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#### Abstract

In this paper, we construct an iterative process involving a hybrid pair of a finite family of generalized asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings and a finite family of generalized nonexpansive multi-valued mappings and prove weak and strong convergence theorems of the proposed iterative process in Banach spaces. Our main results extend and generalize many results in the literature.
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## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper we denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of all positive integers. Let $X$ be a Banach space and let $D$ be a nonempty subset of $X$. Let $C B(D)$ and $K C(D)$ denote the families of nonempty, closed, and bounded subsets and nonempty, compact, and convex subsets of $D$, respectively. The Hausdorff metric on $C B(D)$ is defined by

$$
H(A, B)=\max \left\{\sup _{x \in A} \operatorname{dist}(x, B), \sup _{y \in B} \operatorname{dist}(y, A)\right\} \quad \text { for } A, B \in C B(D),
$$

where $\operatorname{dist}(x, D)=\inf \{\|x-y\|: y \in D\}$ is the distance from a point $x$ to a subset $D$. Let $t$ be a single-valued mapping of $D$ into $D$ and $T$ be a multi-valued mapping of $D$ into $C B(D)$. The set of fixed points of $t$ and $T$ will be denoted by $F(t)=\{x \in D: x=t x\}$ and $F(T)=\{x \in D: x \in T x\}$, respectively. A point $x$ is called a common fixed point of $t$ and $T$ if $x=t x \in T x$.

Definition 1.1 A single-valued mapping $t: D \rightarrow D$ is said to be generalized asymptotically nonexpansive if there exist sequences $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ and $\left\{s_{n}\right\} \subset[0, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=1$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}=0$ such that

$$
\left\|t^{n} x-t^{n} y\right\| \leq k_{n}\|x-y\|+s_{n}
$$

for all $x, y \in D$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the case of $s_{n}=0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a single-valued mapping $t$ is called an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. In particular, if $k_{n}=1$ and $s_{n}=0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a single-valued mapping $t$ reduce to a nonexpansive mapping. The fixed point property for generalized asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings can be found in [1]. The following example shows that the fixed point set of a generalized asymptotically nonexpansive mapping is not necessarily closed; see also [2].

Example 1.2 ([1]) Define a single-valued mapping $t:\left[-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right] \rightarrow\left[-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$ by

$$
t x= \begin{cases}x, & \text { if } x \in\left[-\frac{2}{3}, 0\right) \\ \frac{16}{81}, & \text { if } x=0 \\ x^{4}, & \text { if } x \in\left(0, \frac{2}{3}\right]\end{cases}
$$

Then $t$ is generalized asymptotically nonexpansive and $F(t)=\left[-\frac{2}{3}, 0\right)$ which is not closed.

Definition 1.3 A multi-valued mapping $T: D \rightarrow C B(D)$ is said to be
(i) nonexpansive if $H(T x, T y) \leq\|x-y\|$, for all $x, y \in D$;
(ii) quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $H(T x, T p) \leq\|x-p\|$, for all $x \in D$ and $p \in F(T)$.

The study of fixed points for nonexpansive multi-valued mappings using the Hausdorff metric was initiated by Markin [3]. Different iterative processes have been used to approximate fixed points of nonexpansive and quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued mappings; in particular, Sastry and Babu [4] considered Mann and Ishikawa iterates for a multi-valued mapping $T$ with a fixed point $p$ and proved that these iterates converge to a fixed point $q$ of $T$ under certain conditions. Moreover, they illustrated that the fixed point $q$ may be different from $p$. Later in 2007, Panyanak [5] generalized results of Sastry and Babu [4] to uniformly convex Banach spaces and proved a convergence theorem of Mann iterates for a mapping defined on a noncompact domain. In 2009, Shahzad and Zegeye [6] proved strong convergence theorems for the Ishikawa iteration scheme involving quasinonexpansive multi-valued mappings. They constructed an iterative process which removes the restriction of $T$, namely end-point condition, i.e., $T p=\{p\}$ for any $p \in F(T)$; see also [7, 8].

In 2011, Garcia-Falset et al. [9] introduced a new condition on single-valued mappings, called condition $(E)$, which is weaker than nonexpansiveness. Later, Abkar and Eslamian [10] used a modified condition for multi-valued mappings as follows.

