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#### Abstract

As an extension of the Leray-Schauder degree, we introduce a topological degree theory for a class of demicontinuous operators of generalized $\left(S_{+}\right)$type in real reflexive Banach spaces, based on the recent Berkovits degree. Using the degree theory, we show that the Borsuk theorem holds true for this class. Moreover, we study the Dirichlet boundary value problem involving the $p$-Laplacian by way of an abstract Hammerstein equation.
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## 1 Introduction

Topological degree theory may be one of the most effective tools in solving nonlinear equations. As a measure of the number of solutions of equation $F x=h$ for a fixed $h$, the degree has fundamental properties such as existence, normalization, additivity, and homotopy invariance. The most powerful one that the value of the degree is invariant under appropriate perturbations plays a crucial role in the study of nonlinear differential and integral equations.

Brouwer [1] initiated a topological degree for continuous maps in the Euclidean space. Leray and Schauder [2] developed the degree theory for compact operators in infinitedimensional Banach spaces. Since then numerous generalizations and applications have been investigated in various ways of approach; see, e.g., [3-6]. Browder [7, 8] introduced a topological degree for nonlinear operators of monotone type in reflexive Banach spaces, where the Galerkin method is used to apply the Brouwer degree. Berkovits [9, 10] gave a new construction of the Browder degree, based on the Leray-Schauder degree. In this point of view, he studied in [11] an extension of the Leray-Schauder degree for operators of generalized monotone type.
We consider an abstract Hammerstein equation of the form

$$
u+S \circ T u=0,
$$

where $X$ is a reflexive Banach space with dual space $X^{*}$ and $T: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ and $S: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ are operators of monotone type. In the case where $S$ is linear, the solvability of abstract Hammerstein equation was systematically dealt with in [12], with application to Hammerstein
integral equations. When $S$ is quasimonotone and $T$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$, it was studied in [11].

In the present paper, our goal is to study the Berkovits degree theory for demicontinuous operators of generalized $\left(S_{+}\right)$type in real reflexive Banach spaces. To do this, we first observe a class of not necessarily bounded operators satisfying a generalized condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$with respect to $T$ that contains abstract Hammerstein operators; see Lemma 2.3 below. As the next step for the construction of a new degree, we show that a demicontinuous operator can be reduced to some bounded operator on a suitable domain. Based on the Berkovits degree in [11], we introduce a topological degree for a wider class of demicontinuous operators satisfying a generalized condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$with respect to $T$. For the case of unbounded operators of class $\left(S_{+}\right)$, we refer to [9]. Applying the degree theory, we prove that the Borsuk theorem holds true for this class. When a given boundary value problem is transformed to the corresponding integral equation, it may be often written in the form of abstract Hammerstein equation. As an example, we investigate the Dirichlet boundary value problem involving the $p$-Laplacian by using our degree theory in terms of the Hammerstein equation.
This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some classes of operators of generalized $\left(S_{+}\right)$type and present some elementary facts which will be later needed. For the construction of a new degree, we show that demicontinuous homotopies can be reduced to bounded homotopies on a suitable domain. In Section 3, we prove that a topological degree for demicontinuous operators satisfying a generalized condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$with respect to $T$ is well defined and satisfies some of fundamental properties. Using the degree theory, we show that the Borsuk theorem is still valid for our class. In Section 4, we study the solvability of elliptic boundary value problem by way of an abstract Hammerstein equation.

## 2 Some classes of operators

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two real Banach spaces. Given a nonempty subset $\Omega$ of $X$, let $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\partial \Omega$ denote the closure and the boundary of $\Omega$ in $X$, respectively. Let $B_{r}(a)$ denote the open ball in $X$ of radius $r>0$ centered at $a$. The symbol $\rightarrow(-)$ stands for strong (weak) convergence.

An operator $F: \Omega \subset X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be bounded if it takes any bounded set into a bounded set; $F$ is said to be locally bounded if for each $u \in \Omega$ there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $u$ such that the set $F(U)$ is bounded. $F$ is said to be demicontinuous if for each $u \in \Omega$ and any sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$ in $\Omega, u_{k} \rightarrow u$ implies $F u_{k} \rightharpoonup F u ; F$ is said to be compact if it is continuous and the image of any bounded set is relatively compact.
Let $X$ be a real reflexive Banach space with dual space $X^{*}$. The symbol $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{X}$ denotes the usual dual paring between $X^{*}$ and $X$ in this order. In the reflexive case where the bidual space $X^{* *}$ is identified with $X$, we sometimes write $\langle y, x\rangle$ for $\langle x, y\rangle_{X^{*}}$ for $x \in X$ and $y \in X^{*}$.
We say that an operator $F: \Omega \subset X \rightarrow X^{*}$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$if for any sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$ in $\Omega$ with $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$ and $\lim \sup \left\langle F u_{k}, u_{k}-u\right\rangle \leq 0$, we have $u_{k} \rightarrow u ; F$ is said to be quasimonotone if for any sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$ in $\Omega$ with $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$, we have $\lim \sup \left\langle F u_{k}, u_{k}-u\right\rangle \geq 0$.
For any operator $F: \Omega \subset X \rightarrow X$ and any bounded operator $T: \Omega_{1} \subset X \rightarrow X^{*}$ such that $\Omega \subset \Omega_{1}$, we say that $F$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$ if for any sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$ in $\Omega$ with $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$, $y_{k}:=T u_{k} \rightharpoonup y$ and $\lim \sup \left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle \leq 0$, we have $u_{k} \rightarrow u$; we say that $F$ has the property $(Q M)_{T}$ if for any sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$ in $\Omega$ with $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u, y_{k}:=T u_{k} \rightharpoonup y$, we have lim $\sup \left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-\right.$ $y\rangle \geq 0$.

