $ax + by \in P$ for each $x, y \in P$ and each $a, b \ge 0$, and

(ii) $P \cap (-P) = \{\theta\}$, where θ is the zero element of *E*.

Each cone *P* of *E* determines a partial order \leq on *E* by $x \leq y \iff y - x \in P$ for each $x, y \in X$.

A cone *P* of a topological vector space *E*, is solid [22] if int $P \neq \emptyset$, where int *P* is the interior of *P*. For each $x, y \in E$ with $y - x \in int P$, we write $x \ll y$. A cone *P* of a normed vector space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$, is normal [22] if there exists N > 0 such that $x \preceq y$ implies that $\|x\| \le N \|y\|$ for each $x, y \in P$, and the minimal *N* is called a normal constant of *P*.

Lemma 1 Let P be a solid cone of a normed vector space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$, and let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in E. Then $u_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} \theta$ implies that for each $\epsilon \in \text{int P}$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $\epsilon \pm u_n \in \text{int P}$, i.e., $u_n \ll \epsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

Proof For each $\epsilon \in \text{int } P$, there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $||x|| < \varepsilon$ implies that $\epsilon \pm x \in \text{int } P$ for each $x \in E$. If $u_n \stackrel{\|\cdot\|}{\to} \theta$, then for this ε , there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $||u_n|| < \varepsilon$ for each $n \ge n_0$, and hence $\epsilon \pm u_n \in \text{int } P$ for each $n \ge n_0$, *i.e.*, $-\epsilon u_n \ll \epsilon$ for each $n \ge n_0$. The proof is complete.

Remark 1 The converse of Lemma 1 is true provided that *P* is normal. In fact, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $\epsilon \in \operatorname{int} P$ such that $\|\epsilon\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2N+1}$, where *N* denotes the normal constant of *P*. Note that for this ϵ , there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $-\epsilon \ll u_n \ll \epsilon$ for each $n \ge n_0$, and so $\theta \ll u_n + \epsilon \le 2\epsilon$. Then $\|u_n\| \le \|u_n + \epsilon\| + \|\epsilon\| \le (2N+1)\|\epsilon\| < \varepsilon$ for each $n \ge n_0$ by the normality of *P*. This forces that $u_n \stackrel{\|\cdot\|}{\to} \theta$.

The following example shows that the converse of Lemma 1 may not be true if *P* is non-normal.

Example 1 Let $E = C_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}[0,1]$ with the norm $||u|| = ||u||_{\infty} + ||u'||_{\infty}$ and $P = \{u \in E : u(t) \ge 0, t \in [0,1]\}$, which is a non-normal solid cone [22]. Let $u_n(t) = \frac{\sin nt}{n}$. Clearly, $||u_n|| = 1$, and so $u_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot||}{\rightarrow} \theta$. On the other hand, let $v_n(t) \equiv \frac{1}{n}$, then $v_n \in P$, $v_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot||}{\rightarrow} \theta$ and $-v_n \leq u_n \leq v_n$. By Lemma 1, for each $\epsilon \in int P$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $\theta \leq v_n \ll \epsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$, and hence $-\epsilon \ll u_n \ll \epsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

Let *X* be a nonempty set and *P* be a cone of a topological vector space *E*. A cone metric [1] on *X* is a mapping $p : X \times X \rightarrow P$ such that for each *x*, *y*, *z* \in *X*,

- (d1) $d(x, y) = \theta \iff x = y;$
- (d2) d(x, y) = d(y, x);
- (d3) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$.

The pair (X, d) is called a cone metric space over *P*. A partial cone metric [20, 21] on *X* is a mapping $p: X \times X \rightarrow P$ such that for each $x, y, z \in X$,

- (p1) $p(x,y) = p(x,x) = p(y,y) \iff x = y;$
- (p2) p(x, y) = p(y, x);
- (p3) $p(x,x) \leq p(x,y);$
- (p4) $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) p(z, z).$

The pair (X, p) is called a partial cone metric space over *P*.

