

RESEARCH

Open Access



Sharp geometrical properties of a -rarefied sets via fixed point index for the Schrödinger operator equations

Zhiqiang Li¹ and Beatriz Ychussie^{2*}

*Correspondence: ychussie.b@gmail.com
²Mathematics Institute, Roskilde University, Roskilde, 4000, Denmark
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we use the theory of fixed point index for the Schrödinger operator equations to obtain a geometrical property of a -rarefied sets at infinity on cones. Meanwhile, we give an example to show that the reverse of this property is not true.

Keywords: Schrödinger operator equations; rarefied set; Poisson-Sch integral; Green-Sch potential

1 Introduction and main theorem

Let \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{R}_+ be the set of all real numbers and the set of all positive real numbers, respectively. We denote by \mathbf{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) the n -dimensional Euclidean space. A point in \mathbf{R}^n is denoted by $P = (X, x_n)$, $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$. The Euclidean distance between two points P and Q in \mathbf{R}^n is denoted by $|P - Q|$. Also $|P - O|$ with the origin O of \mathbf{R}^n is simply denoted by $|P|$. The boundary and the closure of a set S in \mathbf{R}^n are denoted by ∂S and \bar{S} , respectively. For $P \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, let $B(P, r)$ denote the open ball with center at P and radius r in \mathbf{R}^n .

We introduce a system of spherical coordinates (r, Θ) , $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$, in \mathbf{R}^n which are related to Cartesian coordinates $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n)$ by $x_n = r \cos \theta_1$.

Let D be an arbitrary domain in \mathbf{R}^n and \mathcal{A}_a denote the class of nonnegative radial potentials $a(P)$, i.e. $0 \leq a(P) = a(r)$, $P = (r, \Theta) \in D$, such that $a \in L_{\text{loc}}^b(D)$ with some $b > n/2$ if $n \geq 4$ and with $b = 2$ if $n = 2$ or $n = 3$.

If $a \in \mathcal{A}_a$, then the Schrödinger operator

$$Sch_a = -\Delta + a(P)I = 0,$$

where Δ is the Laplace operator and I is the identical operator, can be extended in the usual way from the space $C_0^\infty(D)$ to an essentially self-adjoint operator on $L^2(D)$ (see [1], Chapter 11). We will denote it by Sch_a as well. This last one has a Green-Sch function $G_D^a(P, Q)$. Here $G_D^a(P, Q)$ is positive on D and its inner normal derivative $\partial G_D^a(P, Q)/\partial n_Q \geq 0$, where $\partial/\partial n_Q$ denotes the differentiation at Q along the inward normal into D .

We call a function $u \not\equiv -\infty$ that is upper semi-continuous in D a subfunction with respect to the Schrödinger operator Sch_a if its values belong to the interval $[-\infty, \infty)$ and at

each point $P \in D$ with $0 < r < r(P)$ the generalized mean-value inequality (see [2])

$$u(P) \leq \int_{\partial B(P,r)} u(Q) \frac{\partial G_{B(P,r)}^a(P, Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\sigma(Q)$$

is satisfied, where $G_{B(P,r)}^a(P, Q)$ is the Green-Sch function of Sch_a in $B(P, r)$ and $d\sigma(Q)$ is a surface measure on the sphere $\partial B(P, r)$.

If $-u$ is a subfunction, then we call u a superfunction. If a function u is both subfunction and superfunction, it is, clearly, continuous and is called a generalized harmonic function (with respect to the Schrödinger operator Sch_a).

The unit sphere and the upper half unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^n are denoted by \mathbf{S}^{n-1} and \mathbf{S}_+^{n-1} , respectively. For simplicity, a point $(1, \Theta)$ on \mathbf{S}^{n-1} and the set $\{\Theta; (1, \Theta) \in \Omega\}$ for a set $\Omega, \Omega \subset \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$, are often identified with Θ and Ω , respectively. For two sets $\Xi \subset \mathbf{R}_+$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$, the set $\{(r, \Theta) \in \mathbf{R}^n; r \in \Xi, (1, \Theta) \in \Omega\}$ in \mathbf{R}^n is simply denoted by $\Xi \times \Omega$. By $C_n(\Omega)$, we denote the set $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \Omega$ in \mathbf{R}^n with the domain Ω on \mathbf{S}^{n-1} . We call it a cone. We denote the set $I \times \Omega$ with an interval on \mathbf{R} by $C_n(\Omega; I)$.