We consider the following classes of operators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}_{1}(\Omega) \\
& \quad:=\left\{F: \Omega \subset X \rightarrow X^{*} \mid F \text { is bounded, demicontinuous and satisfies condition }\left(S_{+}\right)\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{F}_{T, B}(\Omega) \\
& \quad:=\left\{F: \Omega \subset X \rightarrow X \mid F \text { is bounded, demicontinuous and satisfies condition }\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{F}_{T}(\Omega):=\left\{F: \Omega \subset X \rightarrow X \mid F \text { is demicontinuous and satisfies condition }\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\Omega \subset D_{F}$ and any $T \in \mathcal{F}_{1}(\Omega)$, where $D_{F}$ denotes the domain of $F$.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}_{S_{+}}(X):=\left\{F \in \mathcal{F}_{1}(\bar{G}) \mid G \in \mathcal{O}\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{F}_{B}(X):=\left\{F \in \mathcal{F}_{T, B}(\bar{G}) \mid G \in \mathcal{O}, T \in \mathcal{F}_{1}(\bar{G})\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{F}(X):=\left\{F \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G}) \mid G \in \mathcal{O}, T \in \mathcal{F}_{1}(\bar{G})\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ denotes the collection of all bounded open sets in $X$. Here, $T \in \mathcal{F}_{1}(\bar{G})$ is called an essential inner map to $F$.

First, we establish the relationship between operators satisfying $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$ and $(Q M)_{T}$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $T: \bar{G} \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a bounded operator, where $G$ is a bounded open set in a real reflexive Banach space $X$. Then it has the following properties:
(a) If $F: \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ is locally bounded and satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$ and $T$ is continuous, then $F$ has the property $(Q M)_{T}$.
(b) If $F: \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ has the property $(Q M)_{T}$, then for any sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$ in $\bar{G}$ with $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$ and $y_{k}:=T u_{k} \rightharpoonup y$, we have

$$
\liminf \left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

(c) If $F_{1}, F_{2}: \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ have the property $(Q M)_{T}$, then so do $F_{1}+F_{2}$ and $\alpha F_{1}$ for any positive number $\alpha$.
(d) If $F: \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$ and $S: \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ has the property $(Q M)_{T}$, then $F+S$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$.

Proof (a) Assume to the contrary that there exists a sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$ in $\bar{G}$ with $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u, y_{k}:=$ $T u_{k} \rightharpoonup y$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup \left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle<0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$ on $F$ implies that $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ and hence, by the continuity of $T, y_{k}=$ $T u_{k} \rightarrow y$. Since $F$ is locally bounded, the set $F\left(B_{r}(u)\right)$ is bounded in $X$ for some positive number $r$. By the boundedness of the sequence $\left(F u_{k}\right)$, we get

$$
\lim \left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle=0,
$$

which is a contradiction to our assumption (2.1). We conclude that the operator $F$ has the property $(Q M)_{T}$.
(b) If $q:=\liminf \left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle<0$ for some sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$ in $\bar{G}$ with $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$ and $y_{k}:=$ $T u_{k} \rightharpoonup y$, then there is a subsequence $\left(u_{j}\right)$ of $\left(u_{k}\right)$ such that
$\lim \sup \left\langle F u_{j}, y_{j}-y\right\rangle=\lim \left\langle F u_{j}, y_{j}-y\right\rangle=q<0$
and thus $F$ does not have the property $(Q M)_{T}$.
(c) Let $\left(u_{k}\right)$ be any sequence in $\bar{G}$ with $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$ and $y_{k}:=T u_{k} \rightharpoonup y$. Since $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ have the property $(Q M)_{T}$, we have by (b)

$$
\limsup \left\{\left(F_{1}+F_{2}\right) u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle \geq \limsup \left\langle F_{1} u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle+\liminf \left\langle F_{2} u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Therefore, the sum $F_{1}+F_{2}$ has the property $(Q M)_{T}$. If $\alpha>0$, then it is clear that $\alpha F_{1}$ has the property $(Q M)_{T}$.
(d) Let ( $u_{k}$ ) be any sequence in $\bar{G}$ such that

$$
u_{k} \rightharpoonup u, \quad y_{k}:=T u_{k} \rightharpoonup y, \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup \left\langle(F+S) u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle \leq 0 .
$$

Then we have by (b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim \sup \left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle & \leq \lim \sup \left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle+\liminf \left\langle S u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle \\
& \leq \limsup \left\langle(F+S) u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle \\
& \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$, we obtain that $u_{k} \rightarrow u$. Therefore, the operator $F+S$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.2 Note that each demicontinuous operator $F: \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ is locally bounded. In particular, if $F \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G})$ and $T$ is continuous, then $F$ has the property $(Q M)_{T}$.

The following result shows that the Hammerstein operator of the form $I+S \circ T$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{F}(X)$; see [11], Lemma 2.2, for the class $\mathcal{F}_{B}(X)$.

Lemma 2.3 Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{F}_{1}(\bar{G})$ is continuous and $S: D_{S} \subset X^{*} \rightarrow X$ is demicontinuous such that $T(\bar{G}) \subset D_{S}$, where $G$ is a bounded open set in a real reflexive Banach space $X$. Then the following statements are true:
(a) If $S$ is quasimonotone, then $I+S \circ T \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G})$, where I denotes the identity operator.
(b) If $S$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$, then $S \circ T \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G})$.