Each cone metric is certainly a partial cone metric. The following example shows that there does exist some partial cone metric which is not a cone metric.

Example 2 Let $E = C_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}[0,1]$ with the norm $||u|| = ||u||_{\infty} + ||u'||_{\infty}$, and $X = P = \{u \in E : u(t) \ge 0, t \in [0,1]\}$. Define a mapping $p : X \times X \to P$ by

$$p(x, y) = \begin{cases} x, & x = y, \\ x + y, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For each $x, y \in X$, p(x, y) = p(y, x) = x and p(x, x) = p(x, y) = x when x = y, and p(x, y) = p(y, x) = x + y and $p(x, x) = x \leq x + y = p(x, y)$ when $x \neq y$, *i.e.*, (p2) and (p3) are satisfied. For each $x, y \in X$, p(x, y) = p(x, x) = p(y, y) = x whenever x = y, and x = y whenever p(x, x) = p(y, y), *i.e.*, (p1) is satisfied. For each $x, y, z \in X$,

$$p(x, y) = x = p(x, z) + p(y, z) - p(z, z), \text{ when } x = y = z,$$

$$p(x, y) = x \leq x + y + z = p(x, z) + p(y, z) - p(z, z), \text{ when } x = y, y \neq z,$$

$$p(x, y) = x + y = p(x, z) + p(y, z) - p(z, z), \text{ when } x \neq y, y = z,$$

$$p(x, y) = x + y = p(x, z) + p(y, z) - p(z, z), \text{ when } x \neq y, x = z,$$

$$p(x, y) = x + y \leq x + y + z = p(x, z) + p(y, z) - p(z, z), \text{ when } x \neq y, y \neq z, x \neq z,$$

i.e., (p4) is satisfied for each $x, y, z \in X$. Hence p is partial cone metric, but not a cone metric, since $p(x, x) \neq \theta$ for each $x \in X$ with $x \neq \theta$.

Each partial cone metric p on X over a solid cone generates a topology τ_p on X, which has a base of the family of open p-balls $\{B_p(x, \epsilon) : x \in X, \theta \ll \epsilon\}$, where $B_p(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) \ll p(x, x) + \epsilon\}$ for each $x \in X$ and each $\epsilon \in int P$.

Definition 1 Let (X, p) be a partial cone metric space over a solid cone *P* of a topological vector space *E*.

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in *X* converges [20] to $x \in X$ (denote by $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau_p} x$), if for each $\epsilon \in \operatorname{int} P$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $p(x_n, x) \ll p(x, x) + \epsilon$ for each $n \ge n_0$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in *X* strongly converges [21] to $x \in X$ (denote by $x_n \xrightarrow{s \tau_p} x$), if $\lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_n, x_n) = p(x, x)$.
- (ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is θ -Cauchy, if for each $\epsilon \in \text{int } P$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $p(x_n, x_m) \ll \epsilon$ for all $m, n \ge n_0$. The partial cone metric space (X, p) is

 θ -complete, if each θ -Cauchy sequence { x_n } of X converges to a point $x \in X$ such that $p(x, x) = \theta$.

It follows from Lemma 1 and Remark 1 that each strongly convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ of a partial cone metric space X is convergent whenever E is a normed vector space, and the converse is true provided that P is a normal. The following example will show that there exists some sequence of a partial cone metric, which is convergent but not strongly convergent if P is non-normal.

Example 3 Let (X, p), E and P be the same ones as those in Example 2, and let $u_n(t) = \frac{1+\sin nt}{n+2}$. Then $u_n \stackrel{\tau_p}{\to} \theta$, but $u_n \stackrel{s-\tau_p}{\to} \theta$. In fact, it is clear that $u_n \in P$, $p(u_n, \theta) = u_n$, $u_n \preceq v$ and $v_n \stackrel{\|\cdot\|}{\to} \theta$, where $v(t) \equiv \frac{2}{n+2}$. Then by Lemma 1, for each $\epsilon \in \operatorname{int} P$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $\theta \preceq p(u_n, \theta) \preceq v_n \ll \epsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$, *i.e.*, $u_n \stackrel{\tau_p}{\to} \theta$. On the other hand, $\|p(u_n, \theta) - p(\theta, \theta)\| = \|u_n\| = 1$, and hence $u_n \stackrel{s-\tau_p}{\to} \theta$.