We shall say that a set $H \subset C_n(\Omega)$ has a covering $\{r_j, R_j\}$ if there exists a sequence of balls $\{B_j\}$ with centers in $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $H \subset \bigcup_{j=0}^\infty B_j$, where r_j is the radius of B_j and R_j is the distance from the origin to the center of B_j . For positive functions h_1 and h_2 , we say that $h_1 \lesssim h_2$ if $h_1 \leq Mh_2$ for some constant $M > 0$. If $h_1 \lesssim h_2$ and $h_2 \lesssim h_1$, we say that $h_1 \approx h_2$.

From now on, we always assume $D = C_n(\Omega)$. For the sake of brevity, we shall write $G_\Omega^a(P, Q)$ instead of $G_{C_n(\Omega)}^a(P, Q)$. Throughout this paper, let c denote various positive constants, because we do not need to specify them. Moreover, ϵ appearing in the expression in the following all sections will be a sufficiently small positive number.

Let Ω be a domain on \mathbf{S}^{n-1} with smooth boundary. Consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_n + \lambda)\varphi &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega, \\ \varphi &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where Δ_n is the spherical part of the Laplace operator Δ_n :

$$\Delta_n = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\Delta_n}{r^2}.$$

We denote the least positive eigenvalue of this boundary value problem by λ and the normalized positive eigenfunction corresponding to λ by $\varphi(\Theta)$. In order to ensure the existence of λ and a smooth $\varphi(\Theta)$. We put a rather strong assumption on Ω : if $n \geq 3$, then Ω is a $C^{2,\alpha}$ -domain ($0 < \alpha < 1$) on \mathbf{S}^{n-1} surrounded by a finite number of mutually disjoint closed hypersurfaces.

Solutions of an ordinary differential equation

$$-Q''(r) - \frac{n-1}{r} Q'(r) + \left(\frac{\lambda}{r^2} + a(r)\right) Q(r) = 0, \quad 0 < r < \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

It is well known (see, for example, [3]) that if the potential $a \in \mathcal{A}_a$, then (1.1) has a fundamental system of positive solutions $\{V, W\}$ such that V and W are increasing and decreasing, respectively (see [4–7]).

We will also consider the class \mathcal{B}_a , consisting of the potentials $a \in \mathcal{A}_a$ such that there exists the finite limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 a(r) = k \in [0, \infty)$, and moreover, $r^{-1}|r^2 a(r) - k| \in L(1, \infty)$. If $a \in \mathcal{B}_a$, then the (sub)superfunctions are continuous (see [8]).

In the rest of paper, we assume that $a \in \mathcal{B}_a$ and we shall suppress this assumption for simplicity.

Denote

$$l_k^\pm = \frac{2 - n \pm \sqrt{(n - 2)^2 + 4(k + \lambda)}}{2},$$

then the solutions to (1.1) have the asymptotics (see [9])

$$V(r) \approx r^{l_k^+}, \quad W(r) \approx r^{l_k^-}, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

Let ν be any positive measure on cones such that the Green-Sch potential

$$G_\Omega^a \nu(P) = \int_{C_n(\Omega)} G_\Omega^a(P, Q) d\nu(Q) \neq +\infty$$

for any $P \in C_n(\Omega)$. Then the positive measure ν' on \mathbf{R}^n is defined by

$$d\nu'(Q) = \begin{cases} W(t)\varphi(\Phi) d\nu(Q), & Q = (t, \Phi) \in C_n(\Omega; (1, +\infty)), \\ 0, & Q \in \mathbf{R}^n - C_n(\Omega; (1, +\infty)). \end{cases}$$

