

RESEARCH

Open Access



Multidimensional common fixed point theorems under probabilistic φ -contractive conditions in multidimensional Menger probabilistic metric spaces

Chuanxi Zhu, Zhe Wei*, Zhaoqi Wu and Wenqing Xu

*Correspondence:
thewayweizhe@163.com
Department of Mathematics,
Nanchang University, Nanchang,
330031, P.R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the new concepts of multidimensional Menger probabilistic metric spaces and related fixed point for a pair of mappings $T: X \times X \times \cdots \times X \rightarrow X$ and $A: X \rightarrow X$. Utilizing the properties of the related triangular

$\underbrace{}_n$ norm and the compatibility of A with T , some multidimensional common fixed point problems of hybrid probabilistic contractions with a gauge function φ are studied. The obtained results generalize some coupled and triple common fixed point theorems in the corresponding literature. Finally, an example is given to illustrate our main results.

Keywords: multidimensional Menger probabilistic metric space; fixed point; hybrid probabilistic contractions; compatible

1 Introduction

Coupled fixed points were studied first by Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [1]. Since then, some new results on the existence and uniqueness of coupled fixed points have been presented in partially ordered metric spaces, cone metric spaces, and fuzzy metric spaces [2–5]. The concept of a probabilistic metric space was initiated and studied by Menger, which is a generalization of the metric space [6]. Many results for the existence of fixed points or solutions of nonlinear equations under various types of conditions in Menger probabilistic spaces (briefly, *PM*-spaces) have been extensively considered by many scholars [7–22]. In 2010, Jachymski established a fixed point theorem for φ -contractions and gave a characterization of a function φ having the property that there exists a probabilistic φ -contraction, which is not a probabilistic k -contraction ($k \in [0, 1)$) [23]. In 2011, Xiao *et al.* obtained some common coupled fixed point results for hybrid probabilistic contractions with a gauge function φ in Menger probabilistic metric spaces without assuming any continuity or monotonicity conditions for φ [24]. In 2014, Luo *et al.* introduced the concept of generalized Menger probabilistic metric spaces and obtained some tripled common fixed point results with a gauge function φ with the same properties in generalized Menger probabilistic metric spaces [25].

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the new concepts of multidimensional Menger probabilistic metric spaces and a related fixed point for a pair of mappings $T: \underbrace{X \times X \times \cdots \times X}_n \rightarrow X$ and $A: X \rightarrow X$. Utilizing the properties of the related triangular norm and the compatibility of A with T , some multidimensional common fixed point problems of hybrid probabilistic contractions with a gauge function φ are studied. The obtained results generalize some coupled and triple common fixed point theorems in the corresponding literature. Finally, an example is given to illustrate our main results.

2 Preliminaries

Denote by n any given positive integer which is not smaller than 2, Λ_n the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, X^n the product $\underbrace{X \times X \times \cdots \times X}_n$, \mathbb{R} the set of the real numbers, \mathbb{R}^+ the set of the non-negative real numbers, and \mathbb{Z}^+ the set of all positive integers. A mapping $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is called a distribution function if it is nondecreasing left-continuous with $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t) = 1$ and $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t) = 0$.

We will denote by \mathcal{D} the set of all distribution functions, by $\mathcal{D}^+ = \{F \in \mathcal{D} : F(t) = 0, \forall t \leq 0\}$, while H will always denote the specific distribution function defined by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 0, \\ 1, & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

If $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a function such that $\varphi(0) = 0$, then φ is called a gauge function. If $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then $\varphi^n(t)$ denotes the n th iteration of $\varphi(t)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \varphi(t) = 0\}$.

First, we give PM-spaces introduced by Menger with the related triangular norm.

Definition 2.1 [7] A mapping $\Delta: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a triangular norm (for short, a t -norm) if the following conditions are satisfied for any $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$:

- (1) $\Delta(a, 1) = a$;
- (2) $\Delta(a, b) = \Delta(b, a)$;
- (3) $\Delta(a, c) \geq \Delta(b, d)$ for $a \geq b, c \geq d$;
- (4) $\Delta(a, \Delta(b, c)) = \Delta(\Delta(a, b), c)$.

Definition 2.2 [6] A triplet (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is called a Menger probabilistic metric space (for short, a Menger PM-space) if X is a nonempty set, Δ is a t -norm, and \mathcal{F} is a mapping from $X \times X$ into \mathcal{D}^+ satisfying the following conditions (we denote $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$ by $F_{x,y}$):

- (MS-1) $F_{x,y}(t) = H(t)$ for all $t \in R$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (MS-2) $F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$ for all $t \in R$;
- (MS-3) $F_{x,y}(t + s) \geq \Delta(F_{x,z}(t), F_{z,y}(s))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s \geq 0$.

Then we give the generalized Menger PM-spaces introduced by Luo *et al.* with the related triangular norm.

Definition 2.3 [8] A mapping $\Delta: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a triangular norm (for short, a t -norm) if the following conditions are satisfied for any $a, b, c, d, e, f \in [0, 1]$:

- (1) $\Delta(a, 1, 1) = a, \Delta(0, 0, 0) = 0$;
- (2) $\Delta(a, b, c) = \Delta(a, c, b) = \Delta(c, b, a)$;
- (3) $\Delta(a, b, c) \geq \Delta(d, e, f)$ for $a \geq d, b \geq e, c \geq f$;
- (4) $\Delta(a, \Delta(b, c, d), e) = \Delta(\Delta(a, b, c), d, e) = \Delta(a, b, \Delta(c, d, e))$.

Definition 2.4 [25] A triplet (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is called a generalized Menger probabilistic metric space (for short, a generalized Menger PM-space) if X is a nonempty set, Δ is a t -norm, and \mathcal{F} is a mapping from $X \times X$ into \mathcal{D}^+ satisfying the following conditions (we denote $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$ by $F_{x,y}$):

- (GPM-1) $F_{x,y}(t) = H(t)$ for all $t \in R$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (GPM-2) $F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$ for all $t \in R$;
- (GPM-3) $F_{x,w}(t_1 + t_2 + t_3) \geq \Delta(F_{x,y}(t_1), F_{y,z}(t_2), F_{z,w}(t_3))$ for all $x, y, z, w \in X$ and $t_1, t_2, t_3 \geq 0$.

