# RESEARCH

# Fixed Point Theory and Applications a SpringerOpen Journal

**Open Access** 

# Convergence theorems for a generalized $\Phi$ -pseudo-contractive type mapping in real normal linear spaces

Chao Wang<sup>1\*</sup> and Li-wei Liu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: wangchaosx@126.com <sup>1</sup>College of Mathematics and statistics, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, 210044, P.R. China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

# Abstract

In this paper, we first give a new notion of generalized  $\Phi$ -pseudo-contractive type mapping, and then we consider some convergence theorems for a fixed point of the mapping. Our results improve and extend the corresponding results due to (Chidume and Chidume in J. Math. Anal. Appl. 302:545-554, 2005) and other papers.

**Keywords:** convergence theorems; generalized  $\Phi$ -pseudo-contractive type mappings; generalized  $\Phi$ -accretive type mappings; real normal linear spaces

# 1 Introduction and statement of results

Let *E* be a real normed linear space and  $E^*$  be its dual space. The normalized duality mapping  $J: E \to 2^{E^*}$  is defined by

$$Jx = \{ f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\| \cdot \|f\|, \|x\| = \|f\| \},\$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the generalized duality pairing.

**Definition 1.1** [1, 2] Let  $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  be a function for which  $\phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\forall r_0 > 0$ ,  $\lim \inf_{r \to r_0} \phi(r) > 0$ . A mapping  $T : D(T) \subset E \to E$  is called  $\phi$ -strongly accretive if for each  $x, y \in D(T)$ , there exists  $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$  such that

 $\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \ge \phi (\|x - y\|) \|x - y\|.$ 

We also say that  $T: D(T) \subset E \rightarrow E$  is  $\phi$ -strongly pseudo-contractive if I - T is  $\phi$ -strongly accretive.

**Definition 1.2** Let  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  be a function for which  $\Phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\forall r_0 > 0$ ,  $\lim \inf_{r \to r_0} \Phi(r) > 0$ . A mapping  $T : D(T) \subset E \to E$  is called generalized  $\Phi$ -accretive if there exists  $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$  such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \ge \Phi(||x - y||), \quad \forall x, y \in D(T).$$

We also say that  $T: D(T) \subset E \to E$  is generalized  $\Phi$ -pseudo-contractive if I - T is generalized  $\phi$ -accretive.

©2013 Wang and Liu; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



**Remark 1.3** Definition 1.1 and Definition 1.2 do not assume that  $\phi(r)$  ( $\Phi(r)$ ) is strictly increasing. Clearly,  $\phi$ -strongly accretive maps ( $\phi$ -strongly pseudo-contractive maps) are generalized by generalized  $\phi$ -accretive maps (generalized  $\Phi$ -pseudo-contractive maps) with  $\Phi(r) = r\phi(r)$ .

**Definition 1.4**  $T: D(T) \subset E \to E$  is called a generalized  $\Phi$ -accretive type mapping if there exists  $x^* \in D(T)$  such that for all  $x \in D(T)$ , there exists  $j(x - x^*) \in J(x - x^*)$  such that

$$\langle Tx - Tx^*, j(x - x^*) \rangle \ge \Phi(||x - x^*||),$$

where  $\Phi$  is as in Definition 1.2. T is called a generalized  $\Phi$ -pseudo-contractive type mapping if I - T is a generalized  $\Phi$ -accretive type mapping.

Recently, Chidume and Chidume proved the following theorems by using the conclusion that a uniformly continuous mapping on *K* is bounded.

**Theorem CC1** [3] Let *E* be a real normed linear space, *K* be a nonempty subset of *E* and  $T: K \to E$  be a uniformly continuous generalized  $\Phi$ -hemi-contractive mapping, i.e., there exist  $x^* \in K$  and a strictly increasing function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty), \Phi(0) = 0$  such that for all  $x \in K$ , there exists  $j(x - x^*) \in J(x - x^*)$  such that

$$\langle Tx - Tx^*, j(x - x^*) \rangle \le ||x - x^*||^2 - \Phi(||x - x^*||).$$

(a) If y\* ∈ K is a fixed point of T, then y\* = x\* and so T has at most one fixed point in K.
(b) Suppose that there exists x<sub>0</sub> ∈ K such that the sequence {x<sub>n</sub>} defined by

$$x_{n+1} = a_n x_n + b_n T x_n + c_n u_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$

is contained in K, where  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$  and  $\{c_n\}$  are real sequences in [0,1] satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $a_n + b_n + c_n = 1;$
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (b_n + c_n) = \infty;$
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (b_n + c_n)^2 < \infty;$
- (iv)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$ ; and  $\{u_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in K.