Definition 1.4 A multi-valued mapping $T: D \rightarrow C B(D)$ is said to satisfy condition $\left(E_{\mu}\right)$ where $\mu \geq 0$ if for each $x, y \in D$,

$$
\operatorname{dist}(x, T y) \leq \mu \operatorname{dist}(x, T x)+\|x-y\| .
$$

We say that $T$ satisfies condition $(E)$ whenever $T$ satisfies $\left(E_{\mu}\right)$ for some $\mu \geq 1$.

Remark 1.5 From the above definitions, it is clear that if $T$ is nonexpansive, then $T$ satisfies the condition $\left(E_{1}\right)$.

In 2011, Sokhuma and Kaewkhao [11] introduced the following iterative process for approximating a common fixed point of a pair of a nonexpansive single-valued mapping $t$ and a nonexpansive multi-valued mapping $T$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} z_{n}  \tag{1.1}\\
x_{n+1}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} t y_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x_{1} \in D, z_{n} \in T x_{n}$, and $0<a \leq \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n} \leq b<1$. They also proved a strong convergence theorem for the iterative process (1.1) in uniformly convex Banach spaces.
In 2013, Eslamian [12] extended the results of [11, 13] in uniformly convex Banach spaces. He used the following iterative process for a pair of a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ and a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multivalued mapping $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\beta_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)} z_{n}^{(i)},  \tag{1.2}\\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} t_{i}^{n} y_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x_{1} \in D, z_{n}^{(i)} \in T_{i} x_{n}$, and $\left\{\alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}=\sum_{i=0}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}=1$.

In this paper, motivated by the above results, we propose an iterative process for approximating a common fixed point of a pair of a finite family of generalized asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings and a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued mappings and prove weak and strong convergence theorems of the proposed iterative process in Banach spaces.

## 2 Preliminaries

A Banach space $X$ is called uniformly convex if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there is a $\delta>0$ such that for $x, y \in X$ with $\|x\| \leq 1,\|y\| \leq 1$, and $\|x-y\| \geq \varepsilon,\|x+y\| \leq 2(1-\delta)$ holds. The following result was proved by Xu [14].

## Proposition 2.1 Let $X$ be a uniformly convex Banach space and let $r>0$. Then there exists

 a strictly increasing, continuous, and convexfunction $g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $g(0)=0$ such that$$
\|\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y\|^{2} \leq \lambda\|x\|^{2}+(1-\lambda)\|y\|^{2}-\lambda(1-\lambda) g(\|x-y\|)
$$

for all $x, y \in B_{r}=\{z \in X:\|z\| \leq r\}$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$.

A Banach space $X$ is said to satisfy the Opial property (see [15]) if it is given that whenever $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $x \in X$,

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|<\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|
$$

for each $y \in X$ with $y \neq x$. The examples of Banach spaces which satisfy the Opial property are Hilbert spaces and all $L^{p}[0,2 \pi]$ with $1<p \neq 2$ fail to satisfy the Opial property.
The following results are needed for proving our results.

Definition 2.2 (see [2]) Let $F$ be a nonempty subset of a Banach space $X$ and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$. We say that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is of monotone type (I) with respect to $F$ if there exist sequences $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ of nonnegative real numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}<\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{n}<\infty$, and $\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \leq\left(1+\delta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\varepsilon_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in F$.

Proposition 2.3 (see [2]) Let $F$ be a nonempty subset of a Banach space $X$ and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is of monotone type $(I)$ with respect to $F$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=p$ for some $p \in X$ satisfying $\operatorname{dist}(p, F)=0$. In particular, if $F$ is closed, then $p \in F$.

Lemma 2.4 (see [16]) Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{b_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ be sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfy

$$
a_{n+1} \leq\left(1+c_{n}\right) a_{n}+b_{n}, \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}<\infty$. Then:
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$ exists.
(ii) If $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$.

Lemma 2.5 (see [17]) Let $X$ be a uniformly convex Banach space, let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $0<a \leq \lambda_{n} \leq b<1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be sequences of $X$ satisfying, for some $r \geq 0$,
(i) $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq r$,
(ii) $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}\right\| \leq r$ and
(iii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\lambda_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) y_{n}\right\|=r$.

Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$.