Now, we introduce the definition of multidimensional Menger probabilistic metric spaces with the related triangular norm.

Definition 2.5 A mapping $\Delta: \underbrace{[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \dots \times [0, 1]}_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a triangular norm (for short, a t -norm) if the following conditions are satisfied for any $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n} \in [0, 1]$:

- (1) $\Delta(a_1, 1, \dots, 1) = a_1, \Delta(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$;
- (2) $\Delta(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}, a_n) = \Delta(a_1, a_n, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}) = \Delta(a_1, a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-2}) = \dots = \Delta(a_1, a_n, a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \dots, a_2) = \Delta(a_n, a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \dots, a_2, a_1)$;
- (3) $\Delta(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \geq \Delta(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots, a_{2n})$ for $a_1 \geq a_{n+1}, a_2 \geq a_{n+2}, \dots, a_n \geq a_{2n}$;
- (4) $\Delta(\Delta(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-1}) = \Delta(a_1, \Delta(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}), a_{n+2}, \dots, a_{2n-1}) = \dots = \Delta(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, \Delta(a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-1}))$.

Two typical examples of t -norm are $\Delta_M(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \min\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $\Delta_P(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ for all $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.6 A triplet (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is called a multidimensional Menger probabilistic metric space (for short, a multidimensional Menger PM-space) if X is a nonempty set, Δ is a t -norm and \mathcal{F} is a mapping from $X \times X$ into \mathcal{D}^+ satisfying the following conditions (we denote $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$ by $F_{x,y}$):

- (MPM-1) $F_{x,y}(t) = H(t)$ for all $t \in R$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (MPM-2) $F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$ for all $t \in R$;
- (MPM-3) $F_{x_1, x_{n+1}}(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n) \geq \Delta(F_{x_1, x_2}(t_1), F_{x_2, x_3}(t_2), \dots, F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t_n))$ for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in X$ and $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \geq 0$.

Remark 2.1 If $n = 2$, the multidimensional Menger PM-space is a Menger PM-space. While $n = 3$, the multidimensional Menger PM-space is a generalized Menger PM-space.

Remark 2.2 If $\Delta = \Delta_M$, the multidimensional Menger PM-space is a Menger PM-space. In fact, let $x_1 = x, x_2 = z, \dots, x_n = z, x_{n+1} = y$ in (MPM-3), then for any $t, s, \delta \geq 0, (n - 2)\delta \leq s$, we have

$$F_{x,y}(t + s) \geq \min\{F_{x,z}(t), F_{z,z}(\delta), \dots, F_{z,z}(\delta), F_{z,y}(s - (n - 2)\delta)\}.$$

Thus we have

$$F_{x,y}(t + s) \geq \min\{F_{x,z}(t), F_{z,y}(s - (n - 2)\delta)\}.$$

Taking $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$F_{x,y}(t+s) \geq \min\{F_{x,z}(t), F_{z,y}(s)\}.$$

Therefore, if $\Delta = \Delta_M$, the multidimensional Menger *PM*-space is a Menger *PM*-space.

Example 2.1 Suppose that $X = [-1,1]$. Define $\mathcal{F} : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^+$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_{x,y}(t) = F_{x,y}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t+|x-y|}, & t > 0, \\ 0, & t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. It is easy to verify that $(X, \mathcal{F}, \Delta_M)$ satisfies (MPM-1) and (MPM-2). Now we prove it also satisfies (MPM-3). Assume that $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \geq 0$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in X$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x_1, x_{n+1}}(t_1 + \dots + t_n) &= \frac{t_1 + \dots + t_n}{t_1 + \dots + t_n + |x_1 - x_{n+1}|} \\ &\geq \frac{t_1 + \dots + t_n}{t_1 + \dots + t_n + |x_1 - x_2| + \dots + |x_n - x_{n+1}|} \\ &\geq \min\left\{ \frac{t_1}{t_1 + |x_1 - x_2|}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{t_n + |x_n - x_{n+1}|} \right\} \\ &= \Delta_M(F_{x_1, x_2}(t_1), \dots, F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(X, \mathcal{F}, \Delta_M)$ a multidimensional Menger *PM*-space.

Proposition 2.1 Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a multidimensional Menger *PM*-space and Δ be a continuous *t*-norm. Then (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is a Hausdorff topological space in the (ϵ, λ) -topology \mathcal{T} , i.e., the family of sets

$$\{U_x(\epsilon, \lambda) : \epsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1], x \in X\}$$

is a base of neighborhoods of a point x for \mathcal{F} , where

$$U_x(\epsilon, \lambda) = \{y \in X : F_{x,y}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}.$$

Proof It suffices to prove that:

- (i) for any $x \in X$, there exists an $U = U_x(\epsilon, \lambda)$ such that $x \in U$;
- (ii) for any given $U_x(\epsilon_1, \lambda_1)$ and $U_x(\epsilon_2, \lambda_2)$, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, such that $U_x(\epsilon, \lambda) \subset U_x(\epsilon_1, \lambda_1) \cap U_x(\epsilon_2, \lambda_2)$;
- (iii) for any $y \in U_x(\epsilon, \lambda)$, there exist $\epsilon' > 0$ and $\lambda' > 0$, such that $U_y(\epsilon', \lambda') \subset U_x(\epsilon, \lambda)$;
- (iv) for any $x, y \in X, x \neq y$, there exist $U_x(\epsilon_1, \lambda_1)$ and $U_y(\epsilon_2, \lambda_2)$, such that $U_x(\epsilon_1, \lambda_1) \cap U_y(\epsilon_2, \lambda_2) = \emptyset$.