Definition 2 Let (X, p) be a partial cone metric space over a solid cone P of a normed vector space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is Cauchy [20, 21], if there exists $u \in P$ with $\|u\| < \infty$ such that $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m) = u$. The partial cone metric space (X, p) is complete [20, 21], if each Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ of X strongly converges to a point $x \in X$ such that p(x, x) = u.

If *P* is a normal solid cone of a normed vector space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$, then each complete partial cone metric space is θ -complete by Lemma 1 and Remark 1. But the converse is not true, the following example shows that a partial cone metric space which is θ -complete, is not necessarily complete.

Example 4 Let $X = \{(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k) : x_i \ge 0, x_i \in \mathbb{Q}, i = 1, 2, ..., k\}, E = \mathbb{R}^k$ with the norm $||x|| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2}, P = \mathbb{R}^k_+$, where \mathbb{Q} denotes the set of rational numbers. Define a mapping $p : X \times X \to P$ as follows:

 $p(x, y) = (x_1 \vee y_1, x_2 \vee y_2, \dots, x_k \vee y_k), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$

Clearly, (X, p) is a partial cone metric space, p(x, x) = x for each $x \in X$, $p(x, \theta) = \theta \iff x = \theta$, P is normal.

Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in (X, p), where $y_n = (y_{n1}, y_{n2}, \dots, y_{nk})$. If $\{y_n\}$ is θ -Cauchy, then by Remark 1 and the normality of P, $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} p(y_n, y_m) = \theta$, and so for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists n_0 such that $||p(y_n, y_m)|| < \varepsilon$ for each $m, n \ge n_0$. Thus, $y_{ni} \lor y_{mi} = p(y_{ni}, y_{mi}) < \varepsilon$ for each $m, n \ge n_0$ and each $1 \le i \le k$. This means $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_{ni} = 0$ for each $1 \le i \le k$, *i.e.*, $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = \theta$. Therefore, $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(y_n, \theta) = \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = \theta = p(\theta, \theta)$, *i.e.*, $y_n \xrightarrow{\tau_p} \theta$, and hence (X, p) is θ -complete since $\theta \in X$.

Let $y_{ni} = i(1 + \frac{1}{n})^n$ for each n and each $1 \le i \le k$, and $\tilde{e} = (e, 2e, ..., ke)$. It is clear that $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} p(y_n, y_m) = \tilde{e}$, and hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p). If there exists $x \in X$ such that $p(x, x) = \tilde{e}$, then $x = \tilde{e}$, which contradicts to the fact that $\tilde{e} \notin X$ since $e \notin \mathbb{Q}$. This means $p(x, x) \neq \tilde{e}$ for each $x \in X$, and so there does not exist $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} p(y_n, y_m) = p(x, x)$. Therefore, (X, p) is not complete.

3 Extensions of Banach contraction principle

In this section, we present some extensions of Banach contraction principle in the setting of partial cone metric spaces over a non-normal solid cone of an abstract normed vector space.

Theorem 2 Let (X,p) be a θ -complete partial cone metric space over a solid cone P of a normed vector space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ and $T: X \to X$. If there exists a linear bounded mapping $L: P \to P$ with the spectral radius r(L) < 1 such that

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq Lp(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$
 (2)

Then T has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$. In addition, for each $x_0 \in X$, let

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0, \quad \forall n, \tag{3}$$

then there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $y_n \xrightarrow{\tau_p} x^*$, where $\{y_n\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ defined by $y_n = T^{nn_0}x_0$.