Lemma 2.6 (see [18]) Let X be a Banach space which satisfies the Opial property and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$. Let $u, v \in X$ be such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u\right\|$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-v\right\|$ exist. If $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ are subsequences of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which converge weakly to $u$ and $v$, respectively, then $u=v$ 。

## 3 Main results

In this section, we prove weak and strong convergence theorems of the proposed iterative process in Banach spaces. We first note that if $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is a finite family of generalized asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings of $D$ into itself, where $D$ is a nonempty convex subset of a Banach space $X$. Then we have $\left\|t_{i}^{n} x-t_{i}^{n} y\right\| \leq k_{n}^{(i)}\|x-y\|+s_{n}^{(i)}$, for all $x, y \in D$ and all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, where $\left\{k_{n}^{(i)}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ and $\left\{s_{n}^{(i)}\right\} \subset[0, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}^{(i)}=1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}^{(i)}=0$. Put $k_{n}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq N}\left\{k_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ and $s_{n}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq N}\left\{s_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$. It is clear that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=$ 1 and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}=0$ and

$$
\left\|t_{i}^{n} x-t_{i}^{n} y\right\| \leq k_{n}\|x-y\|+s_{n}
$$

for all $x, y \in D, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
In order to prove our main results, the following lemma is needed.

Lemma 3.1 Let D be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a Banach space X. Let $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of generalized asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings of $D$ into itself with sequences $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ and $\left\{s_{n}\right\} \subset[0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{n}<\infty$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued mappings of $D$ into $C B(D)$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(t_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is nonempty closed and $T_{i} p=\{p\}$ for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Let $x_{1} \in D$ and the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\beta_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)} z_{n}^{(i)}, \quad z_{n}^{(i)} \in T_{i} x_{n}, \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} t_{i}^{n} y_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}=1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}=1$. Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof Let $p \in \mathcal{F}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| & \leq \alpha_{n}^{(0)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\left\|t_{i}^{n} y_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}^{(0)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\left(k_{n}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n}\right) \\
& =\alpha_{n}^{(0)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}^{(0)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}^{(0)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\left(\beta_{n}^{(0)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}\left\|z_{n}^{(i)}-p\right\|\right)+s_{n} \\
& =\left(\alpha_{n}^{(0)}+k_{n} \beta_{n}^{(0)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}\left\|z_{n}^{(i)}-p\right\|+s_{n} \\
& =\left(\alpha_{n}^{(0)}+k_{n} \beta_{n}^{(0)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)} d i s t\left(z_{n}^{(i)}, T_{i} p\right)+s_{n} \\
& \leq\left(\alpha_{n}^{(0)}+k_{n} \beta_{n}^{(0)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)} H\left(T_{i} x_{n}, T_{i} p\right)+s_{n} \\
& \leq\left(\alpha_{n}^{(0)}+k_{n} \beta_{n}^{(0)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n} \\
= & \left(k_{n}^{(0)}+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n} \\
= & \left.\left(k_{n}-1\right)\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.4, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{n}<\infty$, we conclude that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 3.2 Let D be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a Banach space X. Let $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of generalized asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings of $D$ into itself with sequences $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ and $\left\{s_{n}\right\} \subset[0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{n}<\infty$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued mappings of $D$ into $C B(D)$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(t_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is nonempty closed and $T_{i} p=\{p\}$ for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Let $x_{1} \in D$ and the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\beta_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)} z_{n}^{(i)}, \quad z_{n}^{(i)} \in T_{i} x_{n}, \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} t_{i}^{n} y_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}=1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}=1$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in $\mathcal{F}$ if and only if $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n}, \mathcal{F}\right)=0$.

Proof The necessity is obvious and thus we prove only the sufficiency. Suppose that $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n}, \mathcal{F}\right)=0$. In the proof of Lemma 3.1, we see that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is of monotone type (I) with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. It follows by Proposition 2.3 that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to a point in $\mathcal{F}$.

The closedness of $\mathcal{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(t_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ can be dropped if $t_{i}$ is asymptotically nonexpansive for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Then the following corollary is obtained directly from Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.3 Let $D$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a Banach space X. Let $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings of $D$ into itself with a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued mappings of $D$ into $C B(D)$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(t_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is nonempty and $T_{i} p=\{p\}$ for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Let $x_{1} \in D$ and the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\beta_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)} z_{n}^{(i)}, \quad z_{n}^{(i)} \in T_{i} x_{n}, \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} t_{i}^{n} y_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}=1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}=1$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in $\mathcal{F}$ if and only if $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n}, \mathcal{F}\right)=0$.