It is easy to check that (i)-(iii) are true. Now we prove that (iv) is also true. In fact, suppose that $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. Then there exist $t_0 > 0$ and $0 < a < 1$, such that $F_{x,y}(t_0) = a$. Let

$$U_x = \left\{ r : F_{x,r}\left(\frac{t_0}{n}\right) > b \right\}, \quad U_y = \left\{ r : F_{y,r}\left(\frac{t_0}{n}\right) > b \right\},$$

where $0 < b < 1$ and $\Delta(b, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-2}, b) > a$ (since Δ is continuous and $\Delta(1, \dots, 1) = 1$, such b exists). Now suppose that there exists a point $v \in U_x \cap U_y$, which implies that $F_{x,v}(\frac{t_0}{n}) > b$ and $F_{y,v}(\frac{t_0}{n}) > b$. Then we have

$$a = F_{x,y}(t_0) \geq \Delta\left(F_{x,v}\left(\frac{t_0}{n}\right), \underbrace{F_{v,v}\left(\frac{t_0}{n}\right), \dots, F_{v,v}\left(\frac{t_0}{n}\right)}_{n-2}, F_{v,y}\left(\frac{t_0}{n}\right)\right) \geq \Delta(b, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-2}, b) > a,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus the conclusion (iv) is proved. This completes the proof. □

Definition 2.7 Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a multidimensional Menger PM-space, Δ be a continuous t -norm.

- (i) A sequence $\{x_m\}$ in X is said to be \mathcal{F} -convergent to $x \in X$ if $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_m, x} = 1$ for all $t > 0$;
- (ii) a sequence $\{x_m\}$ in X is said to be a \mathcal{F} -Cauchy sequence, if for any given $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, there exists a positive integer $N = N(\epsilon, \lambda)$, such that $F_{x_m, x_k}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$, whenever $m, k \geq N$;
- (iii) (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is said to be \mathcal{F} -complete, if each \mathcal{F} -Cauchy sequence in X is \mathcal{F} -convergent to some point in X .

Definition 2.8 A t -norm Δ is said to be H -type if the family of functions $\{\Delta^m(t)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is equi-continuous at $t = 1$, where

$$\Delta^1(t) = \Delta(t, \dots, t), \quad \Delta^{m+1}(t) = \Delta(\underbrace{t, \dots, t}_{n-1}, \Delta^m(t)), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, t \in [0, 1].$$

Definition 2.9 Let X be a nonempty set, $T : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $A : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. A is said to be commutative with T , if $AT(x_1, \dots, x_n) = T(Ax_1, \dots, Ax_n)$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$. A point $u \in X$ is called a multidimensional common fixed point of T and A , if $u = Au = T(u, \dots, u)$.

Definition 2.10 Let X be a nonempty set, $T : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $A : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Let $\{x_m^1\}, \dots, \{x_m^n\}$ be n sequences in X and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be n permutations of Λ_n . A and T are said to be compatible in (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_{AT(x_m^{\sigma_1(1)}, \dots, x_m^{\sigma_1(n)}), T(Ax_m^{\sigma_1(1)}, \dots, Ax_m^{\sigma_1(n)})}(t) = 1$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $t > 0$, whenever

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T(x_m^{\sigma_1(1)}, \dots, x_m^{\sigma_1(n)}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Ax_m^i \in X$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$;

A and T are said to be compatible in (X, d) where (X, d) is a usual metric space if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(AT(x_m^{\sigma_1(1)}, \dots, x_m^{\sigma_1(n)}), T(Ax_m^{\sigma_1(1)}, \dots, Ax_m^{\sigma_1(n)})) = 0$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $t > 0$, whenever

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T(x_m^{\sigma_i(1)}, \dots, x_m^{\sigma_i(n)}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Ax_m^i \in X$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Obviously, if T and A are commutative, then they are compatible, but the converse does not hold.

The following lemmas play an important role in proving our main results in Section 3.

Lemma 2.1 [23] *Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{D}^+$. For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $F_m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be nondecreasing and $g_m : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ satisfy $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_m(t) = 0$ for any $t > 0$. If $F_m(g_m(t)) \geq F(t)$ for any $t > 0$, then $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_m(t) = 1$ for any $t > 0$.*

Lemma 2.2 *Let X be a nonempty set, and $T : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $A : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. If $T(X^n) \subset A(X)$, then there exist n sequences $\{x_m^1\}_{m=0}^\infty, \dots, \{x_m^n\}_{m=0}^\infty$ in X , such that $Ax_{m+1}^1 = T(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^n), Ax_{m+1}^2 = T(x_m^2, x_m^3, \dots, x_m^n, x_m^1), \dots, Ax_{m+1}^n = T(x_m^n, x_m^1, \dots, x_m^{n-1})$.*

Proof Let $x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^n$ be any given points in X . Since $T(X^n) \subset A(X)$, we can choose $x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^n \in X$ such that $Ax_1^1 = T(x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^n), Ax_1^2 = T(x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^n, x_0^1), \dots, Ax_1^n = T(x_0^n, x_0^1, \dots, x_0^{n-1})$. Continuing this process, we can construct n sequences $\{x_m^1\}_{m=0}^\infty, \dots, \{x_m^n\}_{m=0}^\infty$ in X , such that

$$\begin{aligned} Ax_{m+1}^1 &= T(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^n), & Ax_{m+1}^2 &= T(x_m^2, x_m^3, \dots, x_m^n, x_m^1), & \dots, \\ Ax_{m+1}^n &= T(x_m^n, x_m^1, \dots, x_m^{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.3 [13] *Let (X, d) is a usual metric space. Define $\mathcal{F} : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^+$ by*

$$F_{x,y} = H(t - d(x, y)), \quad \text{for } x, y \in X \text{ and } t > 0.$$

Then $(X, \mathcal{F}, \Delta_M)$ is a Menger PM-space and is called the induced Menger PM-space by (X, d) . It is complete if (X, d) is complete.

Lemma 2.4 [14] *Let $\varphi(t) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function. Let $a, b, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then we have*

$$H(t - a) \geq H(\varphi(t) - b) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \varphi(b) \leq a.$$

3 Main results

In this section, we shall give the main results of this paper.