The Poisson-Sch integral $PI_\Omega^a \mu(P) \neq +\infty$ ($P \in C_n(\Omega)$) of μ on cones is defined as follows:

$$PI_\Omega^a \mu(P) = \frac{1}{c_n} \int_{S_n(\Omega)} PI_\Omega^a(P, Q) d\mu(Q),$$

where

$$PI_\Omega^a(P, Q) = \frac{\partial \varphi_\Omega^a(P, Q)}{\partial n_Q}, \quad c_n = \begin{cases} 2\pi, & n = 2, \\ (n - 2)s_n, & n \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

μ a positive measure on $\partial C_n(\Omega)$ and $\partial/\partial n_Q$ denotes the differentiation at Q along the inward normal into cones. Then the positive measure μ' on \mathbf{R}^n is defined by

$$d\mu'(Q) = \begin{cases} t^{-1} W(t) \frac{\partial \varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi} d\mu(Q), & Q = (t, \Phi) \in S_n(\Omega; (1, +\infty)), \\ 0, & Q \in \mathbf{R}^n - S_n(\Omega; (1, +\infty)). \end{cases}$$

Remark We remark that the total masses of μ' and ν' are finite (see [2], Lemma 5 and [6], Lemma 4).

Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq n$ and λ be any positive measure on \mathbf{R}^n having finite total mass. For each $P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbf{R}^n - \{O\}$, the maximal function $M(P; \lambda, \alpha)$ with respect to Sch_a is defined by

$$M(P; \lambda, \alpha) = \sup_{0 < \rho < \frac{r}{2}} \lambda(B(P, \rho)) V(\rho) W(\rho) \rho^{\alpha-2}.$$

The set

$$\{P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbf{R}^n - \{O\}; M(P; \lambda, \alpha) V^{-1}(r) W^{-1}(r) r^{2-\alpha} > \epsilon\}$$

is denoted by $E(\epsilon; \lambda, \alpha)$.

The following Theorems A and B give a way to estimate the Green-Sch potential and the Poisson-Sch integrals with measures on $C_n(\Omega)$ and $S_n(\Omega)$, respectively.

Theorem A *Let ν be a positive measure on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $G_\Omega^\alpha \nu(P) \neq +\infty$ ($P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)$) holds. Then for a sufficiently large L we have*

$$\{P \in C_n(\Omega; (L, +\infty)); G_\Omega^\alpha \nu(P) \geq V(r)\} \subset E(\epsilon; \mu', 1).$$

Theorem B *Let μ be a positive measure on $S_n(\Omega)$ such that $PI_\Omega^\alpha \mu(P) \neq +\infty$ ($P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)$). Then for a sufficiently large L we have*

$$\{P \in C_n(\Omega; (L, +\infty)); PI_\Omega^\alpha \mu(P) \geq V(r)\} \subset E(\epsilon; \mu', 1)$$

It is known that the Martin boundary of $C_n(\Omega)$ is the set $\partial C_n(\Omega) \cup \{\infty\}$, each of which is a minimal Martin boundary point. For $P \in C_n(\Omega)$ and $Q \in \partial C_n(\Omega) \cup \{\infty\}$, the Martin kernel can be defined by $M_\Omega^\alpha(P, Q)$. If the reference point P is chosen suitably, then we have

$$M_\Omega^\alpha(P, \infty) = V(r)\varphi(\Theta) \quad \text{and} \quad M_\Omega^\alpha(P, Q) = cW(r)\varphi(\Theta)$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)$.

In [7, 10], Xue and Zhao-Yamada introduce the notations of a -thin (with respect to the Schrödinger operator Sch_a) at a point and a -rarefied sets at infinity (with respect to the Schrödinger operator Sch_a), which generalized the earlier notations obtained by Miyamoto, Hoshino and Holot (see [11–14]).

Definition 1 (see [7]) A set H in \mathbf{R}^n is said to be a -thin at a point Q if there is a fine neighborhood E of Q which does not intersect $H \setminus \{Q\}$. Otherwise H is said to be not a -thin at Q on cones.