Proof (a) Set $F:=I+S \circ T$. Let $\left(u_{k}\right)$ be any sequence in $\bar{G}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k} \rightharpoonup u, \quad y_{k}:=T u_{k} \rightharpoonup y, \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup \left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle \leq 0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the sequence $\left(\left\langle T u_{k}, u_{k}-u\right\rangle\right)$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$, there is a subsequence $\left(u_{j}\right)$ of $\left(u_{k}\right)$ such that $\lim \left\langle T u_{j}, u_{j}-u\right\rangle$ exists. In view of $X^{* *} \cong X$, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \left\langle u_{j}, y_{j}-y\right\rangle_{X^{*}}=\lim \left\langle T u_{j}, u_{j}-u\right\rangle_{X} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the quasimonotonicity of $S$, (2.2), and (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \lim \sup \left\langle S y_{j}, y_{j}-y\right\rangle \\
& =\lim \sup \left\langle u_{j}+S y_{j}, y_{j}-y\right\rangle-\lim \left\langle u_{j}, y_{j}-y\right\rangle \\
& \leq-\lim \left\langle T u_{j}, u_{j}-u\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$, we have $u_{j} \rightarrow u$. By the convergence principle in [6], Proposition 10.13, the entire sequence ( $u_{k}$ ) converges strongly to $u$. Thus, the operator $F$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$. Since $F$ is demicontinuous on $\bar{G}$, we conclude that $F \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G})$.
(b) Let ( $u_{k}$ ) be any sequence in $\bar{G}$ such that

$$
u_{k} \rightharpoonup u, \quad y_{k}:=T u_{k} \rightharpoonup y, \quad \text { and } \quad \lim \sup \left\langle S \circ T u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle \leq 0 .
$$

Since $S$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$, it follows that $y_{k} \rightarrow y$. Since $\lim \left\langle T u_{k}, u_{k}-u\right\rangle=0$ and $T$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$, we have $u_{k} \rightarrow u$. Consequently, we obtain that $S \circ T \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G})$. This completes the proof.

We give a simple example of an operator which satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$ but not condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$; see also [11], Example 3.3.

Example 2.4 Let $(X,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ be an infinite-dimensional real Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. If we define a linear operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ by setting

$$
T e_{n}=e_{n}+(-1)^{n} e_{n+(-1)^{n}} \quad \text { for } n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

then the operator $F:=T \circ T$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$. However, $F$ does not satisfy condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$.

Proof From $\langle T u, u\rangle=\|u\|^{2}$ for all $u \in X$ it follows that $T$ is bounded, continuous and satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$. In virtue of Lemma 2.3(b), the operator $F=T \circ T$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$. If we take a sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$, where $u_{k}=e_{2 k}$, then it is easy to see that $u_{k} \rightharpoonup 0$ and

$$
\lim \sup \left\langle F u_{k}, u_{k}\right\rangle=\lim \sup \left\langle 2 e_{2 k+1}, e_{2 k}\right\rangle=0,
$$

but the sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$ does not converge strongly. Thus, $F$ does not satisfy condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$. This completes the proof.

For a bounded operator $T: \bar{G} \subset X \rightarrow X^{*}$, we say that a homotopy $H:[0,1] \times \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$ if for any sequence $\left(t_{k}, u_{k}\right)$ in $[0,1] \times \bar{G}$ such that

$$
u_{k} \rightharpoonup u, \quad t_{k} \rightarrow t, \quad y_{k}:=T u_{k} \rightharpoonup y, \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup \left\langle H\left(t_{k}, u_{k}\right), y_{k}-y\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

we have $u_{k} \rightarrow u$.
The following result tells us that each affine homotopy with a common essential inner map satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$.

Lemma 2.5 Let $G$ be a bounded open subset of a real reflexive Banach space $X$, and let $T \in \mathcal{F}_{1}(\bar{G})$ be continuous. If $F, S \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G})$, then an affine homotopy $H:[0,1] \times \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$
H(t, u):=(1-t) F u+t S u \quad \text { for }(t, u) \in[0,1] \times \bar{G}
$$

satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$.

In this case, the homotopy is called an admissible affine homotopy with the common essential inner map $T$.

Proof of Lemma 2.5 Let $\left(u_{k}\right)$ be any sequence in $\bar{G}$ and $\left(t_{k}\right)$ any sequence in $[0,1]$ such that

$$
u_{k} \rightharpoonup u, \quad t_{k} \rightarrow t, \quad y_{k}:=T u_{k} \rightharpoonup y, \quad \text { and } \quad \lim \sup \left\langle H\left(t_{k}, u_{k}\right), y_{k}-y\right\rangle \leq 0 .
$$

Note that

$$
\left\langle H\left(t_{k}, u_{k}\right), y_{k}-y\right\rangle=\left(1-t_{k}\right)\left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle+t_{k}\left\langle S u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle .
$$

If $t=1$, then it follows from $S \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G})$ that

$$
\limsup \left\langle S u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle=\lim \sup \left\langle H\left(t_{k}, u_{k}\right), y_{k}-y\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

implies $u_{k} \rightarrow u$. If $t \in[0,1)$, then the property $(Q M)_{T}$ of $S$, in view of Lemma 2.1, implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-t) \lim \sup \left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle & \leq(1-t) \lim \sup \left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle+t \liminf \left\langle S u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle \\
& \leq \lim \sup \left\langle H\left(t_{k}, u_{k}\right), y_{k}-y\right\rangle \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$, we have $u_{k} \rightarrow u$. In both cases, we have shown that $u_{k} \rightarrow u$. This completes the proof.