Recall that a mapping $t: D \rightarrow D$ is called uniformly L-Lipschitzian if there exists a constant $L>0$ such that $\left\|t^{n} x-t^{n} y\right\| \leq L\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in D$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, we prove a strong convergence theorem in a uniformly convex Banach space.

Lemma 3.4 Let D be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space $X$. Let $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of uniformly L-Lipschitzian and generalized asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings of $D$ into itself with sequences $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ and $\left\{s_{n}\right\} \subset[0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{n}<\infty$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued mappings of $D$ into $C B(D)$. Assume that
$\mathcal{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(t_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is nonempty and $T_{i} p=\{p\}$ for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Let $x_{1} \in D$ and the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\beta_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)} z_{n}^{(i)}, \quad z_{n}^{(i)} \in T_{i} x_{n}, \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} t_{i}^{n} y_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ such that $0<a \leq \alpha_{n}^{(i)}, \beta_{n}^{(i)} \leq$ $b<1, \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}=1$, and $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}=1$. Then we have the following:
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}^{(i)}\right\|=0$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$;
(ii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-t_{i} x_{n}\right\|=0$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$.

Proof (i) By Lemma 3.1, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists. Put $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=c$. By the definition of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|t_{i}^{n} y_{n}-p\right\| & \leq k_{n}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n} \\
& \leq k_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{(0)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}\left\|z_{n}^{(i)}-p\right\|\right)+s_{n} \\
& =k_{n} \beta_{n}^{(0)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}\left\|z_{n}^{(i)}-p\right\|+s_{n} \\
& =k_{n} \beta_{n}^{(0)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)} \operatorname{dist}\left(z_{n}^{(i)}, T_{i} p\right)+s_{n} \\
& \leq k_{n} \beta_{n}^{(0)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)} H\left(T_{i} x_{n}, T_{i} p\right)+s_{n} \\
& \leq k_{n} \beta_{n}^{(0)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n} \\
& =k_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{(0)}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n} \\
& =k_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{i}^{n} y_{n}-p\right\| \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n}\right) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n}\right) .
$$

By $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{i}^{n} y_{n}-p\right\| \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=c \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\alpha_{n}^{(0)}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\left(t_{i}^{n} y_{n}-p\right)\right\|$, it follows by Lemma 2.5 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-t_{i}^{n} y_{n}\right\|=0 \quad \text { for all } i=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| & \leq \alpha_{n}^{(0)}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\left\|t_{i}^{n} y_{n}-p\right\| \\
& =\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\left\|t_{i}^{n} y_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\left(k_{n}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|-\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\left(k_{n}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|-\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n}\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|-\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|}{b N}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| & \leq \frac{\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|-\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \leq k_{n}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|-\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|}{b N}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right) \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+s_{n}\right) \\
& =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
c=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\beta_{n}^{(0)}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}\left(z_{n}^{(i)}-p\right)\right\| .
$$

Since

$$
\left\|z_{n}^{(i)}-p\right\|=\operatorname{dist}\left(z_{n}^{(i)}, T_{i} p\right) \leq H\left(T_{i} x_{n}, T_{i} p\right) \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|,
$$

it implies that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}^{(i)}-p\right\| \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=c
$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}^{(i)}\right\|=0 \quad \text { for all } i=1,2, \ldots, N
$$

(ii) Since $t_{i}$ is generalized asymptotically nonexpansive, for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, we get

$$
\left\|t_{i}^{n} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|t_{i}^{n} x_{n}-t_{i}^{n} y_{n}\right\|+\left\|t_{i}^{n} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \leq k_{n}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|+s_{n}+\left\|t_{i}^{n} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| .
$$