Definition 2 (see [10]) A subset H of $C_n(\Omega)$ is said to be a -rarefied at infinity on cones, if there exists a positive superfunction $\nu(P)$ on cones such that

$$\inf_{P \in C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\nu(P)}{M_\Omega^\alpha(P, \infty)} \equiv 0 \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$H \subset \{P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega); \nu(P) \geq V(r)\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Let H be a bounded subset of $C_n(\Omega)$. Then $\hat{R}_{M_\Omega^\alpha(\cdot, \infty)}^H$ is bounded on cones and the greatest generalized harmonic minorant of $\hat{R}_{M_\Omega^\alpha(\cdot, \infty)}^H$ is zero. We see from the Riesz decomposition

theorem (see [6], Theorem 2) that there exists a unique positive measure λ_H^a on cones such that (see [7], p.6)

$$\hat{R}_{M_{\Omega}^a(P, \infty)}^H(P) = G_{\Omega}^a \lambda_H^a(P)$$

for any $P \in C_n(\Omega)$ and λ_H^a is concentrated on I_H , where

$$I_H = \{P \in C_n(\Omega); H \text{ is not } a\text{-thin at } P\}.$$

We denote the total mass $\lambda_H^a(C_n(\Omega))$ of λ_H^a by $\lambda_{\Omega}^a(H)$.

Recently, GX Xue (see [7], Theorem 2.5) gave a criterion for a subset H of $C_n(\Omega)$ to be a -rarefied set at infinity.

Theorem C *A subset H of $C_n(\Omega)$ is a -rarefied at infinity on cones if and only if*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} W(2^j) \lambda_{H_j}^a(C_n(\Omega)) < \infty,$$

where $H_j = H \cap C_n(\Omega; [2^j, 2^{j+1}))$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Our aim in this paper is to characterize the geometrical property of a -rarefied sets at infinity.

Theorem 1 *If a subset H of $C_n(\Omega)$ is a -rarefied at infinity on cones, then H has a covering $\{r_j, R_j\}$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) satisfying*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r_j}{R_j}\right) \frac{V(R_j) W(R_j)}{V(r_j) W(r_j)} < \infty. \tag{1.5}$$

Next, we immediately have the following result from Theorem 1.

Corollary 1 *Let $v(P)$ be a positive superfunction on cones. Then $v(P)V^{-1}(r)$ uniformly converges to $c_{\infty}(v, a)\varphi(\ominus)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ outside a set which has a covering $\{r_j, R_j\}$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) satisfying (1.5), where*

$$c_{\infty}(v, a) = \inf_{P \in C_n(\Omega)} \frac{v(P)}{M_{\Omega}^a(P, \infty)}.$$

Finally, we prove the following result.

Theorem 2 *If a subset H of $C_n(\Omega)$ has a covering $\{r_j, R_j\}$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) satisfying (1.5), then it is possible that H is not a -rarefied at infinity on cones.*

2 Main lemmas

Lemma 1 *Let λ be any positive measure on \mathbf{R}^n having finite total mass. Then $E(\epsilon; \lambda, 1)$ has a covering $\{r_j, R_j\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) satisfying*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r_j}{R_j}\right) \frac{V(R_j) W(R_j)}{V(r_j) W(r_j)} < \infty.$$

Proof Set

$$E_j(\epsilon; \lambda, 1) = \{P = (r, \Theta) \in E(\epsilon; \lambda, 1) : 2^j \leq r < 2^{j+1}\} \quad (j = 2, 3, 4, \dots).$$

If $P = (r, \Theta) \in E_j(\epsilon; \lambda, 1)$, then there exists a positive number $\rho(P)$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\rho(P)}{r}\right) \frac{V(r)W(R)}{V(\rho(P))W(\rho(P))} \approx \left(\frac{\rho(P)}{r}\right)^{n-1} \leq \frac{\lambda(B(P, \rho(P)))}{\epsilon}.$$