For our aim, we make the following observation to reduce suitably the domain of a demicontinuous homotopy.

Theorem 2.6 Let $G$ be an open subset of a real reflexive Banach space $X$, and let $Y$ be a real normed space. Suppose that $H:[0,1] \times \bar{G} \rightarrow Y$ is a demicontinuous homotopy. If $S \subset G$ is a nonempty compact set, then there exists an open set $G_{0}$ and a positive constant $R$ such that
(a) $S \subset G_{0} \subset G$ and
(b) $\|H(t, u)\| \leq R$ for all $t \in[0,1]$ and all $u \in \bar{G}_{0}$.

If, in addition, the sets $G$ and $S$ are symmetric with respect to the origin $0 \in S$, then $G_{0}$ is also symmetric.

Proof Let $S$ be a nonempty compact set with $S \subset G$, and let

$$
D_{n}:=\left\{u \in X \left\lvert\, \operatorname{dist}(u, S)<\frac{1}{n}\right.\right\} \quad \text { for } n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

The compactness of $S$ enables us to write it in the form

$$
D_{n}=\left\{u \in X \left\lvert\,\|u-z\|<\frac{1}{n}\right. \text { for some } z \in S\right\} .
$$

Setting $G_{n}:=D_{n} \cap G$, we see that $G_{n}$ is open and $S \subset G_{n} \subset G$, that is, (a) holds for each $G_{n}$. We now prove that at least one of the sets $G_{n}$ possesses property (b). If none of the sets $G_{n}$ satisfies (b), we find sequences $\left(t_{n}\right)$ in $[0,1]$ and $\left(u_{n}\right)$ in $\bar{G}_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H\left(t_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right\|>n . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $u_{n} \in \bar{D}_{n}$, we can choose a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ in $S$ such that $\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \leq 2 / n$. By the compactness of the set $S$, there exists a subsequence $\left(z_{k}\right)$ of $\left(z_{n}\right)$ which converges to some $z \in S$. Hence it follows from the inequality

$$
\left\|u_{k}-z\right\| \leq\left\|u_{k}-z_{k}\right\|+\left\|z_{k}-z\right\|
$$

that $u_{k} \rightarrow z$. We may suppose that $t_{k} \rightarrow t \in[0,1]$. It follows from the demicontinuity of $H$ that $H\left(t_{k}, u_{k}\right) \rightharpoonup H(t, z)$, which contradicts (2.4), by noting that every weakly convergent sequence in the normed space $Y$ is bounded. Therefore, at least one of the sets $G_{n}$ satisfies (a) and (b), say $G_{n_{0}}$. Set $G_{0}:=G_{n_{0}}$.

Next, to show that $G_{0}$ is symmetric, let $u \in G_{0}$, then there exists $z \in S$ such that $\|u-z\|<$ $1 / n_{0}$. Since $\|(-u)-(-z)\|<1 / n_{0}$, it follows from $-u \in G$ and $-z \in S$ that $-u \in G_{0}$. This completes the proof.

We show that any demicontinuous operator satisfying condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$ is proper on closed bounded sets; see [9], Lemma 2.5, for the case of class $\left(S_{+}\right)$.

Lemma 2.7 Let $G$ be a bounded open set in a real reflexive Banach space $X$, and let $H$ : $[0,1] \times \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ be a demicontinuous homotopy satisfying condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$, where $T: \bar{G} \rightarrow$ $X^{*}$ is bounded. For any compact set $A \subset X$,

$$
K:=\{u \in \bar{G} \mid H(t, u) \in A \text { for some } t \in[0,1]\}
$$

is a compact subset of $X$.

Proof Let $\left(u_{k}\right)$ be any sequence in $K$. Then there exists a sequence $\left(t_{k}\right)$ in $[0,1]$ such that $H\left(t_{k}, u_{k}\right) \in A$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $A$ is compact, we can choose a subsequence $\left(u_{j}\right)$ of $\left(u_{k}\right)$ in $\bar{G}$ and a subsequence $\left(t_{j}\right)$ of $\left(t_{k}\right)$ in $[0,1]$ such that $H\left(t_{j}, u_{j}\right) \rightarrow w \in A$. By the boundedness of the set $G$ and the map $T$, we may suppose, without loss of generality, that

$$
u_{j} \rightharpoonup u \in X, \quad y_{j}:=T u_{j} \rightharpoonup y \in X^{*}, \quad \text { and } \quad t_{j} \rightarrow t \in[0,1] .
$$

Since we have $\lim \left\langle H\left(t_{j}, u_{j}\right), y_{j}-y\right\rangle=0$, the assumptions on the homotopy $H$ imply that $u_{j} \rightarrow u$ and $H\left(t_{j}, u_{j}\right) \rightharpoonup H(t, u)$. Consequently, we have $H(t, u)=w \in A$ and $u \in \bar{G}$, which means that $u \in K$. Thus, the set $K$ is compact. This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.8 Suppose that $F: \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ is demicontinuous and satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$, where $G$ is a bounded open subset of $X$ and $T$ is bounded on $\bar{G}$. For every $h \notin F(\partial G)$, there exists an open set $G_{0}$ such that $F^{-1}(h) \subset G_{0} \subset G$ and $F$ is bounded on $\bar{G}_{0}$.

Proof Let $h \notin F(\partial G)$. By Lemma 2.7, $F^{-1}(h)$ is a compact subset of $X$ and $F^{-1}(h) \subset G$. Applying Theorem 2.6 with the constant homotopy $F$ and $S=F^{-1}(h)$, there exists an open set $G_{0}$ such that $F^{-1}(h) \subset G_{0} \subset G$ and $F$ is bounded on $\bar{G}_{0}$.