By the definition of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, we have $y_{n}-x_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}\left(z_{n}^{(i)}-x_{n}\right)$. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|t_{i}^{n} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\| & \leq k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}\left\|z_{n}^{(i)}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|t_{i}^{n} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|+s_{n} \\
& \leq k_{n}\left\|z_{n}^{(i)}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|t_{i}^{n} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|+s_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by (i) and (3.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-t_{i}^{n} x_{n}\right\|=0 \quad \text { for all } i=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-t_{i} x_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-t_{i}^{n+1} x_{n+1}\right\|+\left\|t_{i}^{n+1} x_{n+1}-t_{i}^{n+1} x_{n}\right\|+\left\|t_{i}^{n+1} x_{n}-t_{i} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq(1+L)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-t_{i}^{n+1} x_{n+1}\right\|+L\left\|t_{i}^{n} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq(1+L) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}\left\|x_{n}-t_{i}^{n} y_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-t_{i}^{n+1} x_{n+1}\right\|+L\left\|t_{i}^{n} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.2) and (3.3), we conclude that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-t_{i} x_{n}\right\|=0$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$.
Theorem 3.5 Let $D$ be a nonempty, compact, and convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X. Let $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of uniformly L-Lipschitzian and generalized asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings of $D$ into itself with sequences $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset$ $[1, \infty)$ and $\left\{s_{n}\right\} \subset[0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{n}<\infty$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued mappings of $D$ into $C B(D)$ satisfying condition (E). Assume that $\mathcal{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(t_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is nonempty and $T_{i} p=\{p\}$ for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Let $x_{1} \in D$ and the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\beta_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)} z_{n}^{(i)}, \quad z_{n}^{(i)} \in T_{i} x_{n}, \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} t_{i}^{n} y_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ such that $0<a \leq \alpha_{n}^{(i)}, \beta_{n}^{(i)} \leq$ $b<1, \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}=1$, and $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}=1$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof By Lemma 3.1, we have $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Since $D$ is compact, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converging strongly to $p \in D$. By condition $(E)$, there exists $\mu \geq 1$ such that for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}\left(p, T_{i} p\right) & \leq\left\|p-x_{n_{j}}\right\|+\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n_{j}}, T_{i} p\right) \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\|+\mu \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n_{j}}, T_{i} x_{n_{j}}\right)+\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\|+\mu \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n_{j}}, T_{i} x_{n_{j}}\right) \\
& \leq 2\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\|+\mu\left\|x_{n_{j}}-z_{n_{j}}^{(i)}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by Lemma 3.4(i), we have $p \in T_{i} p$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. So $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.
Since $t_{i}$ is uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian, for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|t_{i} p-p\right\| & \leq\left\|t_{i} p-t_{i} x_{n_{j}}\right\|+\left\|t_{i} x_{n_{j}}-x_{n_{j}}\right\|+\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\| \\
& \leq(L+1)\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\|+\left\|t_{i} x_{n_{j}}-x_{n_{j}}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.4(ii), it implies that $t_{i} p=p$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Thus, $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(t_{i}\right)$. Therefore, $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists, we get $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\|=0$. This shows that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in $\mathcal{F}$.

Next, we give a numerical example to support Theorem 3.5.

Example 3.6 Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the real line with the usual norm $|\cdot|$ and let $D=[0,3]$. Define two single-valued mappings $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ on $D$ as follows:

$$
t_{1} x=\sin x, \quad t_{2} x=x .
$$

Also we define two multi-valued mappings $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ on $D$ as follows:

$$
T_{1} x=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[0, \frac{x}{3}\right],} & x \neq 3 ; \\
\{1\}, & x=3 ;
\end{array} \quad T_{2} x=\left[\frac{x}{4}, \frac{x}{2}\right] .\right.
$$

Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\beta_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{2} \beta_{n}^{(i)} z_{n}^{(i)}, \quad z_{n}^{(i)} \in T_{i} x_{n},  \tag{3.4}\\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} t_{i}^{n} y_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\alpha_{n}^{(0)}=\frac{3 n+4}{10 n}, \alpha_{n}^{(1)}=\frac{2 n-1}{5 n}, \alpha_{n}^{(2)}=\frac{3 n-2}{10 n}, \beta_{n}^{(0)}=\frac{15 n+7}{60 n}, \beta_{n}^{(1)}=\frac{5 n-1}{20 n}, \beta_{n}^{(2)}=\frac{15 n-2}{30 n}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to 0 , where $\{0\}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{2} F\left(t_{i}\right) \cap$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{2} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.