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x^*$ . In particular, if  $y^*$  is a fixed point of T in K, then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $y^*$ .

**Theorem CC2** [3] Let *E* be a real normed linear space,  $A : E \to E$  be a uniformly continuous generalized  $\Phi$ -quasi-contractive mapping, i.e., there exists  $x^* \in D(A)$  such that for all  $x \in E$ , there exist  $j(x-x^*) \in J(x-x^*)$  and a strictly increasing function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ ,  $\Phi(0) = 0$  such that

$$\langle Ax - Ax^*, j(x - x^*) \rangle \ge \Phi(||x - x^*||)$$

For arbitrary  $x_0 \in D(A)$ , define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  iteratively by

$$x_{n+1} = a_n x_n + b_n S x_n + c_n u_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$

where  $S: E \to E$  is defined by Sx := x - Ax for all  $x \in E$ ; and  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\}$  are real sequences in [0,1] satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $a_n + b_n + c_n = 1;$ (ii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (b_n + c_n) = \infty;$ (iii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (b_n + c_n)^2 < \infty;$ (iv)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n < \infty;$  and  $\{u_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in K. Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x^*$ .

**Remark 1.5** In Theorem CC1 and Theorem CC2, the condition that *K* is convex is needed. Since  $K \subset E$  is a nonempty subset without assuming that *K* is convex, then a uniformly continuous mapping *T* on *K* is not necessarily bounded. See the following example.

Let  $\{e_n\}$  be an orthonormal set of  $l^2$ ,  $K = \{x \in l^2 \mid x = te_n + (1 - t)e_{n+1}, t \in [0, 1]\}$ . Let  $T: K \to l^2$  be a mapping defined by

 $Tx = (n + t)e_n + (n + 1 - t)e_{n+1}$ , where  $x = te_n + (1 - t)e_{n+1} \in K$ .

Then T is uniformly continuous on a bounded and nonconvex set K. But T is not bounded.

*Proof* Clearly *K* is bounded and nonconvex. Let  $x_m, y_m \in K$  such that  $||x_m - y_m|| \to 0$   $(m \to \infty)$ . Then this implies that there exist  $n_0 \in N$  and  $t_m, t'_m \in [0,1]$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_m &= t_m e_{n_0} + (1 - t_m) e_{n_0 + 1}, \\ y_m &= t'_m e_{n_0} + (1 - t'_m) e_{n_0 + 1}, \\ \| t_m - t'_m \| &\to 0. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\|Tx_m - Ty_m\| = \|(n_0 + t_m)e_{n_0} + (n_0 + 1 - t_m)e_{n_0+1} - (n_0 + t'_m)e_{n_0} - (n_0 + 1 - t'_m)e_{n_0+1}\|$$
  
=  $|t_m - t'_m|\|e_{n_0} + e_{n_0+1}\|$   
=  $\sqrt{2}|t_m - t'_m| \to 0 \quad (m \to \infty).$ 

Hence T is uniformly continuous.

Let  $x \in K$ , then

$$\|Tx\| = \|(n+t)e_n + (n+1-t)e_{n+1}\|$$
$$= ((n+t)^2 + (n+1-t)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \to \infty \quad (n \to \infty).$$

This says that T is unbounded and completes the proof.

In 1999, Morales and Chidume proved the following theorem.

**Theorem MC** [1] Let *E* be a uniformly smooth Banach space, and let  $A : E \to E$  be a bounded demicontinuous  $\phi$ -strongly accretive mapping for some  $x_0 \in E$ ,  $\liminf_{r\to\infty} \phi(r) > ||Ax_0||$ . Let  $\{c_n\}$  be a real sequence in [0,1] satisfying the following conditions: (i)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n =$ 

 $\infty$ ; (ii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n b(c_n) < \infty$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - c_n A x_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$

Then there exists a constant  $r_0 > 0$  such that when  $c_n < r_0$  ( $\forall n \ge 0$ ), the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to the unique zero of A.