Corollary 2.9 Let $G$ be a bounded open symmetric subset of $X$ with respect to the origin $0 \in G$. Suppose that $F: \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ is odd, demicontinuous and satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$ with $0 \notin F(\partial G)$, where $T$ is bounded on $\bar{G}$. Then there exists a symmetric open set $G_{0}$ such that $F^{-1}(0) \subset G_{0} \subset G$ and $F$ is bounded on $\bar{G}_{0}$.

Proof Since $F$ is odd on $\bar{G}$ and $0 \notin F(\partial G)$, it is obvious from Lemma 2.7 that $0 \in F^{-1}(0) \subset G$ and $F^{-1}(0)$ is symmetric and compact. By Theorem 2.6, there exists a symmetric open set $G_{0}$ such that $F^{-1}(0) \subset G_{0} \subset G$ and $F$ is bounded on $\bar{G}_{0}$.

## 3 Degree theory

In this section, we extend the degree theory of Berkovits to all demicontinuous operators satisfying condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$ in the class $\mathcal{F}(X)$, and this is used to establish the Borsuk theorem.
In what follows, $X$ will always be an infinite-dimensional real reflexive separable Banach space which has been renormed so that both $X$ and $X^{*}$ are locally uniformly convex.
We first introduce the topological degree for the class $\mathcal{F}_{B}(X)$ due to Berkovits [11]. For the details on the class $\mathcal{F}_{S_{+}}(X)$, we refer to $[9,10]$.

Theorem 3.1 There exists a unique degree function

$$
d_{B}:\left\{(F, G, h) \mid G \in \mathcal{O}, T \in \mathcal{F}_{1}(\bar{G}), F \in \mathcal{F}_{T, B}(\bar{G}), h \notin F(\partial G)\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

that satisfies the following properties:
(a) (Existence) If $d_{B}(F, G, h) \neq 0$, then the equation $F u=h$ has a solution in $G$.
(b) (Additivity) Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{T, B}(\bar{G})$. If $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are two disjoint open subsets of $G$ such that $h \notin F\left(\bar{G} \backslash\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2}\right)\right)$, then we have

$$
d_{B}(F, G, h)=d_{B}\left(F, G_{1}, h\right)+d_{B}\left(F, G_{2}, h\right) .
$$

(c) (Homotopy invariance) If $H:[0,1] \times \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ is a bounded admissible affine homotopy with a common continuous essential inner map and $h:[0,1] \rightarrow X$ is a continuous path in $X$ such that $h(t) \notin H(t, \partial G)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$, then the value of $d_{B}(H(t, \cdot), G, h(t))$ is constant for all $t \in[0,1]$.
(d) (Normalization) For any $h \in G$, we have $d_{B}(I, G, h)=+1$.

Lemma 3.2 Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G})$ be an operator, where $G$ is a bounded open set in $X$ and $T \in$ $\mathcal{F}_{1}(\bar{G})$. Suppose that for $i=1,2, G_{i}$ is an open subset of $G$ such that

$$
F^{-1}(h) \subset G_{i} \subset G \text { and } F \text { is bounded on } \bar{G}_{i} .
$$

Then the degree $d_{B}\left(F, G_{i}, h\right)$ is well defined for $i=1,2$ and

$$
d_{B}\left(F, G_{1}, h\right)=d_{B}\left(F, G_{2}, h\right) .
$$

Proof For $i=1,2$, since $F \in \mathcal{F}_{T, B}\left(\bar{G}_{i}\right)$ and $h \notin F\left(\partial G_{i}\right)$, the degree $d_{B}\left(F, G_{i}, h\right)$ is well defined and $F^{-1}(h) \subset G_{1} \cap G_{2} \subset G_{i}$ implies $h \notin F\left(\bar{G}_{i} \backslash\left(G_{1} \cap G_{2}\right)\right)$. Applying Theorem 3.1(b) twice, we get

$$
d_{B}\left(F, G_{1}, h\right)=d_{B}\left(F, G_{1} \cap G_{2}, h\right)=d_{B}\left(F, G_{2}, h\right) .
$$

Definition 3.3 Let

$$
M=\left\{(F, G, h) \mid G \in \mathcal{O}, T \in \mathcal{F}_{1}(\bar{G}), F \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G}), h \notin F(\partial G)\right\} .
$$

Then we define a degree function $d: M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as follows:

$$
d(F, G, h):=d_{B}\left(\left.F\right|_{\bar{G}_{0}}, G_{0}, h\right)
$$

where $G_{0}$ is any open subset of $G$ with $F^{-1}(h) \subset G_{0}$ and $F$ is bounded on $\bar{G}_{0}$, according to Corollary 2.8. Here, $\left.F\right|_{\bar{G}_{0}}$ denotes the restriction of $F$ to $\bar{G}_{0}$.

In view of Lemma 3.2, the degree $d$ does not depend on the choice of the set $G_{0}$. Especially, if $F$ is bounded on $\bar{G}$, then we may take $G_{0}=G$ and $d(F, G, h)=d_{B}(F, G, h)$, which means that $d$ and $d_{B}$ coincide on $\mathcal{F}_{T, B}(\bar{G})$.