Proof By r(L) < 1 and Gelfand's formula, there exists $0 < \beta < 1$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt[n]{\|L^n\|}=r(L)\leq\beta,$$

which implies that there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

$$\left\|L^{n}\right\| \leq \beta^{n}, \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}. \tag{4}$$

Clearly,

$$y_n = T^{nn_0} x_0 = T^{n_0} T^{(n-1)n_0} x_0 = T^{n_0} y_{n-1}, \quad \forall n.$$
(5)

By (2), (5) and $L(P) \subset P$,

$$p(y_n, y_{n+1}) = p(T^{n_0} x_{(n-1)n_0}, T^{n_0} x_{nn_0}) \leq L^{n_0} p(y_{n-1}, y_n) \leq \cdots \leq L^{nn_0} p(y_0, y_1), \quad \forall n,$$

and so by (p4),

$$p(y_n, y_m) \leq p(y_n, y_{n+1}) + p(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + p(y_{m-1}, y_m) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} L^{in_0} p(y_0, y_1),$$

$$\forall m > n.$$
(6)

By (4),

$$\begin{split} \left\|\sum_{i=n}^{m-1} L^{ik} p(y_0, y_1)\right\| &\leq \left\|p(y_0, y_1)\right\| \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \left\|L^{n_0}\right\|^i \leq \left\|p(y_0, y_1)\right\| \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \beta^{in_0} \\ &= \frac{\|p(y_0, y_1)\| (\beta^{nn_0} - \beta^{(m+1)n_0})}{1 - \beta^{n_0}}, \end{split}$$

$$p(y_n, y_m) \preceq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} L^{ik} p(y_0, y_1) \ll \epsilon, \quad \forall m, n \ge n_1,$$

which implies that $\{y_n\}$ is a θ -Cauchy sequence in (X, p). Moreover by the θ -completeness of (X, p), there exists some $x^* \in X$ such that $y_n \xrightarrow{\tau_p} x^*$ and $p(x^*, x^*) = \theta$, and so there exists a positive integer $n_2 \ge n_1$ such that

$$p(y_n, x^*) \ll \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall n \ge n_2.$$
 (7)

Since r(L) < 1, then $(I - L)(P) \subset P$. Thus, by (p4), (2), (5) and (7),

$$p(T^{n_0}x^*, x^*) \leq p(y_{n+1}, T^{n_0}x^*) + p(y_{n+1}, x^*)$$
$$\leq L^{n_0}p(y_n, x^*) + p(y_{n+1}, x^*)$$
$$\leq p(y_n, x^*) + p(y_{n+1}, x^*) \ll \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq n_2,$$

which together with the arbitrary property of ϵ implies that $p(T^{n_0}x^*, x^*) = \theta$, and hence $T^{n_0}x^* = x^*$ by (p1) and (p3), *i.e.*, x^* is a fixed point of T^{n_0} . Let $x \in X$ be a fixed point of T^{n_0} , *i.e.*, $T^{n_0}x = x$. Note that $||L^{n_0}|| < 1$ by (4), then the inverse of $I - L^{n_0}$ exists, denote it by $(I - L^{n_0})^{-1}$. Moreover by Neumann's formula, $(I - L^{n_0})^{-1}(P) \subset P$. By (2), we have $p(x, x^*) = p(T^{n_0}x, T^{n_0}x^*) \leq L^{n_0}p(x, x^*)$, and hence $(I - L^{n_0})p(x, x^*) \leq \theta$. Act it with $(I - L^{n_0})^{-1}$, then $p(x, x^*) \leq \theta$. This implies that $p(x, x^*) = \theta$, and hence $x = x^*$ by (p1) and (p3). Hence x^* is the unique fixed point of T^{n_0} .

Note that x^* is a fixed point of T^{n_0} , then $T^{n_0}(Tx^*) = T(T^{n_0}x^*) = Tx^*$, *i.e.*, Tx^* is also a fixed point of T^{n_0} . By the uniqueness of fixed point of T^{n_0} , we have $Tx^* = x^*$, *i.e.*, x^* is also a fixed point of T. Let y be a fixed point of T. It is clear that y is also a fixed point of T^{n_0} , and so $y = x^*$ by the uniqueness of fixed point of T^{n_0} . Hence x^* is the unique fixed point of T. The proof is complete.