Inspired and motivated by these facts, we will give convergence theorems for a fixed point of the generalized  $\Phi$ -pseudo-contractive type mapping. Our result generalizes the corresponding results in [1–9].

## 2 Main results

Let  $F(T) = \{x \in K : Tx = x\}, N(A) = \{x \in D(A) : Ax = 0\}.$ 

We shall make use of the following well-known inequality.

Lemma 2.1 Let E be a real normed linear space. Then the following inequality holds:

 $||x + y||^2 \le ||x||^2 + 2\langle y, j(x + y) \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in E, \forall j(x + y) \in J(x + y).$ 

**Theorem 2.2** Let *E* be a real normed linear space, *K* be a nonempty subset of *E* and *T* :  $K \to E$  be a uniformly continuous generalized  $\Phi$ -pseudo-contractive type mapping, i.e., there exist  $x^* \in K$  and a function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ ,  $\Phi(0) = 0$  such that for all  $x \in K$ , there exists  $j(x - x^*) \in J(x - x^*)$  such that

$$\langle Tx - Tx^*, j(x - x^*) \rangle \le ||x - x^*||^2 - \Phi(||x - x^*||).$$
 (2.1)

(a) If  $y^* \in K$  is a fixed point of T, then  $y^* = x^*$  and so T has at most one fixed point in K. (b) Let the above  $x^* \in F(T)$ ,  $x_0 \in K$ ,  $Tx_0 \neq x_0$ ,  $x_0 \neq x^*$ . Suppose that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by

$$x_{n+1} = a_n x_n + b_n T x_n + c_n u_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$

$$(2.2)$$

is contained in K, where  $\{u_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in K and  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$ ,  $\{c_n\}$  are real sequences in [0,1] satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $a_n + b_n + c_n = 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (b_n + c_n) = \infty;$
- (iii)  $b_n + c_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (iv)  $c_n \leq b_n^2$ .

If  $\liminf_{r\to\infty} \frac{\Phi(r)}{1+r} > ||x_0 - Tx_0||$  and  $\{x_n - Tx_n\}$  is bounded, then there exists a constant  $d_0 > 0$  such that when  $0 < b_n + c_n \le d_0$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x^*$ .

*Proof* The proof of (a) is the same as the proof of Theorem CC1 [3].

(b) Define  $a = \sup\{r \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \frac{\Phi(r)}{1+r} \le ||x_0 - Tx_0||\}$ . Then, by  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $||x_0 - Tx_0|| > 0$ , we have a > 0. We show that  $a \ne \infty$ . If  $a = \infty$ , then there exists  $\{r_n\} \subset [0, \infty), r_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty, \frac{\Phi(r_n)}{1+r_n} \le ||x_0 - Tx_0||$ , and hence  $||x_0 - Tx_0|| < \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\Phi(r)}{1+r} \le ||x_0 - Tx_0||$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $a < \infty$ .

Let  $N^* = \sup_n \|u_n - x^*\|$  and  $M = \sup_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| + N^*$ . Since *T* is uniformly continuous on *K*, for  $\epsilon = \frac{\|x_0 - Tx_0\|}{6a}$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x, y \in K$  implies  $\|Tx - Ty\| < \epsilon$ .

Page 5 of 9

1 (

Let

$$d_0 = \frac{1}{2(a+M)} \min\left\{\delta, a, \frac{\|x_0 - Tx_0\|}{24a}\right\}.$$
 (2.3)

**Claim 1**  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, i.e.,

$$\|x_n - x^*\| \le 2a, \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$

$$(2.4)$$

We show this by induction. By (2.1),

$$\frac{\Phi(\|x_0-x^*\|)}{1+\|x_0-x^*\|} \le \|x_0-Tx_0\|.$$

Therefore,  $||x_0 - x^*|| \le a < 2a$ . Suppose  $||x_n - x^*|| \le 2a$ , we show that  $||x_{n+1} - x^*|| \le 2a$ . Suppose not, then  $||x_{n+1} - x^*|| > 2a > a$  and from the definition of *a*, we have

$$\frac{\Phi(\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|)}{1+\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|} > \|x_0-Tx_0\|,$$

and hence

$$\Phi(\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|) > \|x_0 - Tx_0\|.$$
(2.5)

