

CORRECTION

Open Access

Correction: Generalized metrics and Caristi's theorem

William A Kirk¹ and Naseer Shahzad^{2*}

*Correspondence:

nshahzad@kau.edu.sa

²Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80293, Jeddah, 21959, Saudi Arabia
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

The assertion in [1] that Caristi's theorem holds in generalized metric spaces is based, among other things, on the false assertion that if $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence in a generalized metric space (X, d) , and if $\{p_n\}$ satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d(p_i, p_{i+1}) < \infty$, then $\{p_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. In Example 1 below we give a counter-example to this assertion, and in Example 2 we show that, in fact, Caristi's theorem fails in such spaces. We apologize for any inconvenience.

For convenience we give the definition of a generalized metric space. The concept is due to Branciari [2].

Definition 1 Let X be a nonempty set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a mapping such that for all $x, y \in X$ and all distinct points $u, v \in X$, each distinct from x and y :

(i) $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$,

(ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,

(iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, u) + d(u, v) + d(v, y)$ (quadrilateral inequality).

Then X is called a *generalized metric space*.

The following example is a modification of Example 1 of [3].

Example 1 Let $X := \mathbb{N}$, and define the function $d : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by putting, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$:

$$d(n, n) := 0;$$

$$d(m, n) = d(n, m) := \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{if } m = n + 1;$$

$$d(m, n) = d(n, m) := 1 \quad \text{if } m - n \text{ is even};$$

$$d(m, n) = d(n, m) := \sum_{i=n}^m d(i, i + 1) \quad \text{if } m - n \text{ is odd.}$$

To see that (X, d) is a generalized metric space, suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$ and suppose $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ are distinct with each distinct from m and n . Also we assume $q > p$. We now show that

$$d(n, m) \leq d(n, p) + d(p, q) + d(q, m). \tag{Q}$$

If one of the three numbers $|n - p|$, $q - p$ or $|q - m|$ is even, then, since

$$d(n, m) \leq 1,$$

clearly (Q) holds. If all three numbers are odd, then, since $m - n = (m - q) + (q - p) + (p - n)$, $m - n$ is odd and

$$d(n, m) = \sum_{i=n}^m d(i, i + 1).$$

In this instance there are four cases to consider:

- (i) $n < p < q < m$,
- (ii) $p < n < q < m$,
- (iii) $n < p < m < q$,
- (iv) $p < n < m < q$.

If (i) holds then

$$\begin{aligned} d(n, m) &= \sum_{i=n}^m d(i, i + 1) \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^p d(i, i + 1) + \sum_{i=p}^q d(i, i + 1) + \sum_{i=q}^m d(i, i + 1) \\ &= d(n, p) + d(p, q) + d(q, m). \end{aligned}$$

In the other three cases

$$d(n, m) < d(n, p) + d(p, q) + d(q, m).$$

Therefore (X, d) is a generalized metric space. Now suppose $\{n_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d) . Then if $n_i \neq n_k$ and $d(n_i, n_k) < 1$, $|n_i - n_k|$ must be odd. However, if $\{n_k\}$ is infinite, $|n_i - n_k|$ cannot be odd for all sufficiently large i, k . (Suppose $n_i > n_j > n_k$. If $n_i - n_j$ and $n_j - n_k$ are odd, then $n_i - n_k$ is even.) Thus any Cauchy sequence in (X, d) must eventually be constant. It follows that (X, d) is complete and that $\{n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence in (X, d) . However, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d(i, i + 1) < \infty$.

Theorem 2 of [1] asserts that the analog of Caristi's theorem holds in a complete generalized metric space (X, d) . Thus a mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ in such a space should always have a fixed point if there exists a lower semicontinuous function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$d(x, f(x)) \leq \varphi(x) - \varphi(f(x)) \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

The following example shows this is not true in the space described in Example 1.

Example 2 Let (X, d) be the space of Example 1, let $f(n) = n + 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and define $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by setting $\varphi(n) = \frac{2}{n}$. Obviously f has no fixed points and, because the space is discrete, φ is continuous. On the other hand, f satisfies Caristi's condition:

$$\frac{1}{2^n} = d(n, f(n)) \leq \varphi(n) - \varphi(f(n)) = \frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{n + 1}.$$

To see this, observe that

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \leq \frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{n+1} = \frac{2}{n(n+1)}.$$

This is equivalent to the assertion that

$$2^{n+1} \geq n(n+1). \quad (C)$$

The proof is by induction. Clearly (C) holds if $n = 1$ or $n = 2$. Assume (C) holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{n+2} &= 2(2^{n+1}) \\ &\geq 2n(n+1) \\ &= (n+n)(n+1) \\ &\geq (n+1)(n+2). \end{aligned}$$

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA. ²Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80293, Jeddah, 21959, Saudi Arabia.

Received: 1 August 2014 Accepted: 1 August 2014 Published: 19 August 2014

References

1. Kirk, WA, Shahzad, N: Generalized metrics and Caristi's theorem. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 129 (2013)
2. Branciari, A: A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces. *Publ. Math. (Debr.)* **57**, 31-37 (2000)
3. Jachymski, J, Matkowski, J, Świątkowski, T: Nonlinear contractions on semimetric spaces. *J. Appl. Anal.* **1**(2), 125-134 (1995)

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2014-177

Cite this article as: Kirk and Shahzad: Correction: Generalized metrics and Caristi's theorem. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2014 **2014**:177.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com