Remark 2 It is clear that Theorem 1 is exactly a special case of Theorem 2 with $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $P = \mathbb{R}^n_+$. Let Lu = cu for some constant $c \in [0, 1)$, then r(L) = c < 1, and so Theorem 1 of [1], Theorem 6 of [20] and Theorem 7 of [21] directly follow from Theorem 2. In addition, the normality of *P* necessarily assumed in [1, 9, 20, 21] has been removed in Theorem 2. Therefore, Theorem 2 indeed improves the corresponding results in [1, 9, 20, 21].

The following example shows the usability of Theorem 2.

Example 5 Let (X, p), *E* and *P* be the same ones as those in Example 2. Let $(Tx)(t) = (Lx)(t) \int_0^t x(s) ds$ for each $x \in X$, where $t \in [0, a]$, a > 0. Clearly, θ is the unique fixed point of *T*.

For each $x, y \in X$, $p(Tx, Ty) = \int_0^t x(s) ds = Lp(x, y)$ whenever x = y, and $p(Tx, Ty) = \int_0^t [x(s) + y(s)] ds = Lp(x, y)$ whenever $x \neq y$, *i.e.*, (2) is satisfied. It is clear that $(L^n x)(t) \leq \frac{t^n}{n!} \|x\|_{\infty}$ for each $t \in [0, a]$, and hence $\|L^n x\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{a^n}{n!} \|x\|_{\infty}$. Note that $(L^n x)'(t) = (L^{n-1}x)(t)$, then

$$\left\|L^{n}x\right\| = \left\|L^{n}x\right\|_{\infty} + \left\|\left(L^{n}x\right)'\right\|_{\infty} \le \left(\frac{a^{n}}{n!} + \frac{a^{n}}{(n-1)!}\right)\|x\|_{\infty} \le \left(\frac{a^{n}}{n!} + \frac{a^{n}}{(n-1)!}\right)\|x\|,$$

which implies that $||L^n|| \leq \frac{a^n}{n!} + \frac{a^n}{(n-1)!}$. Therefore by Gelfand's formula, $r(L) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{||L^n||} = 0$ since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{n!}} = 0$, and hence *T* has a unique fixed point in *X* by Theorem 2.

However, the existence of fixed point of *T* cannot derive from the fixed point results in [1-21, 23], since *P* is non-normal, and *p* is not a cone metric by Example 1 and Example 2, and there does not exist a constant $c \in [0, 1)$ such that $p(Tx, Ty) \leq cp(x, y)$.

Theorem 3 Let (X,p) be a θ -complete partial cone metric space over a solid cone P of a normed vector space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ and $T: X \to X$. If there exist four nonnegative constants c_1 , c_2 , c_3 and c_4 with $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + 2c_4 < 1$ such that

$$p(Tx, Ty) \le c_1 p(x, y) + c_2 p(x, Tx) + c_3 p(y, Ty) + c_4 [p(x, Ty) + p(y, Tx)], \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$
(8)

Then *T* has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$, and for each $x_0 \in X$, $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau_p} x^*$, where x_n is defined by (3).

Proof By (3), (8) and (p4),

$$p(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$= p(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$$

$$\leq c_1 p(x_{n-1}, x_n) + c_2 p(x_{n-1}, x_n) + c_3 p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + c_4 [p(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + p(x_n, x_n)]$$

$$\leq c_1 p(x_{n-1}, x_n) + c_2 p(x_{n-1}, x_n) + c_3 p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + c_4 [p(x_{n-1}, x_n) + p(x_n, x_{n+1})], \quad \forall n,$$

and so

$$p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq cp(x_{n-1}, x_n), \quad \forall n,$$

where $c = \frac{c_1 + c_2 + c_4}{1 - c_3 - c_4} < 1$ by $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + 2c_4 < 1$. Moreover by (p4),

$$p(x_n, x_m) \preceq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} p(x_i, x_{i+1}) \preceq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} c^i p(x_0, x_1) \preceq \frac{c^n p(x_0, x_1)}{1-c}, \quad \forall m > n.$$
(9)