Set  $\alpha_n = b_n + c_n$ . Then Eq. (2.2) becomes

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T x_n + c_n U_n,$$
(2.6)

where  $U_n = u_n - Tx_n$ . Observe that

$$\|U_n\| \le \|u_n - x^*\| + \|x_n - x^*\| + \|x_n - Tx_n\| \le 2a + M.$$
(2.7)

Furthermore,

$$\|x_{n+1} - x^*\| \le \|x_n - x^*\| + \alpha_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| + c_n \|U_n\|$$
  
$$\le 2a + d_0(2a + 2M) \le 3a.$$
(2.8)

Also,

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \le \alpha_n \{ \|x_n - Tx_n\| + \|U_n\| \}$$
  
$$\le \alpha_n (2a + 2M) < d_0 (2a + 2M) \le \delta,$$
(2.9)

so that  $||Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n|| < \epsilon$ . Using Lemma 2.1, (2.1), (2.3), (2.5), (2.7)-(2.9) and recursion formula (2.6), we now obtain the following estimates:

$$\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 = \|x_n - x^* - \alpha_n(x_n - Tx_n) + c_n U_n\|^2$$
  
 
$$\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \langle x_n - Tx_n, j(x_{n+1} - x^*) \rangle + 2c_n \|U_n\| \cdot \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|$$

$$\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \langle x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} - x_{n+1} + Tx_{n+1} + x_n - Tx_n, j(x_{n+1} - x^*) \rangle + 6c_n(2a + M)a \leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \Phi(\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|) + 2\alpha_n \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \cdot \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| + 2\alpha_n \|Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n\| \cdot \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| + 6\alpha_n^2(2a + M)a \leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \|x_0 - Tx_0\| + 2\alpha_n^2(2a + 2M) \cdot 3a + 2\alpha_n \cdot \frac{3a\|x_0 - Tx_0\|}{6a} + 6\alpha_n^2(2a + M)a \leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - \frac{\alpha_n}{2} \|x_0 - Tx_0\| < \|x_n - x^*\|^2,$$

and hence  $||x_{n+1} - x^*|| < 2a$ , a contraction. Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded.

**Claim 2**  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - x^*|| = 0.$ 

Suppose this is not true. Let  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - x^*|| = \sigma > 0$ . Then there exists an integer  $N_0$  such that

$$\|x_n - x^*\| \ge \frac{\sigma}{2}, \quad \forall n \ge N_0.$$
(2.10)

Since, for any  $r_0 > 0$ ,  $\liminf_{r \to r_0} \Phi(r) > 0$ , then  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \Phi(||x_n - x^*||) \triangleq \beta > 0$ . Hence there exists an integer  $N_1 > N_0$  such that

$$\Phi(\|x_n - x^*\|) \ge \frac{\beta}{2}, \quad \forall n \ge N_1.$$
(2.11)

Since  $\{x_n - Tx_n\}$ ,  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{x_n\}$  are bounded,

 $\|x_{n+1}-x_n\| \leq \alpha_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| + c_n \|u_n - Tx_n\| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$ 

Therefore, there exists an integer  $N_2 > N_1$  such that

$$||x_{n+1} - x_n|| < \frac{\beta}{16a}, \quad \forall n > N_2.$$
 (2.12)

Since *T* is uniformly continuous, then there exists an integer  $N_3 > N_2$  such that

$$||Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n|| < \frac{\beta}{16a}, \quad \forall n > N_3.$$
 (2.13)

Also, since  $\alpha_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , there exists an integer  $N_4 > N_3$  such that

$$\alpha_n < \frac{\beta}{16a(2a+M)}, \quad \forall n > N_4.$$
(2.14)