Theorem 3.1 *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a complete multidimensional Menger PM-space with Δ a continuous related t -norm of H -type, $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a gauge function such that $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\}$, $\varphi(t) < t$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi^m(t) = 0$ for any $t > 0$. Let $T : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $A : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings satisfying the following conditions:*

$$F_{T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)}(\varphi(t)) \geq [F_{Ax_1, Ay_1}(t) F_{Ax_2, Ay_2}(t) \cdots F_{Ax_n, Ay_n}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in X$, and $t > 0$, where $T(X^n) \subset A(X)$, A is continuous and compatible with T . Then T and A have a unique multidimensional common fixed point in X .

Proof By Lemma 2.2, we can construct n sequences $\{x_m^1\}_{m=0}^\infty, \dots, \{x_m^n\}_{m=0}^\infty$ in X , such that $Ax_{m+1}^1 = T(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^n)$, $Ax_{m+1}^2 = T(x_m^2, x_m^3, \dots, x_m^n, x_m^1)$, \dots , $Ax_{m+1}^n = T(x_m^n, x_m^1, \dots, x_m^{n-1})$.

From (3.1), for all $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+1}^1}(\varphi(t)) &= F_{T(x_{m-1}^1, x_{m-1}^2, \dots, x_{m-1}^n), T(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^n)}(\varphi(t)) \\
 &\geq [F_{Ax_{m-1}^1, Ax_m^1}(t) F_{Ax_{m-1}^2, Ax_m^2}(t) \cdots F_{Ax_{m-1}^n, Ax_m^n}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}, \\
 F_{Ax_m^2, Ax_{m+1}^2}(\varphi(t)) &= F_{T(x_{m-1}^2, x_{m-1}^3, \dots, x_{m-1}^1), T(x_m^2, x_m^3, \dots, x_m^1)}(\varphi(t)) \\
 &\geq [F_{Ax_{m-1}^2, Ax_m^2}(t) F_{Ax_{m-1}^3, Ax_m^3}(t) \cdots F_{Ax_{m-1}^1, Ax_m^1}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}, \\
 &\vdots \\
 F_{Ax_m^n, Ax_{m+1}^n}(\varphi(t)) &= F_{T(x_{m-1}^n, x_{m-1}^1, \dots, x_{m-1}^{n-1}), T(x_m^n, x_m^1, \dots, x_m^{n-1})}(\varphi(t)) \\
 &\geq [F_{Ax_{m-1}^n, Ax_m^n}(t) F_{Ax_{m-1}^1, Ax_m^1}(t) \cdots F_{Ax_{m-1}^{n-1}, Ax_m^{n-1}}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Denote $P_m(t) = [F_{Ax_{m-1}^1, Ax_m^1}(t) F_{Ax_{m-1}^2, Ax_m^2}(t) \cdots F_{Ax_{m-1}^n, Ax_m^n}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}$. From (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{m+1}(\varphi(t)) &= [F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+1}^1}(\varphi(t)) F_{Ax_m^2, Ax_{m+1}^2}(\varphi(t)) \cdots F_{Ax_m^n, Ax_{m+1}^n}(\varphi(t))]^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
 &\geq \underbrace{[P_m(t) P_m(t) \cdots P_m(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}}_n = P_m(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+1}^1}(\varphi^m(t)) &\geq P_m(\varphi^{m-1}(t)) \geq \cdots P_1(t), \\
 F_{Ax_m^2, Ax_{m+1}^2}(\varphi^m(t)) &\geq P_m(\varphi^{m-1}(t)) \geq \cdots P_1(t), \\
 &\vdots \\
 F_{Ax_m^n, Ax_{m+1}^n}(\varphi^m(t)) &\geq P_m(\varphi^{m-1}(t)) \geq \cdots P_1(t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Since $P_1(t) = [F_{Ax_0^1, Ax_1^1}(t) F_{Ax_0^2, Ax_1^2}(t) \cdots F_{Ax_0^n, Ax_1^n}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}} \in \mathcal{D}^+$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^m(t) = 0$ for each $t > 0$, using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+1}^1}(t) = 1, \quad F_{Ax_m^2, Ax_{m+1}^2}(t) = 1, \quad \dots, \quad F_{Ax_m^n, Ax_{m+1}^n}(t) = 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_m(t) = 1, \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{3.5}$$

We claim that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+k}^1}(t) &\geq \Delta^k \left(P_m \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n-1} \right) \right), \\
 F_{Ax_m^2, Ax_{m+k}^2}(t) &\geq \Delta^k \left(P_m \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n-1} \right) \right), \\
 &\vdots \\
 F_{Ax_m^n, Ax_{m+k}^n}(t) &\geq \Delta^k \left(P_m \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n-1} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.6}$$

In fact, by (3.2) and $\varphi(t) < t$, we can conclude that (3.6) holds for $k = 1$ since $F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+1}^1}(t) \geq F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+1}^1}(\varphi(t)) \geq P_m(t) \geq P_m(\frac{t-\varphi(t)}{n-1}) \geq \Delta^1(P_m(\frac{t-\varphi(t)}{n-1}))$. Assume that (3.6) holds for some k . Since $\varphi(t) < t$, by the first inequality of (3.2), we have $F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+1}^1}(t) \geq F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+1}^1}(\varphi(t)) \geq P_m(t)$. By (3.1) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{Ax_{m+1}^1, Ax_{m+k+1}^1}(\varphi(t)) &\geq [F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+k}^1}(t) F_{Ax_m^2, Ax_{m+k}^2}(t) \cdots F_{Ax_m^n, Ax_{m+k}^n}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
 &\geq \Delta^k \left(P_m \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n-1} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the monotonicity of Δ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+k+1}^1}(t) &= F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+k+1}^1}(t - \varphi(t) + \varphi(t)) \\
 &\geq \Delta \left(F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+1}^1} \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n-1} \right), \dots, F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+1}^1} \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n-1} \right), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. F_{Ax_{m+1}^1, Ax_{m+k+1}^1}(\varphi(t)) \right) \\
 &\geq \Delta \left(P_m \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n-1} \right), \dots, P_m \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n-1} \right), \Delta^k \left(P_m \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n-1} \right) \right) \right) \\
 &= \Delta^{k+1} \left(P_m \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n-1} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $F_{Ax_m^2, Ax_{m+k+1}^2}(t) \geq \Delta^{k+1}(P_m(\frac{t-\varphi(t)}{n-1})), \dots, F_{Ax_m^n, Ax_{m+k+1}^n}(t) \geq \Delta^{k+1}(P_m(\frac{t-\varphi(t)}{n-1}))$. Therefore, by induction, (3.6) holds for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $t > 0$.