Since c < 1, then $\xrightarrow{c^n p(x_0,x_1)}{1-c} \stackrel{\|\cdot\|}{\to} \theta$, and hence by Lemma 1, for each $\epsilon \in \operatorname{int} P$, there exists a positive integer n_1 such that $\xrightarrow{c^n p(x_0,x_1)}{1-c} \ll \epsilon$ for all $n \ge n_1$. Thus, by (9),

$$p(x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{c^n p(x_0, x_1)}{1-c} \ll \epsilon, \quad \forall m, n \geq n_1,$$

i.e., $\{x_n\}$ is a θ -Cauchy sequence. Therefore, by the θ -completeness of (X, p), there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau_p} x^*$ and $p(x^*, x^*) = \theta$, and so there exists a positive integer $n_2 \ge n_1$ such that

$$p(x_n, x^*) \ll \frac{(1 - c_2 - c_4)\epsilon}{2(1 + c_1 + 2c_4)}, \quad \forall n \ge n_2,$$
 (10)

and

$$p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ll \frac{(1 - c_2 - c_4)\epsilon}{2c_3}, \quad \forall n \ge n_2.$$
 (11)

By (p4) and (8),

$$p(Tx^*, x^*) \leq p(Tx^*, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x^*)$$

$$\leq c_1 p(x^*, x_n) + c_2 p(x^*, Tx^*) + c_3 p(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$+ c_4 [p(x^*, x_{n+1}) + p(x_n, Tx^*)] + p(x^*, x_{n+1})$$

$$\leq c_1 p(x^*, x_n) + c_2 p(x^*, Tx^*) + c_3 p(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$+ c_4 [p(x^*, x_{n+1}) + p(x_n, x^*) + p(x^*, Tx^*)] + p(x^*, x_{n+1})$$

$$= (c_1 + c_4) p(x^*, x_n) + (c_2 + c_4) p(x^*, Tx^*)$$

$$+ c_3 p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (1 + c_4) p(x^*, x_{n+1}), \quad \forall n,$$

and so

$$p(Tx^*, x^*) \leq \frac{(c_1 + c_4)p(x^*, x_n) + c_3p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (1 + c_4)p(x^*, x_{n+1})}{1 - c_2 - c_4}, \quad \forall n.$$

Then by (10) and (11),

$$p(Tx^*, x^*) \ll \epsilon, \quad \forall n \ge n_2,$$

which together with the arbitrary property of ϵ implies that $p(Tx^*, x^*) = \theta$, and so $Tx^* = x^*$ by (p1) and (p3). Let *x* be a fixed point of *T*. Then by (8) and (p3),

$$p(x^*, x) = p(Tx^*, Tx)$$

$$\leq c_1 p(x^*, x) + c_2 p(x^*, Tx^*) + c_3 p(x, Tx) + c_4 [p(x^*, Tx) + p(x, Tx^*)]$$

$$= (c_1 + 2c_4) p(x^*, x) + c_3 p(x, x) \leq (c_1 + c_3 + 2c_4) p(x^*, x).$$

This forces that $p(x^*, x) = \theta$ since $c_1 + c_3 + 2c_4 < 1$, and so $x = x^*$ by (p1) and (p3). Hence x^* is the unique fixed point of *T*. The proof is complete.