By Lemma and (2.11)-(2.14), we obtain the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \langle x_n - Tx_n, j(x_{n+1} - x^*) \rangle + 2c_n \langle U_n, j(x_{n+1} - x^*) \rangle \\ &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \Phi(\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|) + 2\alpha_n \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \cdot \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| \end{aligned}$$

$$+ 2\alpha_{n} \| Tx_{n+1} - Tx_{n} \| \cdot \| x_{n+1} - x^{*} \| + 2\alpha_{n}^{2}(2a + M) \| x_{n+1} - x^{*} \|$$

$$\leq \| x_{n} - x^{*} \|^{2} - 2\alpha_{n} \cdot \frac{\beta}{2} + 2\alpha_{n} \cdot \frac{\beta}{16a} \cdot 2a + 2\alpha_{n} \cdot \frac{\beta}{16a} \cdot 2a$$

$$+ 2\alpha_{n} \cdot \frac{\beta}{16a(2a + M)} \cdot (2a + M) \cdot 2a$$

$$= \| x_{n} - x^{*} \|^{2} - \frac{1}{4}\alpha_{n}\beta$$

$$(2.15)$$

for all  $n \ge N_4$ , and this implies  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n < \infty$ , a contraction to condition (ii) of Theorem 2.2. Hence Claim 2 holds.

Thus, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  such that  $x_{n_j} \to x^*$  as  $n \to \infty$ , *i.e.*, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some integer  $n_{j_0}$  such that  $||x_{n_{j_0}} - x^*|| < \epsilon$ .

**Claim 3**  $||x_{n_{i_0}+m} - x^*|| < \epsilon, m = 1, 2, \dots$ 

Let  $r_0 = \inf{\Phi(r) : r \ge \epsilon}$ , then  $r_0 > 0$ .

Since  $||x_{n+1} - x_n|| \to 0$ ,  $||Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n|| \to 0$  and  $\alpha_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then there exists an integer N > 0 such that for all  $n \ge N$ , the following inequalities hold:

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \le \frac{r_0}{16a},$$
  
$$\|Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n\| \le \frac{r_0}{16a}$$
  
$$\alpha_n < \frac{r_0}{4a(2a+M)}.$$

If  $||x_{n_{j_0}+1} - x^*|| \ge \epsilon$ , then  $\Phi(||x_{n_{j_0}+1} - x^*||) \ge r_0$ . Using recursion formula (2.15), we obtain the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n_{j_0}+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|x_{n_{j_0}} - x^*\|^2 - 2\alpha_n r_0 + 2\alpha_n \cdot \frac{r_0}{16a} \cdot 2a + 2\alpha_n \cdot \frac{r_0}{16a} \cdot 2a \\ &+ 2\alpha_n \cdot \frac{r_0}{4a(2a+M)} \cdot (2a+M) \cdot 2a \\ &= \|x_{n_{j_0}} - x^*\|^2 - \alpha_n r_0 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n r_0 \\ &= \|x_{n_{j_0}} - x^*\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n r_0 < \|x_{n_{j_0}} - x^*\|^2 < \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence Claim 3 holds for m = 1. Assume now that it holds for m = k. From the above argument, one easily proves that it holds for m = k + 1. Hence, Claim 3 holds. This shows that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x^*$  as  $n \to \infty$ , completing the proof of Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 2.3** Let *E* be a real normed linear space, and let  $A : D(A) \subset E \to E$  be a uniformly continuous generalized  $\Phi$ -accretive type mapping, i.e., there exists  $x^* \in N(A)$  such that for all  $x \in E$ , there exist  $j(x - x^*) \in J(x - x^*)$  and a function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty), \Phi(0) = 0$  such that

$$\langle Ax - Ax^*, j(x - x^*) \rangle \ge \Phi(||x - x^*||).$$

For arbitrary  $x_0 \in D(A)$ , define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  iteratively by

$$x_{n+1} = a_n x_n + b_n S x_n + c_n u_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$

where  $S: E \to E$  is defined by Sx := x - Ax for all  $x \in D(A)$ ; and  $\{u_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in E,  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$ ,  $\{c_n\}$  are real sequences in [0,1] satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $a_n + b_n + c_n = 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (b_n + c_n) = \infty;$
- (iii)  $b_n + c_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$ ;
- (iv)  $c_n \leq b_n^2$ .