Theorem 3.4 The above degree $d$ for the class $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has the following properties:
(a) (Existence) If $d(F, G, h) \neq 0$, then the equation $F u=h$ has a solution in $G$.
(b) (Additivity) Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G})$. If $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are two disjoint open subsets of $G$ such that $h \notin F\left(\bar{G} \backslash\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2}\right)\right)$, then we have

$$
d(F, G, h)=d\left(F, G_{1}, h\right)+d\left(F, G_{2}, h\right) .
$$

(c) (Homotopy invariance) Suppose that $H:[0,1] \times \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ is an admissible affine homotopy with a common continuous essential inner map and $h:[0,1] \rightarrow X$ is a continuous path in $X$ such that $h(t) \notin H(t, \partial G)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. Then the value of $d(H(t, \cdot), G, h(t))$ is constant for all $t \in[0,1]$.
(d) (Normalization) For any $h \in G$, we have $d(I, G, h)=+1$.
(e) (Boundary dependence) If $F, S \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G})$ coincide on $\partial G$ and $h \notin F(\partial G)$, then

$$
d(F, G, h)=d(S, G, h) .
$$

Proof (a) If $d(F, G, h) \neq 0$, then we have by Definition 3.3

$$
d_{B}\left(F, G_{0}, h\right) \neq 0
$$

for a suitable open set $G_{0} \subset G$. By Theorem 3.1(a), the equation $F u=h$ has a solution in $G_{0}$ which also belongs to $G$.
(b) Let $K=\{u \in G: F u=h\}$ and $K_{i}=\left\{u \in G_{i}: F u=h\right\}$ for $i=1,2$. Note by hypotheses that $K$ is the disjoint union of the sets $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. By Corollary 2.8, there exist open sets $G_{0 i}$ such that $K_{i} \subset G_{0 i} \subset G_{i}$, and $F$ is bounded on $\bar{G}_{0 i}$ for $i=1,2$. Set $G_{0}:=G_{01} \cup G_{02}$. Obviously, $F$ is bounded on $\bar{G}_{0}$ and $K \subset G_{0} \subset G$, and so $h \notin F\left(\bar{G}_{0} \backslash\left(G_{01} \cup G_{02}\right)\right)$. Hence it follows from Definition 3.3 and Theorem 3.1(b) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(F, G, h) & =d_{B}\left(\left.F\right|_{\bar{G}_{0}}, G_{0}, h\right) \\
& =d_{B}\left(\left.F\right|_{\bar{G}_{01}}, G_{01}, h\right)+d_{B}\left(\left.F\right|_{\bar{G}_{02}}, G_{02}, h\right) \\
& =d\left(F, G_{1}, h\right)+d\left(F, G_{2}, h\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) Note that $A=\{h(t) \in X \mid t \in[0,1]\}$ is a compact subset of $X$. By Lemma 2.7,

$$
S=\{u \in \bar{G} \mid H(t, u) \in A \text { for some } t \in[0,1]\}
$$

is a compact subset of $X$. In particular, we have $S \subset G$ in view of $h(t) \notin H(t, \partial G)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. According to Theorem 2.6, there exists an open set $G_{0}$ such that $S \subset G_{0} \subset G$ and $H$ is bounded on $[0,1] \times \bar{G}_{0}$. This implies that $h(t) \notin H\left(t, \partial G_{0}\right)$ and

$$
d(H(t, \cdot), G, h(t))=d_{B}\left(H(t, \cdot), G_{0}, h(t)\right) \quad \text { for each } t \in[0,1] .
$$

By Theorem 3.1(c), we conclude that the value of $d(H(t, \cdot), G, h(t))$ is constant for all $t \in$ [0,1].
(d) Since the identity operator $I$ is bounded, it is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1(d). Actually, the identity operator $I=J^{-1} \circ J$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{J}(\bar{G})$, in view of Lemma 2.3, where $J$ denotes the duality operator. It is known in, e.g., [12] that $J: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is bounded, continuous and satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$and $J^{-1}: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ is continuous and satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$.
(e) Consider an affine homotopy $H:[0,1] \times \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ given by

$$
H(t, u)=(1-t) F u+t S u \quad \text { for }(t, u) \in[0,1] \times \bar{G} .
$$

As $h \notin F(\partial G)=H(t, \partial G)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$, the homotopy invariance property (c) of the degree $d$ implies that

$$
d(F, G, h)=d(S, G, h) .
$$

This completes the proof.

For the next aim, we need the Borsuk theorem for operators in $\mathcal{F}_{B}(X)$ taken from [11], Theorem 8.1.

Lemma 3.5 Let $G$ be a bounded open subset of $X$ which is symmetric with respect to the origin $0 \in G$, and let $T \in \mathcal{F}_{1}(\bar{G})$ be continuous. If $F \in \mathcal{F}_{T, B}(\bar{G})$ is odd on $\partial G$ such that $0 \notin$ $F(\partial G)$, then $d_{B}(F, G, 0)$ is an odd number.

Now we give a new version of the Borsuk theorem for operators in $\mathcal{F}(X)$.

Theorem 3.6 Let $G$ be a bounded open set in $X$ which is symmetric with respect to $0 \in G$. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{F}_{1}(\bar{G})$ is continuous and odd on $\bar{G}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G})$ is odd on $\partial G$ with $0 \notin F(\partial G)$. Then $d(F, G, 0)$ is an odd number, and the equation $F u=0$ has at least one solution in $G$.