Suppose that $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ is given. Since Δ is a t -norm of H -type, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\Delta^k(s) > 1 - \lambda, \quad s \in (1 - \delta, 1], k \in \mathbb{Z}^+.
 \tag{3.7}$$

By (3.5), there exists $M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, such that $P_m(\frac{t-\varphi(t)}{n-1}) > 1 - \delta$ for all $m \geq M$. Hence, from (3.6) and (3.7), we get $F_{Ax_m^1, Ax_{m+k}^1}(t) > 1 - \lambda, F_{Ax_m^2, Ax_{m+k}^2}(t) > 1 - \lambda, \dots, F_{Ax_m^n, Ax_{m+k}^n}(t) > 1 - \lambda$ for all $m \geq M, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Therefore $\{Ax_m^1\}, \{Ax_m^2\}, \dots, \{Ax_m^n\}$ are n Cauchy sequences.

Since (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is complete, there exist $u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n \in X$, such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Ax_m^1 = u^1, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Ax_m^2 = u^2, \quad \dots, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Ax_m^n = u^n.$$

By the continuity of A , we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} AAx_m^1 = Au^1, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} AAx_m^2 = Au^2, \quad \dots, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} AAx_m^n = Au^n.$$

The compatibility of A with T implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_{AT(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^n), T(Ax_m^1, Ax_m^2, \dots, Ax_m^n)}(t) &= 1, \quad \dots, \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_{AT(x_m^n, x_m^{n-1}, \dots, x_m^1), T(Ax_m^n, Ax_m^{n-1}, \dots, Ax_m^1)}(t) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_1 = (1, 2, \dots, n), \sigma_2 = (2, 3, \dots, 1), \dots, \sigma_n = (n, 1, \dots, n - 1)$.

From (3.1) and $\varphi(t) < t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{AAx_{m+1}^1, T(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n)}(t) &= F_{AAx_{m+1}^1, T(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n)}(t - \varphi(t) + \varphi(t)) \\ &\geq \Delta \left(F_{AAx_{m+1}^1, T(Ax_m^1, Ax_m^2, \dots, Ax_m^n)} \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n - 1} \right), \right. \\ &\quad F_{T(Ax_m^1, Ax_m^2, \dots, Ax_m^n), T(Ax_m^1, Ax_m^2, \dots, Ax_m^n)} \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n - 1} \right), \dots, \\ &\quad F_{T(Ax_m^1, Ax_m^2, \dots, Ax_m^n), T(Ax_m^1, Ax_m^2, \dots, Ax_m^n)} \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n - 1} \right), \\ &\quad \left. F_{T(Ax_m^1, Ax_m^2, \dots, Ax_m^n), T(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n)}(\varphi(t)) \right) \\ &= \Delta \left(F_{AAx_{m+1}^1, T(Ax_m^1, Ax_m^2, \dots, Ax_m^n)} \left(\frac{t - \varphi(t)}{n - 1} \right), 1, \dots, 1, \right. \\ &\quad \left. F_{T(Ax_m^1, Ax_m^2, \dots, Ax_m^n), T(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n)}(\varphi(t)) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.1), we have

$$F_{T(Ax_m^1, Ax_m^2, \dots, Ax_m^n), T(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n)}(\varphi(t)) \geq [F_{AAx_m^1, Au^1}(t) F_{AAx_m^2, Au^2}(t) \cdots F_{AAx_m^n, Au^n}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Combining (3.8) with (3.9) and letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} AAx_m^1 = T(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n)$. Hence $T(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n) = Au^1$. Similarly, we can show that $T(u^2, u^3, \dots, u^1) = Au^2, T(u^3, u^4, \dots, u^2) = Au^3, \dots, T(u^n, u^1, \dots, u^{n-1}) = Au^n$.

Next we show that $Au^1 = u^1, Au^2 = u^2, \dots, Au^n = u^n$. In fact, from (3.1), for all $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Au^1, Ax_m^1}(\varphi(t)) &= F_{T(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n), T(x_{m-1}^1, x_{m-1}^2, \dots, x_{m-1}^n)}(\varphi(t)) \\ &\geq [F_{Au^1, Ax_{m-1}^1}(t), F_{Au^2, Ax_{m-1}^2}(t), \dots, F_{Au^n, Ax_{m-1}^n}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}, \\ F_{Au^2, Ax_m^2}(\varphi(t)) &= F_{T(u^2, u^3, \dots, u^1), T(x_{m-1}^2, x_{m-1}^3, \dots, x_{m-1}^1)}(\varphi(t)) \\ &\geq [F_{Au^2, Ax_{m-1}^2}(t), F_{Au^3, Ax_{m-1}^3}(t), \dots, F_{Au^1, Ax_{m-1}^1}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{Au^n, Ax_m^n}(\varphi(t)) &= F_{T(u^n, u^1, \dots, u^{n-1}), T(x_{m-1}^n, x_{m-1}^1, \dots, x_{m-1}^{n-1})}(\varphi(t)) \\
 &\geq [F_{Au^n, Ax_{m-1}^n}(t), F_{Au^1, Ax_{m-1}^1}(t), \dots, F_{Au^{n-1}, Ax_{m-1}^{n-1}}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Denote $Q_m(t) = [F_{Au^1, Ax_m^1}(t), F_{Au^2, Ax_m^2}(t), \dots, F_{Au^n, Ax_m^n}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}$. By (3.10), we have $Q_m(\varphi(t)) \geq Q_{m-1}(t)$, and hence for all $t > 0$

$$Q_m(\varphi^m(t)) \geq Q_{m-1}(\varphi^{m-1}(t)) \geq \dots \geq Q_0(t).$$

Thus, for all $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{Au^1, Ax_m^1}(\varphi^m(t)) &\geq Q_0(t), & F_{Au^2, Ax_m^2}(\varphi^m(t)) &\geq Q_0(t), & \dots, \\
 F_{Au^n, Ax_m^n}(\varphi^m(t)) &\geq Q_0(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $Q_0(t) \in \mathcal{D}^+$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty}(\varphi^m(t)) = 0$ for all $t > 0$, by Lemma 2.1, we conclude that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Ax_m^1 = Au^1, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Ax_m^2 = Au^2, \quad \dots, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Ax_m^n = Au^n. \tag{3.11}$$