Since $E_j(\epsilon; \lambda, 1)$ can be covered by the union of a family of balls $\{B(P_{j,i}, \rho_{j,i}) : P_{j,i} \in E_k(\epsilon; \lambda, 1)\}$ ($\rho_{j,i} = \rho(P_{j,i})$). By the Vitali lemma (see [15]), there exists $\Lambda_j \subset E(\epsilon; \lambda, 1)$, which is at most countable, such that $\{B(P_{j,i}, \rho_{j,i}) : P_{j,i} \in \Lambda_j\}$ are disjoint and $E_j(\epsilon; \lambda, 1) \subset \bigcup_{P_{j,i} \in \Lambda_j} B(P_{j,i}, 5\rho_{j,i})$. So

$$\bigcup_{j=2}^{\infty} E_j(\epsilon; \lambda, 1) \subset \bigcup_{j=2}^{\infty} \bigcup_{P_{j,i} \in \Lambda_j} B(P_{j,i}, 5\rho_{j,i}).$$

On the other hand, note that

$$\bigcup_{P_{j,i} \in \Lambda_j} B(P_{j,i}, \rho_{j,i}) \subset \{P = (r, \Theta) : 2^{j-1} \leq r < 2^{j+2}\},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{P_{j,i} \in \Lambda_j} \left(\frac{5\rho_{j,i}}{|P_{j,i}|}\right) \frac{V(|P_{j,i}|)W(|P_{j,i}|)}{V(\rho_{j,i})W(\rho_{j,i})} &\approx \sum_{P_{j,i} \in \Lambda_j} \left(\frac{5\rho_{j,i}}{|P_{j,i}|}\right)^{n-1} \\ &\leq 5^{n-1} \sum_{P_{j,i} \in \Lambda_j} \frac{\lambda(B(P_{j,i}, \rho_{j,i}))}{\epsilon} \\ &\leq \frac{5^{n-1}}{\epsilon} \lambda(C_n(\Omega; [2^{j-1}, 2^{j+2}])). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{P_{j,i} \in \Lambda_j} \left(\frac{\rho_{j,i}}{|P_{j,i}|}\right) \frac{V(|P_{j,i}|)W(|P_{j,i}|)}{V(\rho_{j,i})W(\rho_{j,i})} &\approx \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{P_{j,i} \in \Lambda_j} \left(\frac{\rho_{j,i}}{|P_{j,i}|}\right)^{n-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(C_n(\Omega; [2^{j-1}, 2^{j+2}]))}{\epsilon} \\ &\leq \frac{3\lambda(\mathbf{R}^n)}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $E(\epsilon; \lambda, 1) \cap \{P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbf{R}^n; r \geq 4\} = \bigcup_{j=2}^{\infty} E_j(\epsilon; \lambda, 1)$. Then $E(\epsilon; \lambda, 1)$ is finally covered by a sequence of balls $\{B(P_{j,i}, \rho_{j,i}), B(P_1, 6)\}$ ($j = 2, 3, \dots; i = 1, 2, \dots$) satisfying

$$\sum_{j,i} \left(\frac{\rho_{j,i}}{|P_{j,i}|}\right) \frac{V(|P_{j,i}|)W(|P_{j,i}|)}{V(\rho_{j,i})W(\rho_{j,i})} \approx \sum_{j,i} \left(\frac{\rho_{j,i}}{|P_{j,i}|}\right)^{n-1} \leq \frac{3\lambda(\mathbf{R}^n)}{\epsilon} + 6^{n-\alpha} < +\infty,$$

where $B(P_1, 6)$ ($P_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^n$) is the ball which covers $\{P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbf{R}^n; r < 4\}$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Since H is a -rarefied at infinity on cones, by Definition 2 there exists a positive superfunction $v(P)$ on cones such that (1.3) and (1.4) hold.

For this $v(P)$ there exists a unique positive measure μ'' on $S_n(\Omega)$ and a unique positive measure v'' on cones such that (see [2], Theorem 3)

$$v(P) = c_0(v, a)M_\Omega^a(P, O) + G_\Omega^a v''(P) + PI_\Omega^a \mu''(P), \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$c_0(v, a) = \inf_{P \in C_n(\Omega)} \frac{v(P)}{M_\Omega^a(P, O)}.$$

Let us denote

$$H_1 = \left\{ P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega); c_0(v, a)M_\Omega^a(P, O) \geq \frac{V(r)}{3} \right\},$$

$$H_2 = \left\{ P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega); G_\Omega^a v''(P) \geq \frac{V(r)}{3} \right\}$$

and

$$H_3 = \left\{ P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega); PI_\Omega^a \mu''(P) \geq \frac{V(r)}{3} \right\},$$

respectively.