Proof Let $P: \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ be an operator defined by

$$
P u:=\frac{1}{2}(F u-F(-u)) \quad \text { for } u \in \bar{G} .
$$

Then $P$ is odd and demicontinuous on $\bar{G}$. Since $F$ is odd on $\partial G$ and $0 \notin F(\partial G)$, it is clear that $0 \notin P(\partial G)$. To show that $P$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$, let $\left(u_{k}\right)$ be any sequence in $\bar{G}$ such that

$$
u_{k} \rightharpoonup u, \quad y_{k}:=T u_{k} \rightharpoonup y, \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup \left\langle P u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle \leq 0 .
$$

Since $T$ is odd and continuous on $\bar{G}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_{T}(\bar{G})$, we have by Lemma 2.1(a) and (b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq \lim \sup \left\langle P u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \lim \sup \left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2} \liminf \left\langle F\left(-u_{k}\right), T\left(-u_{k}\right)-(-y)\right\rangle \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \lim \sup \left\langle F u_{k}, y_{k}-y\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$, this implies that $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ and thus $P$ satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)_{T}$. In view of Corollary 2.9, we can choose a symmetric open subset $G_{0}$ of $G$ such that

$$
P^{-1}(0) \subset G_{0} \subset G \quad \text { and } \quad P \text { is bounded on } \bar{G}_{0} .
$$

Since $F$ and $P$ coincide on $\partial G$, we have by Theorem 3.4(e)

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(F, G, 0)=d(P, G, 0) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Theorem 3.4(b) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(P, G, 0)=d\left(P, G_{0}, 0\right)=d_{B}\left(\left.P\right|_{\bar{G}_{0}}, G_{0}, 0\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the restriction $\left.P\right|_{\bar{G}_{0}} \in \mathcal{F}_{T, B}\left(\bar{G}_{0}\right)$ is odd on $\partial G_{0}$, Lemma 3.5 says that

$$
d_{B}\left(\left.P\right|_{\bar{G}_{0}}, G_{0}, 0\right) \text { is odd. }
$$

Combining this with (3.1) and (3.2), we conclude that $d(F, G, 0)$ is an odd number. By Theorem 3.4(a), the equation $F u=0$ has a solution in $G$. This completes the proof.

## 4 Application

In this section, we study the Dirichlet boundary value problem based on the degree theory in Section 3.
Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary. Let $2<p<N$ and set $p^{\prime}=$ $p /(p-1)$. We consider a nonlinear equation of the form

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u=u+f(x, u, \nabla u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Delta_{p}$ is the $p$-Laplacian given by

$$
\Delta_{p} u=\sum_{i=1}^{N} D_{i}\left(\left|D_{i} u\right|^{p-2} D_{i} u\right)
$$

Assume that $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real-valued function such that
(f1) $f$ satisfies the Carathéodory condition, that is, $f(\cdot, \eta, \zeta)$ is measurable on $\Omega$ for all $(\eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $f(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ for almost all $x \in \Omega$.
(f2) $f$ has the growth condition

$$
|f(x, \eta, \zeta)| \leq c\left(k(x)+|\eta|^{q-1}+|\zeta|^{q-1}\right)
$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and all $(\eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $c$ is a positive constant, $1<q<p$, and $k \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$.
Let $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in the Sobolev space

$$
W^{1, p}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p}(\Omega) \mid D_{i} u \in L^{p}(\Omega) \text { for } i=1, \ldots, N\right\},
$$

equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{1, p}=\left(\|u\|_{p}^{p}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|D_{i} u\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ stands for the norm on $L^{p}(\Omega)$. Due to the Poincaré inequality, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1, p}$ on $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|D_{i} u\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text { for } u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is a uniformly convex Banach space and the embed$\operatorname{ding} I: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)$ is compact; see, e.g., [12].

A point $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is said to be a weak solution of (4.1) if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{i} u\right|^{p-2} D_{i} u D_{i} \psi d x=\int_{\Omega}(u+f(x, u, \nabla u)) \psi d x \quad \text { for all } \psi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

Lemma 4.1 Under assumptions (f1) and (f2), the operator $S: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ setting by

$$
\langle S u, v\rangle=-\int_{\Omega}(u+f(x, u, \nabla u)) v d x \quad \text { for } u, v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

is compact.

Proof Let $X=W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev space with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{X}=\left(\|u\|_{p}^{p}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|D_{i} u\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Let $\Phi: X \rightarrow L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ be an operator defined by

$$
\Phi u(x):=-f(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) \quad \text { for } u \in X \text { and } x \in \Omega .
$$

We first show that the operator $\Phi$ is bounded and continuous. Note that the embedding $L^{p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{(q-1) p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is continuous, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{(q-1) p^{\prime}} \leq c_{1}\|u\|_{p} \quad \text { for all } u \in L^{p}(\Omega) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ is a positive constant. For each $u \in X$, we have by the growth condition (f2) and (4.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi u\|_{p^{\prime}} & =\left(\int_{\Omega}|f(x, u(x), \nabla u(x))|^{p^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|k|+|u|^{q-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|D_{i} u\right|^{q-1}\right)^{p^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}\left(\|k\|_{p^{\prime}}+\|u\|_{(q-1) p^{\prime}}^{q-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|D_{i} u\right\|_{(q-1) p^{\prime}}^{q-1}\right) \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}\left(\|k\|_{p^{\prime}}+\|u\|_{p}^{q-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|D_{i} u\right\|_{p}^{q-1}\right) \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}\left(\|k\|_{p^{\prime}}+\|u\|_{X}^{q-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\Phi$ is bounded on $X$. To show that $\Phi$ is continuous, let $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ in $X$. Then $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ and $D_{i} u_{k} \rightarrow D_{i} u$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$. Hence there exist a subsequence $\left(u_{j}\right)$ of $\left(u_{k}\right)$ and measurable functions $v, w_{i}$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{j}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { and } \quad D_{i} u_{j}(x) \rightarrow D_{i} u(x), \\
& \left|u_{j}(x)\right| \leq v(x) \quad \text { and } \quad\left|D_{i} u_{j}(x)\right| \leq w_{i}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $f$ satisfies the Carathéodory condition, we obtain that

$$
f\left(x, u_{j}(x), \nabla u_{j}(x)\right) \rightarrow f(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) \quad \text { for almost all } x \in \Omega .
$$