This shows that $Au^1 = u^1, Au^2 = u^2, \dots, Au^n = u^n$. Hence $u^1 = T(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n), u^2 = T(u^2, u^3, \dots, u^1), \dots, u^n = T(u^n, u^1, \dots, u^{n-1})$. Finally, we prove that $u^1 = u^2 = \dots = u^n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{u^1, u^2}(\varphi(t)) &= F_{T(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^{n-1}, u^n), T(u^2, u^3, \dots, u^n, u^1)}(\varphi(t)) \\
 &\geq [F_{Au^1, Au^2}(t), F_{Au^2, Au^3}(t), \dots, F_{Au^{n-1}, Au^n}(t), F_{Au^n, Au^1}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
 &= [F_{u^1, u^2}(t), F_{u^2, u^3}(t), \dots, F_{u^{n-1}, u^n}(t), F_{u^n, u^1}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}, \\
 F_{u^2, u^3}(\varphi(t)) &= F_{T(u^2, u^3, \dots, u^n, u^1), T(u^3, u^4, \dots, u^1, u^2)}(\varphi(t)) \\
 &\geq [F_{Au^2, Au^3}(t), F_{Au^3, Au^4}(t), \dots, F_{Au^n, Au^1}(t), F_{Au^1, Au^2}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
 &= [F_{u^1, u^2}(t), F_{u^2, u^3}(t), \dots, F_{u^{n-1}, u^n}(t), F_{u^n, u^1}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}, \\
 &\vdots \\
 F_{u^n, u^1}(\varphi(t)) &= F_{T(u^n, u^1, \dots, u^{n-2}, u^{n-1}), T(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^{n-1}, u^n)}(\varphi(t)) \\
 &\geq [F_{Au^n, Au^1}(t), F_{Au^1, Au^2}(t), \dots, F_{Au^{n-2}, Au^{n-1}}(t), F_{Au^{n-1}, Au^n}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
 &= [F_{u^1, u^2}(t), F_{u^2, u^3}(t), \dots, F_{u^{n-1}, u^n}(t), F_{u^n, u^1}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Denote $R(t) = [F_{u^1, u^2}(t), F_{u^2, u^3}(t), \dots, F_{u^{n-1}, u^n}(t), F_{u^n, u^1}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}}$. From (3.12), we have

$$R(\varphi^m(t)) \geq R(\varphi^{m-1}(t)) \geq \dots \geq R(t).$$

Since $R(t) \in \mathcal{D}^+$, by Lemma 2.1, we get $u^1 = u^2 = \dots = u^n$. Hence, there exists $u \in X$, such that $u = Au = T(u, \dots, u)$.

Finally, we show the uniqueness of the multidimensional common fixed point of T and A . Suppose that v is another the multidimensional common fixed point of T and A ,

i.e., $v = Av = T(v, \dots, v)$. By (3.1), for all $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{u,v}(\varphi(t)) &= F_{T(u,u,\dots,u),T(v,v,\dots,v)}(\varphi(t)) \\ &\geq [F_{Au,Av}(t)F_{Au,Av}(t) \cdots F_{Au,Av}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= F_{Au,Av}(t) = F_{u,v}(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

which implies that $F_{u,v}(\varphi^m(t)) \geq F_{u,v}(t)$ for all $t > 0$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have $F_{u,v}(t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$, i.e., $u = v$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.1 If $n = 2$, Theorem 3.1 generalizes Theorem 2.2 in [24]. While $n = 3$, Theorem 3.1 generalizes Theorem 3.1 in [25].

From Theorem 3.1, we can obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.1 *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a complete multidimensional Menger PM-space with Δ a continuous related t -norm of H -type, $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a gauge function such that $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\}$, $\varphi(t) < t$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^m(t) = 0$ for any $t > 0$. Let $T: X^n \rightarrow X$ and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings satisfying the following conditions:*

$$F_{T(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n),T(y_1,y_2,\dots,y_n)}(\varphi(t)) \geq [F_{Ax_1,Ay_1}(t)F_{Ax_2,Ay_2}(t) \cdots F_{Ax_n,Ay_n}(t)]^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{3.14}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in X$, and $t > 0$, where $T(X^n) \subset A(X)$, A is continuous and commutative with T . Then T and A have a unique multidimensional common fixed point in X .

If $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a gauge function such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi^m(t) < \infty$ for any $t > 0$, we can obtain $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^m(t) = 0$. Hence we have Corollary 3.2 as follows.

Corollary 3.2 *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a complete multidimensional Menger PM-space with Δ a continuous related t -norm of H -type, and $\Delta \geq \Delta_p$, $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a gauge function such that $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\}$, $\varphi(t) < t$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi^m(t) < \infty$ for any $t > 0$. Let $T: X^n \rightarrow X$ and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings satisfying the following conditions:*

$$F_{T(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n),T(y_1,y_2,\dots,y_n)}(\varphi(t)) \geq [\Delta(F_{Ax_1,Ay_1}(t), F_{Ax_2,Ay_2}(t), \dots, F_{Ax_n,Ay_n}(t))]^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{3.15}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in X$, and $t > 0$, where $T(X^n) \subset A(X)$, A is continuous and commutative with T . Then T and A have a unique multidimensional common fixed point in X .