Then we see from (1.4) that

$$H \subset H_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3. \tag{3.2}$$

For each H_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) we know that it has a covering. It is evident from the boundedness of H_1 that H_1 has a covering $\{r_1, R_1\}$ satisfying

$$\frac{r_1}{R_1} < +\infty. \tag{3.3}$$

When we apply Theorems A and B with the measures μ and ν defined by $\mu = 3\mu''$ and $\nu = 3v''$, respectively, we can find two positive constants L and ϵ such that

$$H_2 \cap C_n(\Omega; (L, +\infty)) \subset E(\epsilon; \mu', 1)$$

and

$$H_3 \cap C_n(\Omega; (L, +\infty)) \subset E(\epsilon; \nu', 1),$$

respectively.

By Lemma 1, these sets $E(\epsilon; \mu', 1)$ and $E(\epsilon; \nu', 1)$ have coverings $\{r_j^{(2)}, R_j^{(2)}\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\{r_j^{(3)}, R_j^{(3)}\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r_j^{(2)}}{R_j^{(2)}} \right) \frac{V(R_j^{(2)})W(R_j^{(2)})}{V(r_j^{(2)})W(r_j^{(2)})} < +\infty \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r_j^{(3)}}{R_j^{(3)}} \right) \frac{V(R_j^{(3)}) W(R_j^{(3)})}{V(r_j^{(3)}) W(r_j^{(3)})} < +\infty, \tag{3.5}$$

respectively.

Then H_2 and H_3 also have coverings $\{r_j^{(2)}, R_j^{(2)}\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\{r_j^{(3)}, R_j^{(3)}\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) satisfying (3.4) and (3.5), respectively.

Thus by rearranging coverings $\{r_1, R_1\}$, $\{r_j^{(2)}, R_j^{(2)}\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\{r_j^{(3)}, R_j^{(3)}\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$), we know that the set H has a covering $\{r_j, R_j\}$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) from (3.2) and satisfies (1.5) from (3.3), (3.4), and (3.5).

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

4 Proof of Theorem 2

Put

$$r_j = 3 \cdot 2^{j-1} \cdot j^{\frac{1}{2-n}} \quad \text{and} \quad R_j = 3 \cdot 2^{j-1} \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

A covering $\{r_j, R_j\}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r_j}{R_j} \right) \frac{V(R_j)}{V(r_j)} \frac{W(R_j)}{W(r_j)} \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r_j}{R_j} \right)^{n-1} = c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} < +\infty$$

from (1.2).

Let $C_n(\Omega')$ be a subset of $C_n(\Omega)$, i.e. $\Omega' \subset \Omega$. Suppose that this covering is so located: there is an integer j_0 such that $B_j \subset C_n(\Omega')$ and $R_j > 2r_j$ for $j \geq j_0$.

Next we shall prove that the set $H = \bigcup_{j=j_0}^{\infty} B_j$ is not a -rarefied at infinity on $C_n(\Omega)$. Since $\varphi(\Theta) \geq c$ for any $\Theta \in \Omega'$, we have $M_{\Omega}^a(P, \infty) \geq cV(R_j)$ for any $P \in \bar{B}_j$, where $j \geq j_0$. Hence we have

$$\hat{R}_{M_{\Omega}^a}^{B_j}(P) \geq cV(R_j) \tag{4.1}$$

for any $P \in B_j$, where $j \geq j_0$.