Solution It is shown in [19] that both $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are generalized asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings. Moreover, they are uniformly L-Lipschitzian mappings and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{2} F\left(t_{i}\right)=\{0\}$. It is easy to see that both $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued mappings satisfying condition $(E)$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{2} F\left(T_{i}\right)=\{0\}$. Thus, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{2} F\left(t_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{2} F\left(T_{i}\right)=\{0\}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_{n}^{(0)}=\frac{3 n+4}{10 n}, \alpha_{n}^{(1)}=\frac{2 n-1}{5 n}, \alpha_{n}^{(2)}=\frac{3 n-2}{10 n}, \beta_{n}^{(0)}=\frac{15 n+7}{60 n}, \beta_{n}^{(1)}=\frac{5 n-1}{20 n}, \beta_{n}^{(2)}=\frac{15 n-2}{30 n}$. Then the sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}^{(0)}\right\},\left\{\alpha_{n}^{(1)}\right\},\left\{\alpha_{n}^{(2)}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}^{(0)}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}^{(1)}\right\}$, and $\left\{\beta_{n}^{(2)}\right\}$ satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 3.5. Put $z_{n}^{(1)}=\frac{x_{n}}{2}$ and $z_{n}^{(2)}=\frac{x_{n}}{3}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the algorithm (3.4) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\left(\frac{13}{24}+\frac{5}{72 n}\right) x_{n},  \tag{3.5}\\
x_{n+1}=\left(\frac{37}{80}+\frac{5}{16 n}-\frac{1}{72 n^{2}}\right) x_{n}+\left(\frac{2 n-1}{5 n}\right) t_{1}^{n} y_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using the algorithm (3.5) with the initial point $x_{1}=2.5$, we have numerical results in Table 1.

Table 1 The values of the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in Example 3.6

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2.5000000 | 1.5277778 |
| 2 | 2.1025927 | 1.2119111 |
| 3 | 1.5352877 | 0.8671533 |
| 4 | 1.0799923 | 0.6037457 |
| 5 | 0.7544605 | 0.4191447 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 21 | 0.0023377 | 0.0012740 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 38 | 0.0000040 | 0.0000022 |
| 39 | 0.0000027 | 0.0000015 |
| 40 | 0.0000019 | 0.0000010 |
| 41 | 0.0000013 | 0.0000007 |
| 42 | 0.0000009 | 0.0000005 |

Finally, we prove a weak convergence theorem in uniformly convex Banach spaces.

Theorem 3.7 Let $D$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space $X$ with the Opial property. Let $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of uniformly LLipschitzian and generalized asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings of $D$ into itself with sequences $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ and $\left\{s_{n}\right\} \subset[0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{n}<\infty$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued mappings of $D$ into $K C(D)$ satisfying the condition $(E)$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(t_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is nonempty and $T_{i} p=\{p\}$ for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Let $x_{1} \in D$ and the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\beta_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)} z_{n}^{(i)}, \quad z_{n}^{(i)} \in T_{i} x_{n}, \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n}^{(0)} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)} t_{i}^{n} y_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ such that $0<a \leq \alpha_{n}^{(i)}, \beta_{n}^{(i)} \leq$ $b<1, \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{n}^{(i)}=1$, and $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \beta_{n}^{(i)}=1$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to a point in $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof By Lemma 3.1, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Since $X$ is uniformly convex, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converging weakly to $p \in D$. By Lemma 3.4, we have $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \| x_{n_{j}}-$ $z_{n_{j}}^{(i)} \|=0$ and $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-t_{i} x_{n_{j}}\right\|=0$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. We will show that $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $T_{1} p$ is compact, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we can choose $w_{n_{j}} \in T p$ such that $\left\|x_{n_{j}}-w_{n_{j}}\right\|=\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n_{j}}, T_{1} p\right)$ and the sequence $\left\{w_{n_{j}}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\left\{w_{n_{k}}\right\}$ with $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} w_{n_{k}}=w \in T_{1} p$. By condition ( $E$ ), we have