It follows from (f2) and $v, w_{i} \in L^{(q-1) p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ that

$$
\left|f\left(x, u_{j}(x), \nabla u_{j}(x)\right)\right| \leq \operatorname{const}\left(k(x)+|v(x)|^{q-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|w_{i}(x)\right|^{q-1}\right)
$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
k+|v|^{q-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|w_{i}\right|^{q-1} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega) .
$$

Taking into account the identity

$$
\left\|\Phi u_{j}-\Phi u\right\|_{p^{\prime}}^{p^{\prime}}=\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{j}(x), \nabla u_{j}(x)\right)-f(x, u(x), \nabla u(x))\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x,
$$

the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$
\Phi u_{j} \rightarrow \Phi u \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega) .
$$

The convergence principle tells us that the entire sequence $\left(\Phi u_{k}\right)$ converges to $\Phi u$ in $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$. We have just proved that $\Phi$ is continuous on $X$. Since the embedding $I: X \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)$ is compact, it is known that the adjoint operator $I^{*}: L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega) \rightarrow X^{*}$ is also compact. Therefore, the composition $I^{*} \circ \Phi: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is compact. Moreover, considering the operator $J: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ given by

$$
\langle J u, v\rangle=-\int_{\Omega} u v d x \quad \text { for } u, v \in X
$$

it can be seen that $J$ is compact, by noting that the embedding $i: L^{p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is continuous and $J=-I^{*} \circ i \circ I$. We conclude that $S=J+I^{*} \circ \Phi$ is compact. This completes the proof.

Now we can show the solvability of the given boundary value problem involving the $p$-Laplacian by using the degree theory.

Theorem 4.2 Under assumptions (f1) and (f2), problem (4.1) has a weak solution $u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

Proof Let $Y=W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev space, and let $S: Y \rightarrow Y^{*}$ be as in Lemma 4.1. Define an operator $F: Y \rightarrow Y^{*}$ by the relation

$$
\langle F u, v\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{i} u\right|^{p-2} D_{i} u D_{i} v d x \quad \text { for } u, v \in Y .
$$

Then $u \in Y$ is a weak solution of (4.1) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
F u=-S u . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known in [12], Proposition 26.10, that the operator $F: Y \rightarrow Y^{*}$ is bounded, continuous, and uniformly monotone. In particular, it is coercive and satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$. Now let $X=Y^{*}$ and identify $X^{*}$ with $Y$. By the main theorem on monotone operators due to Browder and Minty in [12], Theorem 26.A, the inverse operator $T:=F^{-1}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is bounded, continuous and satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$, where the last follows from the fact that $F$ is continuous and satisfies condition $\left(S_{+}\right)$and $T$ is bounded. Moreover, note by Lemma 4.1 that the operator $S: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ is bounded, continuous, and quasimonotone. Consequently, equation (4.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=T v \quad \text { and } \quad v+S \circ T v=0 . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve equation (4.5), we will apply the degree theory for $\mathcal{F}(X)$. To do this, we first claim that the set

$$
B:=\{v \in X \mid v+t S \circ T v=0 \text { for some } t \in[0,1]\}
$$

is bounded. Indeed, let $v \in B$, that is, $v+t S \circ T v=0$ for some $t \in[0,1]$. Set $u:=T v$. Noting that the embeddings $L^{p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega), L^{p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega), L^{p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{(q-1) p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, and $Y \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)$ are continuous, we get by the growth condition (f2) the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T v\|^{p} & =\langle v, T v\rangle=-t\langle S \circ T v, T v\rangle \\
& =t \int_{\Omega}(u+f(x, u, \nabla u)) u d x \\
& \leq \operatorname{const}\left(\|T v\|^{2}+\|T v\|^{q}+\|T v\|\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the equivalent norm on $Y$ given by (4.2). From $p>2$ and $p>q$ it follows that

$$
\{T v \mid v \in B\} \text { is bounded. }
$$

Since the operator $S$ is bounded, it is obvious from (4.5) that the set $B$ is bounded in $X$. We can now choose a positive constant $R$ such that

$$
\|v\|_{X}<R \quad \text { for all } v \in B
$$

This says that

$$
v+t S \circ T v \neq 0 \quad \text { for all } v \in \partial B_{R}(0) \text { and all } t \in[0,1] .
$$

From Lemma 2.3 it follows that

$$
I+S \circ T \in \mathcal{F}_{T}\left(\overline{B_{R}(0)}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad I=F \circ T \in \mathcal{F}_{T}\left(\overline{B_{R}(0)}\right) .
$$

Consider a homotopy $H:[0,1] \times \overline{B_{R}(0)} \rightarrow X$ given by

$$
H(t, v):=v+t S \circ T v \quad \text { for }(t, v) \in[0,1] \times \overline{B_{R}(0)} .
$$

Applying the homotopy invariance and normalization property of the degree $d$ stated in Theorem 3.4, we get

$$
d\left(I+S \circ T, B_{R}(0), 0\right)=d\left(I, B_{R}(0), 0\right)=1,
$$

and hence there exists a point $v \in B_{R}(0)$ such that

$$
v+S \circ T v=0 .
$$

We conclude that $u=T v$ is a weak solution of (4.1). This completes the proof.
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