Take a measure δ on cones, $\text{supp } \delta \subset \bar{B}_j$, $\delta(\bar{B}_j) = 1$ such that

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)} |P - Q|^{2-n} d\delta(P) = \{\text{Cap}(\bar{B}_j)\}^{-1} \tag{4.2}$$

for any $Q \in \bar{B}_j$, where Cap denotes the Newton capacity. Since

$$G_{\Omega}^a(P, Q) \leq |P - Q|^{2-n}$$

for any $P \in C_n(\Omega)$ and $Q \in C_n(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{Cap}(\bar{B}_j)\}^{-1} \lambda_{B_j}^a(C_n(\Omega)) &= \int \left(\int |P - Q|^{2-n} d\delta(P) \right) d\lambda_{B_j}^a(Q) \\ &\geq \int \left(\int G_{\Omega}^a(P, Q) d\lambda_{B_j}^a(Q) \right) d\delta(P) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int \hat{R}_{M_{\Omega}^{\alpha}(\cdot, \infty)}^{B_j} d\delta(P) \\
&\geq cV(R_j)\delta(\bar{B}_j) = cV(R_j)
\end{aligned}$$

from (4.1) and (4.2). Hence we have (see [5], p.1517)

$$\lambda_{B_j}^a(C_n(\Omega)) \geq c \text{Cap}(\bar{B}_j)V(R_j) \geq cr_j^{n-2}V(R_j). \quad (4.3)$$

If we observe $\lambda_{H_j}^a(C_n(\Omega)) = \lambda_{B_j}^a(C_n(\Omega))$, then we have by (1.2)

$$\sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} W(2^j)\lambda_{H_j}^a(C_n(\Omega)) \geq c \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r_j}{R_j}\right)^{n-2} = c \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} = +\infty,$$

from which it follows by Theorem C that H is not a -rarefied at infinity on cones.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Both authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Institute of Management Science and Engineering, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475001, China. ²Mathematics Institute, Roskilde University, Roskilde, 4000, Denmark.

Acknowledgements

This work was completed while the second author was visiting the Department of Mathematical Sciences at the Columbia University, and he is grateful for the kind hospitality of the Department. This work was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-0913205.

Received: 23 January 2015 Accepted: 28 May 2015 Published online: 16 June 2015

References

- Levin, B, Kheyfets, A: Asymptotic behavior of subfunctions of time-independent Schrödinger operator. In: Some Topics on Value Distribution and Differentiability in Complex and P-Adic Analysis, Chapter 11, pp. 323-397. Science Press, Beijing (2008)
- Qiao, L, Ren, YD: Integral representations for the solutions of infinite order of the stationary Schrödinger equation in a cone. *Monatshefte Math.* **173**(4), 593-603 (2014)
- Verzhbitskiy, GM, Maz'ya, VG: Asymptotic behavior of solutions of elliptic equations of the second order close to a boundary. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math.* **12**, 874-899 (1971)
- Qiao, L, Zhao, Y: Boundary limits for fractional Poisson a -extensions of L^p boundary function in a cone. *Pac. J. Math.* **272**(1), 221-236 (2014)
- Qiao, L, Pan, GS: Integral representations of generalized harmonic functions. *Taiwan. J. Math.* **17**(5), 1503-1521 (2013)
- Qiao, L, Pan, GS: Generalization of the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorems for subfunctions. *Int. J. Math.* **24**(8), 1350062 (2013)
- Xue, GX: A remark on the a -minimally thin sets associated with the Schrödinger operator. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2014**, 133 (2014)
- Simon, B: Schrödinger semigroups. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **7**, 447-526 (1982)
- Gilbarg, D, Trudinger, NS: *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Springer, Berlin (1977)
- Zhao, T, Yamada, AJ: Growth properties of Green-Sch potentials at infinity. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2014**, 245 (2014)
- Brelot, M: *On Topologies and Boundaries in Potential Theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 175. Springer, Berlin (1971)
- Miyamoto, I, Yoshida, H: On harmonic majorization of the Martin function at infinity in a cone. *Czechoslov. Math. J.* **55**(130)(4), 1041-1054 (2005)
- Miyamoto, I, Yoshida, H: On a -minimally thin sets at infinity in a cone. *Hiroshima Math. J.* **37**(1), 61-80 (2007)
- Yoshida, H: Harmonic majorant of a radial subharmonic function on a strip and their applications. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **30**(2), 259-286 (2006)
- Stein, EM: *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1970)