Let $A = I$ (I is the identity mapping) in Corollary 3.2, we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3 *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a complete multidimensional Menger PM-space with Δ a continuous related t -norm of H -type, and $\Delta \geq \Delta_p$, $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a gauge function such*

that $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\}$, $\varphi(t) < t$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi^m(t) < \infty$ for any $t > 0$. Let $T: X^n \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

$$F_{T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)}(\varphi(t)) \geq [\Delta(F_{x_1, y_1}(t), F_{x_2, y_2}(t), \dots, F_{x_n, y_n}(t))]^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{3.16}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in X$, and $t > 0$. Then T has a unique multidimensional fixed point in X .

Letting $\varphi(t) = \alpha t$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) in Corollary 3.2, we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4 *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a complete multidimensional Menger PM-space with Δ a continuous related t -norm of H -type, and $\Delta \geq \Delta_p$. Let $T: X^n \rightarrow X$ and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings satisfying the following conditions:*

$$F_{T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)}(\alpha t) \geq [\Delta(F_{Ax_1, Ay_1}(t), F_{Ax_2, Ay_2}(t), \dots, F_{Ax_n, Ay_n}(t))]^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{3.17}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in X$, and $t > 0$, where $T(X^n) \subset A(X)$, A is continuous and commutative with T . Then T and A have a unique multidimensional common fixed point in X .

From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can similarly prove the following result.

Theorem 3.2 *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a complete multidimensional Menger PM-space with Δ a continuous related t -norm of H -type, $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a gauge function such that $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\}$, $\varphi(t) > t$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^m(t) = +\infty$ for any $t > 0$. Let $T: X^n \rightarrow X$ and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings satisfying the following conditions:*

$$F_{T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)}(t) \geq \min\{F_{Ax_1, Ay_1}(\varphi(t)), F_{Ax_2, Ay_2}(\varphi(t)), \dots, F_{Ax_n, Ay_n}(\varphi(t))\} \tag{3.18}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in X$, and $t > 0$, where $T(X^n) \subset A(X)$ and A is continuous and compatible with T . Then T and A have a unique multidimensional common fixed point in X .

Remark 3.2 If $n = 2$, Theorem 3.2 generalizes Theorem 2.3 in [24]. While $n = 3$, Theorem 3.2 generalizes Theorem 3.2 in [25].

Letting $A = I$ (I is the identity mapping) in Theorem 3.2, we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5 *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a complete multidimensional Menger PM-space with Δ a continuous related t -norm of H -type, $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a gauge function such that $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\}$, $\varphi(t) > t$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^m(t) = \infty$ for any $t > 0$. Let $T: X^n \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:*

$$F_{T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)}(t) \geq \min\{F_{x_1, y_1}(\varphi(t)), F_{x_2, y_2}(\varphi(t)), \dots, F_{x_n, y_n}(\varphi(t))\} \tag{3.19}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in X$, and $t > 0$. Then T and A have a unique multidimensional common fixed point in X .

Theorem 3.3 *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a gauge function such that $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\}$, $\varphi(t) > t$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^m(t) = +\infty$ for any $t > 0$. Let $T: X^n \rightarrow X$ and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(d(T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n))) \\ &\leq \max\{d(Ax_1, Ay_1), d(Ax_2, Ay_2), \dots, d(Ax_n, Ay_n)\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in X$, and $t > 0$, where $T(X^n) \subset A(X)$, A is continuous and compatible with T . Then T and A have a unique multidimensional common fixed point in X .

Proof Take $\Delta = \Delta_M$ and $F_{x,y}(t) = H(t - d(x, y))$. Then by Lemma 2.3 and Remark 2.2, $(X, \mathcal{F}, \Delta_M)$ is a complete multidimensional Menger PM-space (or a Menger PM-space). From Lemma 2.4 and (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)}(t) &= H(t - d(T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n))) \\ &\geq H(\varphi(t) - \max\{d(Ax_1, Ay_1), d(Ax_2, Ay_2), \dots, d(Ax_n, Ay_n)\}) \\ &= \min\{H(\varphi(t) - d(Ax_1, Ay_1)), \dots, H(\varphi(t) - d(Ax_n, Ay_n))\} \\ &= \min\{F_{Ax_1, Ay_1}(\varphi(t)), \dots, F_{Ax_n, Ay_n}(\varphi(t))\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Hence the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.2. □

4 An application

In this section, we will provide an example to exemplify the validity of the main result of this paper.

Example 4.1 Suppose that $X \in [-1, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\Delta = \Delta_M$. Then Δ_M is a t -norm of H -type and $\Delta_M \geq \Delta_P$. Define $\mathcal{F}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ by

$$F_{x,y}(t) = F_{x,y}(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{|x-y|}{t}}, & t > 0, x, y \in X, \\ 0, & t \leq 0, x, y \in X. \end{cases}$$

We claim that $(X, \mathcal{F}, \Delta_M)$ is a multidimensional Menger PM-space. In fact, it is easy to verify (MPM-1) and (MPM-2). Assume that for any $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n > 0$, and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in X$,

$$\Delta_M(F_{x_1, x_2}(t_1), F_{x_2, x_3}(t_2), \dots, F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t_n)) = \min\{e^{-\frac{|x_1-x_2|}{t_1}}, e^{-\frac{|x_2-x_3|}{t_2}}, e^{-\frac{|x_n-x_{n+1}|}{t_n}}\} = e^{-\frac{|x_1-x_2|}{t_1}}.$$

Then we have $t_1|x_2 - x_3| \leq t_2|x_1 - x_2|, t_1|x_3 - x_4| \leq t_3|x_1 - x_2|, \dots, t_1|x_n - x_{n+1}| \leq t_n|x_1 - x_2|$, and so $\frac{t_1+t_2+\dots+t_n}{t_1}|x_1 - x_2| \geq |x_1 - x_2| + |x_2 - x_3| + \dots + |x_n - x_{n+1}| \geq |x_1 - x_{n+1}|$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x_1, x_{n+1}}(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n) &= e^{-\frac{|x_1-x_{n+1}|}{t_1+t_2+\dots+t_n}} \geq e^{-\frac{|x_1-x_2|}{t_1}} \\ &= \Delta_M(F_{x_1, x_2}(t_1), F_{x_2, x_3}(t_2), \dots, F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (MPM-3) holds. It is obvious that $(X, \mathcal{F}, \Delta_M)$ is complete. Suppose that $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{n}$, then it is easy to verify that $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\}$, $\varphi(t) < t$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi^m(t) < \infty$ for any $t > 0$. For $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$, define $T: X^n \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n^4} - \frac{x_1^2}{n^4} - \frac{x_2^2}{n^4} - \dots - \frac{x_{n-1}^2}{n^4} - \frac{|x_n|}{n^3}.$$