Remark 3 Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 of [1] are special cases of Theorem 3 in the setting of cone metric spaces with $c_1 = c_4 = 0$, $c_2 = c_3$ and $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$, respectively, and Theorem 7 of [20] and Theorem 8 of [21] are special cases of Theorem 3 with $c_1 = c_4 = 0$, $c_2 = c_3$. In addition, *P* is not necessarily normal in Theorem 3. Compared with the corresponding results of [20, 21], the partial cone metric space *X* is only assumed to be θ -complete, but not complete in Theorem 2 and Theorem 3.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors have contributed in obtaining the new results presented in this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Jiangxi University of Finance and Economics, Nanchang, 330013, China. ²School of Statistics, Jiangxi University of Finance and Economics, Nanchang, 330013, China.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (11161022), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (20114BAB211006, 20122BAB201015), the Educational Department of Jiangxi Province (GJJ12280, GJJ13297) and Program for Excellent Youth Talents of JXUFE (201201).

Received: 24 June 2013 Accepted: 9 September 2013 Published: 07 Nov 2013

References

- 1. Huang, LG, Zhang, X: Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332, 1468-1476 (2007)
- 2. Kantorovich, LV: The method of successive approximations for functional equations. Acta Math. 71, 63-77 (1939)
- 3. Kantorovich, LV: The majorant principle and Newton's method. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 76, 17-20 (1951)
- 4. Kantorovich, LV: On some further applications of the Newton approximation method. Vestn. Leningr. Univ., Mat. Meh. Astron. 12, 68-103 (1957)
- 5. Kirk, WA, Kang, BC: A fixed point theorem revisited. J. Korean Math. Soc. 34, 285-291 (1972)
- 6. Chung, KJ: Nonlinear contractions in abstract spaces. Kodai Math. J. 4, 288-292 (1981)
- 7. Krasnoseljski, MA, Zabreiko, PP: Geometrical Methods in Nonlinear Analysis. Springer, Berlin (1984)
- 8. Rus, IA, Petrusel, A, Petrusel, G: Fixed Point Theory. Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca (2008)
- 9. Agarwal, RP: Contraction and approximate contraction with an application to multi-point boundary value problems. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 9, 315-325 (1983)
- 10. Cakić, N, Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S, Razani, A: Common fixed point results in cone metric spaces for family of weakly compatible maps. Adv. Appl. Math. Sci. 1, 183-207 (2009)
- 11. Janković, S, Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S, Rhoades, BE: Assad-Kirk-type fixed point theorems for a pair of nonself mappings on cone metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2009**, Article ID 761086 (2009). doi:10.1155/2009/761086
- 12. Jungck, G, Radenović, S, Radojević, S, Rakočević, V: Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible pairs on cone metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2009**, Article ID 643840 (2009). doi:10.1155/2009/643840
- Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S, Rakoćević, V: Remarks on 'Quasi-contraction on a cone metric space'. Appl. Math. Lett. 22, 1674-1679 (2009)
- Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S, Rosić, B: Strict contractive conditions and common fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2009, Article ID 173838 (2009). doi:10.1155/2009/173838
- Radenović, S, Rhoades, BE: Fixed point theorem for two non-self mappings in cone metric spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 57, 1701-1707 (2009)
- 16. Janković, S, Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S: On cone metric spaces: a survey. Nonlinear Anal. 74, 2591-2601 (2011)
- 17. Du, W: A note on cone metric fixed point theory and its equivalence. Nonlinear Anal. 72, 2259-2261 (2010)
- Du, W: Nonlinear contractive conditions for coupled cone fixed point theorems. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010, Article ID 190606 (2010). doi:10.1155/2010/190606
- Matthews, SG: Partial metric topology, In: Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications. Ann. New York Acad. Sci., vol. 728, pp. 183-197 (1994)
- 20. Sonmez, A: Fixed point theorems in partial cone metric spaces (January 2011). arXiv:1101.2741v1
- 21. Sonmez, A: On partial cone metric space (July 2012). arXiv:1207.6766v1
- 22. Deimling, K: Nonlinear Functional Analysis. Springer, Berlin (1985)
- 23. Durmaz, G, Acar, O, Altun, I: Some fixed point results on weak partial metric spaces. Filomat 27(2), 319-328 (2013)

10.1186/1687-1812-2013-250

Cite this article as: Jiang and Li: Extensions of Banach contraction principle to partial cone metric spaces over a non-normal solid cone. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013, 2013:250

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at springeropen.com