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n_{k}}, T_{1} p\right) \leq \mu \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n_{k}}, T_{1} x_{n_{k}}\right)+\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p\right\| .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n_{k}}-w\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n_{k}}-w_{n_{k}}\right\|+\left\|w_{n_{k}}-w\right\| \\
& =\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n_{k}}, T_{1} p\right)+\left\|w_{n_{k}}-w\right\| \\
& \leq \mu \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n_{k}}, T_{1} x_{n_{k}}\right)+\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p\right\|+\left\|w_{n_{k}}-w\right\| \\
& \leq \mu\left\|x_{n_{k}}-z_{n_{k}}^{(i)}\right\|+\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p\right\|+\left\|w_{n_{k}}-w\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-w\right\| \leq \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p\right\| .
$$

From the Opial property, we have $p=w \in T_{1} p$. Similarly, it can be shown that $p \in T_{i} p$ for all $i=2, \ldots, N$. Thus, $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.
Next, by mathematical induction, we can prove that, for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-t_{i}^{m} x_{n_{j}}\right\|=0 \quad \text { for each } m \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, it is obvious that the conclusion it true for $m=1$. Suppose the conclusion holds for $m \geq 1$. Since $t_{i}$ is uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n_{j}}-t_{i}^{m+1} x_{n_{j}}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n_{j}}-t_{i}^{m} x_{n_{j}}\right\|+\left\|t_{i}^{m} x_{n_{j}}-t_{i}^{m+1} x_{n_{j}}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n_{j}}-t_{i}^{m} x_{n_{j}}\right\|+L\left\|x_{n_{j}}-t_{i} x_{n_{j}}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-t_{i}^{m+1} x_{n_{j}}\right\|=0$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Hence, (3.6) holds.
From (3.6), we have for each $x \in D, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-x\right\|=\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{i}^{m} x_{n_{j}}-x\right\| \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $t_{i}$ is generalized asymptotically nonexpansive, we get

$$
\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{i}^{m} x_{n}-t_{i}^{m} p\right\| \leq \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{m}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\|+s_{m}\right)
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{i}^{m} x_{n_{j}}-t_{i}^{m} p\right\|\right) \leq \underset{j \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\| \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n_{j}}-\frac{p+t_{i}^{m} p}{2}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n_{j}}-p\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n_{j}}-t_{i}^{m} p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-t_{i}^{m} p\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4} g\left(\left\|p-t_{i}^{m} p\right\|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-\frac{p+t_{i}^{m} p}{2}\right\|^{2} \leq & \frac{1}{2} \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-t_{i}^{m} p\right\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{4} g\left(\left\|p-t_{i}^{m} p\right\|\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By the Opial property and $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ converging weakly to $p$, we obtain

$$
\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\|^{2} \leq \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-\frac{p+t_{i}^{m} p}{2}\right\|^{2} .
$$

Then, by (3.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left\|p-t^{m} p\right\|\right) \leq 2 \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-t_{i}^{m} p\right\|^{2}-2 \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\|^{2} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It implies by (3.7), (3.8), and (3.10) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} g\left(\left\|p-t_{i}^{m} p\right\|\right) & \leq 2 \limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-t_{i}^{m} p\right\|^{2}\right)-2 \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} g\left(\left\|p-t_{i}^{m} p\right\|\right)=0$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Then the properties of $g$ yield $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p-t_{i}^{m} p\right\|=0$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|t_{i} p-p\right\| & \leq\left\|t_{i} p-t_{i}^{m+1} p\right\|+\left\|t_{i}^{m+1} p-p\right\| \\
& \leq L\left\|p-t_{i}^{m} p\right\|+\left\|t_{i}^{m+1} p-p\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $t_{i} p=p$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Thus, $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(t_{i}\right)$.
Hence, we obtain $p \in \mathcal{F}$.
Finally, we show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $p$. To show this, suppose not. Then there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{l}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\left\{x_{n_{l}}\right\}$ converges weakly to $q \in D$ and $q \neq p$. By the same method as given above, we can prove that $q \in \mathcal{F}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|$ exist. It follows by Lemma 2.6 that $q=p$. Thus, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to a point in $\mathcal{F}$.

Remark 3.8 Theorem 3.5 extends and generalizes the results of Sokhuma and Kaewkhao [11] to a pair of a finite family of generalized asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings and a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued mappings satisfying condition $(E)$. Theorems 3.5 and 3.7 extend and generalize the results of Eslamian [12] and Eslamian and Abkar [13] to a pair of a finite family of generalized asymptotically nonexpansive single-valued mappings and a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued mappings satisfying condition $(E)$.
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