If  $\liminf_{r\to\infty} \frac{\Phi(r)}{1+r} > ||Ax_0||$  and  $\{Ax_n\}$  is bounded, then there exists a constant  $d_0 > 0$  such that when  $0 < b_n + c_n \le d_0$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x^*$ .

*Proof* We simply observe that *S* is a uniformly continuous and generalized  $\Phi$ -pseudo-contractive type mapping of *D*(*A*) into *E*. The result can follow from Theorem 2.2.

**Remark 2.4** (1) Our theorems extend and improve Theorem CC1 and Theorem CC2 in the following ways:

- (i) Our theorems do not assume that  $\Phi(t)$  is a strictly increasing function.
- (ii) The conditions  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (b_n + c_n)^2 < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$  are replaced by  $b_n + c_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $c_n \le b_n^2$ , respectively. Our theorems enlarge the range of  $b_n$  and  $c_n$  values.
- (iii) We do not need the condition that *K* is convex. We added the condition that  $\{x_n Tx_n\}$  is bounded. It is readily seen that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x^*$  if and only if  $\{x_n Tx_n\}(\{Ax_n\})$  is bounded under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 (Theorem 2.3).

(2) Since the class of generalized  $\Phi$ -accretive maps (generalized  $\Phi$ -pseudo-contractive maps) includes the class of  $\phi$ -strongly accretive maps ( $\phi$ -strongly pseudo-contractive maps), our results unify and extend many known results. In particular, since  $\liminf_{r\to\infty} \phi(r) > ||Ax_0||$  in Theorem MC implies  $\liminf_{r\to\infty} \frac{\Phi(r)}{1+r} = \liminf_{r\to\infty} \frac{\phi(r)r}{1+r} = \liminf_{r\to\infty} \phi(r) > ||Ax_0||$ , our Theorem 2.3 extends Theorem MC from uniformly smooth Banach spaces to arbitrary normed linear spaces.

(3) Our results also improve and extend the corresponding results in [2, 4-9].

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>College of Mathematics and statistics, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, 210044, P.R. China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Nanchang University, Jiangxi, 330031, P.R. China.

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the editor and the referees for constructive and pertinent suggestions. One of authors (Chao Wang) was partially supported by the NSF of China (No. 11126290), University Science Research Project of Jiangsu Province (No. 13KSB110021) and Scholarship Award for Excellent Doctoral Student granted by Ministry of Education (No. 1390219098).

Received: 25 May 2013 Accepted: 28 October 2013 Published: 25 Nov 2013

### References

- Morales, CE, Chidume, CE: Convergence of the steepest descent method for accretive operators. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 127, 3677-3683 (1999)
- Jung, JS, Morales, CE: The Mann process for perturbed *m*-accretive operator in Banach spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 46, 231-243 (2001)
- 3. Chidume, CE, Chidume, CO: Convergence theorems for fixed points of uniformly continuous generalized *ф*-hemi-contractive mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **302**, 545-554 (2005)
- Chidume, CE, Zegeye, H: Approximation methods for nonlinear operator equations. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 131, 2467-2478 (2002)
- Gu, F: Convergence theorems for *φ*-pseudo-contractive type mapping in normed linear space. Northeast. Math. J. 17, 340-346 (2001)
- Xue, ZQ, Rafiq, A, Zhou, HY: On the convergence of multistep iteration for uniformly continuous Φ-hemicontractive mappings. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2012, Article ID 386983 (2012)
- Thakur, BS, Dewangan, R, Postolache, M: Strong convergence of new iteration process for a strongly continuous semigroup of asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. (2013). doi:10.1080/01630563.2013.808667
- 8. Yao, Y, Postolache, M: Iterative methods for pseudomonotone variational inequalities and fixed point problems. J. Optim. Theory Appl. **155**, 273-287 (2012)
- 9. Yao, Y, Postolache, M, Liou, YC: Coupling Ishikawa algorithms with hybrid techniques for pseudocontractive mappings. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2013**, 211 (2013) (Editorially accepted)

### 10.1186/1687-1812-2013-311

Cite this article as: Wang and Liu: Convergence theorems for a generalized  $\Phi$ -pseudo-contractive type mapping in real normal linear spaces. Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2013, 2013:311

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com