Then, for each $t > 0$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(x_1^2 - y_1^2) + \dots + (x_{n-1}^2 - y_{n-1}^2) + n(|x_n| - |y_n|)| \\ & \leq |x_1 - y_1|(|x_1| + |y_1|) + \dots + |x_{n-1} - y_{n-1}|(|x_{n-1}| + |y_{n-1}|) + n(|x_n| - |y_n|) \\ & \leq n^2 \max\{|x_1 - y_1|, \dots, |x_n - y_n|\}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} F_{T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)}(\varphi(t)) &= F_{T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \\ &= e^{-\frac{|(x_1^2 - y_1^2) + \dots + (x_{n-1}^2 - y_{n-1}^2) + n(|x_n| - |y_n|)|}{n^3 t}} \\ &\geq \min\left\{e^{-\frac{|x_1 - y_1|}{nt}}, e^{-\frac{|x_2 - y_2|}{nt}}, \dots, e^{-\frac{|x_n - y_n|}{nt}}\right\} \\ &= [\Delta_M(F_{x_1, y_1}(t), F_{x_2, y_2}(t), \dots, F_{x_n, y_n}(t))]^{\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, all conditions of Corollary 3.3 are satisfied. Therefore, T has a unique fixed point in X .

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the editor and the referees for their constructive comments and suggestions. The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11361042, 11326099, 11461045, 11071108) and the Provincial Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi, China (20132BAB201001, 20142BAB211016, 2010GZS0147).

Received: 5 May 2015 Accepted: 7 October 2015 Published online: 21 October 2015

References

1. Bhaskar, TG, Lakshmikantham, V: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **65**(7), 1379-1393 (2006)
2. Karapinar, E: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**(12), 3656-3668 (2010)
3. Choudhury, BS, Kundu, A: A coupled coincidence point result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* **73**(8), 2524-2531 (2010)
4. Jain, M, Tas, K, Rhoades, BE, Gupta, N: Coupled fixed point theorems for generalized symmetric contractions in partially metric spaces and applications. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **16**(3), 438-454 (2014)
5. Jiang, BH, Xu, SY, Shi, L: Coupled coincidence points for mixed monotone random operators in partially ordered metric spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2014**, Article ID 484857 (2014)
6. Menger, K: Statistical metrics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **28**(12), 535-537 (1942)
7. Schweizer, B, Sklar, A: *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1983)
8. Zhang, SS: Fixed point theorems of mappings on probabilistic metric spaces with applications. *Sci. Sin., Ser. A* **26**, 1144-1155 (1983)
9. Fang, JX: Fixed point theorems for local contraction mappings on Menger spaces. *Appl. Math. Mech.* **12**(4), 363-372 (1991)
10. Zhang, SS, Chen, YQ: Topologic degree theory and fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Mech.* **10**(6), 495-505 (1989)

11. Fang, JX: Common fixed point theorems of compatible and weakly compatible maps in Menger spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**, 1833-1843 (2009)
12. Zhu, CX: Research on some problems for nonlinear operators. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**(10), 4568-4571 (2009)
13. Sehgal, VM, Bharucha-Reid, AT: Fixed points of contraction mappings in PM-spaces. *Math. Syst. Theory* **6**, 97-102 (1972)
14. Xiao, JZ, Zhu, XH, Yan, J: Probabilistic fractals and attractors on Menger spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **97**, 106-118 (2014)
15. Imdad, M, Pant, BD, Chauhan, S: Fixed point theorems in Menger spaces using the CLR_{ST} property and applications. *J. Nonlinear Anal. Optim.* **3**(2), 225-237 (2012)
16. Ali, J, Imdad, M, Mihet, D, Tanveer, M: Common fixed points of strict contractions in Menger spaces. *Acta Math. Hung.* **132**(4), 367-386 (2011)
17. Pant, BD, Chauhan, S, Sahper, H: Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in Menger spaces via common limit range property. *Mathematica* **55**(78), 159-171 (2013)
18. Chauhan, S, Imdad, M, Vetro, C, Sintunavarat, W: Hybrid coincidence and common fixed point theorems in Menger probabilistic metric spaces under a strict contractive condition with an application. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **239**, 422-433 (2014)
19. Imdad, M, Chauhan, S, Kadelburg, Z, Vetro, C: Fixed point theorems for non-self mappings in symmetric spaces under ϕ -weak contractive conditions and an application to functional equations in dynamic programming. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **227**, 469-479 (2014)
20. Chauhan, S, Dalal, S, Sintunavarat, W, Vujaković, J: Common property (E.A) and existence of fixed points in Menger spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2014**, Article ID 56 (2014)
21. Xu, WQ, Zhu, CX, Wu, ZQ, Zhu, L: Fixed point theorems for two new types of cyclic weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered Menger PM-spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **8**(4), 412-422 (2015)
22. Chauhan, S, Pant, BD: Fixed point theorems for compatible and subsequentially continuous mappings in Menger spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **7**(2), 78-89 (2014)
23. Jachymski, J: On probabilistic ϕ -contractions on Menger spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **73**(7), 2199-2203 (2010)
24. Xiao, JZ, Zhu, XH, Cao, YF: Common coupled fixed point results for probabilistic ϕ -contractions in Menger spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(13), 4589-4600 (2011)
25. Luo, T, Zhu, CX, Wu, ZQ: Tripled common fixed point theorems under probabilistic ϕ -contractive in generalized Menger probabilistic metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**, Article ID 158 (2014)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com
